TESTING THE STANDARD MODEL IN BOOSTED TOP QUARK PRODUCTION WITH THE ATLAS DETECTOR

CHRISTOPHER GARNER ON BEHALF OF THE ATLAS COLLABORATION **UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO** ICHEP 2022 JULY 8TH. 2022







INTRODUCTION

- Three measurements involving t\$\overline{t}\$ production with large transverse momentum using the ATLAS detector with full 139 fb⁻¹ Run-II dataset
 Measurement of energy asymmetry in t\$\overline{t}\$ (Covered by Nello Bruscino in his talk)
 - Measurement of energy asymmet <u>Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 374</u>
 - Measurements of tt differential cross-sections in the Lepton+Jets Channel JHEP 06 (2022) 063
 - Measurements of tt differential cross-sections in the All-Hadronic Channel <u>CERN-EP-2022-026</u>
- Test models of top-quark production, decay, parton-showering, and hadronization
- High invariant mass in their boosted event topologies well-suited for probing UV extensions to the standard model





INTERPRETATIONS USING STANDARD MODEL EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY (SMEFT)

SMEFT: For new physics appearing at scale Λ, modifications to low-energy interaction ($E \ll \Lambda$) can be introduced in terms of higher-dimensional operators

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} +$

Measurement strategy is to parameterize measured cross-sections in terms of Wilson coefficients of dim-6 operators

 $\sigma(C_i, B_j) = \sigma_{\rm SM} + \sigma_{\rm SM-EFT} + \sigma_{\rm EFT-EFT}$

$$= \sigma_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_{i} \alpha_i C_i + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_{i,j,i \le j} \beta_{ij} C_i C_j + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_{i} \kappa_i B_i + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^6}\right)$$

Fit Wilson coefficients to measured data under certain assumptions



$$\sum_{i} \frac{C_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \sum_{j} \frac{B_j}{\Lambda^4} \mathcal{O}_j^{(8)}$$



MEASURING TOPS IN A BOOSTED TOPOLOGY

▶ In this boosted topology ($p_T \gtrsim 300$ GeV) constituents of a fully-hadronically decaying top become collimated into a single large-radius jet

Low top $\ensuremath{\textbf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}}$



- Exploiting their three-pronged internal structure, top-taggers built from deep neural nets (DNN) identify those jets originating from top quarks
- Use of DNN-based discriminants for the identification of jets originating from bottom quarks is also crucial for suppressing background processes



ATL-PHYS-PUB-2020-017





MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE LEPTON+JETS CHANNEL

- kinematic observables
- Unfolding to particle-level phase-space

Leptonic Top:

- $e/\mu \text{ w}/p_T > 27 \text{ GeV}$
- $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \ge 20 \, {\rm GeV}$
- b-tagged R = 0.4 anti- k_{t} jet $w/p_{T} > 26 \text{ GeV}$
- $M_{\rm T}^W \ge 60 {\rm ~GeV}$
- $m_{\ell b} < 180 \, {\rm GeV}$





<u>JHEP 06 (2022) 063</u>

Measure both single- and double-differential distribution of several

Hadronic Top:

- R = 1.0 anti- k_{+} jet w/ $p_{T} > 355$ GeV (reclustered using R = 0.4 anti- k_{+} jets)
- ▶ 120 GeV < m_{iet} < 220 GeV</p>
- Contain *b*-tagged R = 0.4 anti- k_{t} jet $w/p_{T} > 26 \text{ GeV}$









MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE LEPTON+JETS CHANNEL ATLAS Fiducial phase-space $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Absolute cross-section

- Analysis selection results in high purity sample
 - >95% $t\bar{t}$, 2% single-top
- Results unfolded to fiducial (particle-level) phase space using Iterative Bayesian Unfolding





JHEP 06 (2022) 063



- JSF correction: Employ extra in-situ calibration to jet energy scale using the measured top mass
- Significantly reduces the leading uncertainty related to jet calibration over most bins



MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS $\int_{1}^{2200} \int_{2200} ATLAS$ Simulation $\int_{2200} \int_{1}^{2200} \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ IN THE LEPTON+JETS CHANNEL

JSF Correction:

- Apply extra in-situ calibration to jets in data to ensure mean of the reconstructed top-quark mass agrees with simulation
- Method limited by uncertainty in the topquark mass
 - Corresponding uncertainty small in this measurement
- Not all kinematic dependencies can be absorbed by the JSF



JHEP 06 (2022) 063





MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE LEPTON+JETS CHANNEL





JHEP 06 (2022) 063

- $\sigma_{\text{particle}}^{t\bar{t},fid} = 1.267 \pm 0.005 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.053 (\text{syst.}) \text{ pb}$
- Measured cross-section lower than theory predictions
- Relative precision of measurement is 4.2%
 - NNLO+NNLL prediction: 6.1% uncertainty
 - Inclusive tt measurement: 4.6% uncertainty
- Resulting precision significantly better than previous measurements:
 - Reduced jet energy scale uncertainties (JSF correction)
 - Reduced W+jets contamination ($m_{\ell b}$ cut, 2 b-tags)



MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE LEPTON+JETS CHANNEL





<u>JHEP 06 (2022) 063</u>



- No single model described all variables well
- Generally poor description of the emission of additional radiation (extra jets)





IN THE LEPTON+JETS CHANNEL

- Set 68% and 95% credibility intervals for fits at order Λ^{-2} and Λ^{-4}
- More stringent limits on $C_{t,q}^{(8)}$ than set by recent global fit: JHEP 11 (2021) 089
- Measured Wilson coefficients consistent with standard model

Model	$C_i (\Lambda/\text{TeV})^2$	Marginalised Expected	95% int Obse
Λ^{-4}	$\begin{vmatrix} C_{tG} \\ C_{tq}^{(8)} \end{vmatrix}$	$\left \begin{array}{c} [-0.44, 0.35] \\ [-0.57, 0.17] \end{array}\right $	[-0.53 [-0.60
Λ^{-2}	$\begin{vmatrix} C_{tG} \\ C_{tq}^{(8)} \end{vmatrix}$	$\left \begin{array}{c} [-0.44, 0.44] \\ [-0.35, 0.35] \end{array}\right $	[-0.68 [-0.30



<u>JHEP 06 (2022) 063</u>









- Asymmetric credibility intervals in Λ^{-4} fit due to quadratic terms in $\sigma^{p_{T}}$ parameterization



<u>JHEP 06 (2022) 063</u>

• Incorporating higher- p_T bins into fit provides significantly stronger constraints on C_{tG} and $C_{ta}^{(8)}$



MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ **DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE ALL-HADRONIC CHANNEL**

- Measure single-, double-, and triple-differential distribution of several kinematic observables
- Unfolding to both particle- and parton-level phase-spaces

Lepton Veto:

• $0 e/\mu w/p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$

Hadronic Tops:

- $1 R = 1.0 \text{ anti-}k_{+} \text{ jet w}/p_{T} > 500 \text{ GeV}$
- 2R = 1.0 anti- k_{t} jet w/ $p_{T} > 350$ GeV
- ► 122.5 GeV < m_{jet} < 222.5 GeV</p>
- Both jets top-tagged
- Both jets contain a *b*-tagged variableradius anti- $k_{\rm t}$ track jet w/ $p_{\rm T}$ > 10 GeV







MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ **DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE ALL-HADRONIC CHANNEL**

- Boosted topology helps identify tt production in background-heavy all-hadronic channel
 - ▶ 81% *tt*, 15% multijet
- QCD multijet estimated using a data-driven ABCD method
- Results unfolded to fiducial phase space (both at particle- and parton-level) using Iterative Bayesian Unfolding







MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE ALL-HADRONIC CHANNEL





CERN-EP-2022-026

 $\sigma_{\text{particle}}^{t\bar{t},fid} \times B(t\bar{t} \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 333 \pm 3(\text{stat.}) \pm 39(\text{syst.}) \text{ fb}$ $\sigma_{\text{parton}}^{t\bar{t},fid} = 1.94 \pm 0.02 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.25 \text{(syst.) pb}$

- Better agreement at parton-level with NNLO prediction than with NLO+PS
- Relative precision of measurement is 11.7%
- Uncertainty reduced by a factor of 2 relative to previous ATLAS measurement: Phys. Rev. D 98, 012003 (2018)
- Major reductions in signal modelling and top/flavour tagging systematics







MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ **DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS** IN THE ALL-HADRONIC CHANNEL ----- PWG+Py8 ATLAS ---- PWG+H7.1.3 √s=13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹ ---- PWG+Pv8 (less IFSR) Boosted all-hadronic tt Fiducial narton level Stat. Unc.

- Good agreement for normalized differential crosssections between NLO+PS predictions and data for most measured observables
- Gluon radiation generally not well described by NLO+PS models











MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE ALL-HADRONIC CHANNEL







MEASUREMENTS OF $t\bar{t}$ **DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTIONS IN THE ALL-HADRONIC CHANNEL ATLAS**, $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, L = 139 fb⁻¹

- Set 68% and 95% credibility intervals for fits at order Λ^{-2} and Λ^{-4}
- Competitive or more stringent than existing limits from global EFT fits
- Measured Wilson coefficients consistent with standard model

ATLAS

99.7% CL 95.5% CL

68.3% CL

0.5

1.5

 $C_{tG}(TeV/\Lambda)^2$

1.0







SUMMARY

- large transverse momentum using the ATLAS detector
- both NLO+PS and NNLO predictions
 - going to NNLO
 - additional jets
- the standard model



• Presented results of two differential measurements involving $t\bar{t}$ production with

Results unfolded to correct for limited detector resolution and compared with

• Measured inclusive $t\bar{t}$ production in boosted phase space indicates overprediction in Monte Carlo models at NLO+PS with improved agreement when

NLO+PS predictions of single-, double- and triple-differential cross-sections generally in good agreement with data, but struggle with modelling of

Unfolded measurements used to provide constraints on SMEFT extensions to

Limits set on Wilson coefficients are consistent with standard model predictions









MEASUREMENT OF ENERGY ASYMMETRY IN $t\bar{t}j$

- Identify $t\bar{t}$ events produced in association with extra jet
- Measure top-anti-top energy difference, $\Delta E = E_t E_{\bar{t}}$, as a function of jet angle, θ , relative to beamline

Leptonic Top:

- $e/\mu \text{ w}/p_T > 27 \text{ GeV}$
- $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} \ge 20 \, {\rm GeV}$
- $M_{\rm T}^W \ge 60 \; {\rm GeV}$
- R = 0.4 anti- k_{+} jet w/ $p_{T} > 25$ GeV





Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 374

Gluon or 4-quark EFT Operator



Associated Jet:

• R=0.4 anti- k_{t} jet w/ $p_{T} > 100$ GeV

Hadronic Top:

- R = 1.0 anti- k_{+} jet w/ $p_{T} > 350$ GeV
- Top-tagged

- b-tagged jets must be associated with leptonic
- top or matched to hadronic top







MEASUREMENT OF ENERGY ASYMMETRY IN $t\bar{t}j$

- Bins in ΔE and θ unfolded together using Full Bayesian method
- Asymmetry calculated from particlelevel result

$$A_E(\theta_j) \equiv \frac{\sigma(\theta_j | \Delta E > 0) - \sigma(\theta_j | \Delta E < 0)}{\sigma(\theta_j | \Delta E > 0) + \sigma(\theta_j | \Delta E < 0)}$$

- Data statistics is largest uncertainty
- Results consistent with standard model

Scenario

Data

SM prediction (MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO) SM expectation



Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 374





MEASUREMENT OF ENERGY ASYMMETRY IN ttj





Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 374



