# Simulation studies for the RICH detectors in ATHENA

## Chandradoy Chatterjee INFN Trieste



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



# Table of content

- 1. General Introduction
  - a. The workflow
  - b. The PID IRT algorithm
  - c. The RICH detectors of ATHENA
- 2. The proximity focusing RICH :Geometric Description
- 3. Results of pfRICH simulation
- 4. Optimization of dRICH location
- 5. dRICH: Geometric description
- 6. dRICH Simulation: Results.

## 7. Future modifications and conclusions.

# **The Workflow**



- The Workflow is same for both pfRICH and dRICH
- Specific geometry is inserted according to the RICH of interest.
- The IRT algorithm is the same.

#### https://eicweb.phy.anl.gov/EIC/irt.git

## **Inverse Ray Tracing Algorithm**

NEW Inverse Ray Tracing code (IRT): **equally applicable in standalone GEANT4** environment and in the **ATHENA software framework**.

- $\rightarrow$  Last months were dedicated to this development
- $\rightarrow$  Complicated dRICH environment is the main application.

Substantial generalization as compared to HERMES.

→ Reconstruction of Cherenkov photon angle from 3D detected point and expected point of emission Range over the charged particle trajectory.

2D iterative Newton-Gauss minimization procedure is applied.

IRT algorithm implementation in the **ATHENA Juggler PID** plugin is complemented by a sophisticated logic, **performing sampling along the charged particle trajectory**, which allows one to estimate **average Cherenkov angle** in the same way for **both straight tracks** <u>and in presence</u> of a **relatively strong bending component of the ATHENA solenoid magnetic field.** 



# pfRICH Geometric description

# Geometric description of pfRICH



Vessel occupation space: -150 cm to -210 cm

- $\rightarrow$  Includes proximity gap (Filled with C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>10</sub>
- : threshold mode)+ Sensors + Filter (Acrylic: Filters photon below ~350 nm)

+ Aerogel + Services

Sensors:

a) Hamamatsu S13361-3050AE-08 8x8 SiPM panels

(https://www.hamamatsu.com/us/en/product/type/S13

<u>361-3050AE-08/index.html</u>

b) 3 mm X 3 mm single SiPM with
8X8 pixels in each sensor.
Sensor full size = 25.8 mm X 25.8 mm.
→ 0.85 geometric efficiency.
c) Additional safety factor of 0.7 on

top of Photo Detection Efficiency provided by Hamamatsu.



#### First Consistency Check -> Validation of Rec. algorithm



pfRICH Results

## Acceptance



Npe are as expected from estimations. Acceptance is consonant with YR requirements

### **Reconstructed Cherenkov Angle Vs momentum**





Track level resolution:

pixel size, emission point uncertainty, and chromaticity to the track-level

Cherenkov photon angle resolution were taken together to estimate ~1.5 mrad.

agRICH-bi-weekly-meeting-2021-09-30.v00.pdf (bnl.gov) Slide 13

# Dependence of ring resolution with pseudo rapidity



# **N** Sigma Separation



# Performance plot



Expected pfRICH preformance in  $\pi/K$  separation.

Left: Reconstructed Cherenkov angle peaks for a 50:50 mix of 10 GeV/c pions and kaons

at **η = -1.7**.

**Right**: Dependency of pion rejection factor as a function of kaon identification efficiency with the reconstructed track-by-track Cherenkov ring angle cut varying between 187.8 mrad and 190.2 mrad.

# dRICH

# Optimization of dRICH location Geometric description. Results.

# Stand alone Geant4 model : Optimisation of position

## • C2F6 refractive index for the radiator

- with chromatic dispersion
- realistic  $C_2F_6$  material

### spherical mirror with perfect reflection

- R = 300 cm
- spherical sensor surface
  - R = 150 cm
- basically an ideal RICH detector
- inverse ray-tracing reconstruction
  - from HERMES papers
  - fix emission at mid-point of the radiator
  - assumes perfect tracking information
    - namely the actual track position / direction at the emission point





## Geometric description of dRICH



- 1. 140 cm of total length. Occupying space +190 cm to +330 cm from the IP.
- 2. Detector will have six 60° sectors, each equipped with its own spherical mirror segment and a sensor plane.
- 3. Sensors are like pfRICH
- 4. Focusing mirrors  $\rightarrow$  6 individual elements (single mirror configuration)
- 5. More complicated beam pipe geometry.
- C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> radiator. Fully parameterized (The Journal of Chemical Physics 73.2 (1980), p. 990., NIMA 354.2 (1995), pp. 417). Conservative absorption length (10 m).
- 7. Aerogel properties are similar to pfRICH.  $\rightarrow$  4 cm thick
- 8. Similar Sensors.

The sensors are positioned on a sphere, with a square tiling algorithm. The spherical mirrors are parameterized by three variables: the z position of the back plane, which is the maximum z the spherical mirror will reach, along with two focus tune parameters  $f_x$  and  $f_z$ .

Different placement geometries of the sensors, as well as a dual or multi-mirror configuration are under consideration  $\rightarrow$  IMPROVE OPTIMIZATION!

## dRICH acceptance as a function of pseudorapidity



YR requirement: acceptance for the dRICH is 1.0  $\leq \eta \leq 3.5$ . These reference numbers were taken as a guidance for the ATHENA implementation.

#### **Reconstructed Cherenkov Angle Vs momentum**

200 Cherenkov angle (mrad) angle (mrad) 180 160 Cherenkov 140 30 120 100 20 Aerogel (n~1.019) thp 80 Entries 69628 28.25 Mean x 60 Mean y 36.34 13.28 Std Dev x 40 Std Dev y 35 40 5.136 12 14 momentum(GeV/c) 2 6 10 30 10 15 20 25 45 momentum(GeV/c)

#### Cherenkov Angle Vs Momentum

Cherenkov Angle Vs Momentum

## @ pseudo rapidity = 2.4

## Dependence of ring resolution with pseudorapidity



# **N** Sigma Separation



# **Performance plots**



Left: reconstructed Cherenkov angle peaks for a 50:50 mix of 50 GeV/c kaon and pion tracks at  $\eta = 2.4$  are  $\sim 3\sigma$  apart (C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> radiator). Right: dependency of pion rejection factor on kaon detection efficiency with the selection cu varying between 37.6 mrad and 38.4 mrad applied to the left hand side plot.



Particle Mass as a function of momentum retrieved from reconstructed Cherenkov angle

# Future modifications and tasks in pipeline

- The exercises show that for single particle scenario the algorithm works and YR dictated performances can be achieved. In the next days multi particle systems will be added and more realistic physics hepmc files will be feed to see the limit of the performance.
- More sophisticated algorithm will be implemented in near future.
- Right now, no NOISE is added. Performances will be studied with added noise.
- The dRICH foreseen to be having dual mirror configuration to contain the focalization along the sensor plane.

→ Caveat: Multi solution of a single detected hit point... Complicated PID algorithm. Rigorous tests are to be done.

Right now the pfRICH has flat aerogel, it can be tilted also it can be considered making the sensor arrangement rather hemispheric than flat, at least at the large radii. This can allow to reach a full detector efficiency up to

 $\eta \sim -1.5$  and consequently provide a better overlap in PID with the barrel DIRC.

The works had been done with:

A. Kiselev (BNL), C. Dilks (Duke University), R. Preghenella (INFN BO)

# Future modifications and tasks in pipeline

- The exercises show that for single particle scenario the algorithm works and YR dictated performances can be achieved. In the next days multi particle systems will be added and more realistic physics hepmc files will be feed to see the limit of the performance.
- More sophisticated algorithm will be implemented in near fur
- Right now, no NOISE is added. Performances will be styr
- The dRICH foreseen to be having dual mirror confinence
   sensor plane.
  - $\rightarrow$  Caveat: Multi solution of a sinf

Rigorous tests are ≁

Right now the pfRICH barrangement rather h detector efficiency up t . we tilted also it can be considered making the sensor mat, at least at the large radii. This can allow to reach a full

voise.

.... Complicated PID algorithm.

ine focalization along the

 $\eta \sim -1.5$  and consequentigorized via the barrel DIRC.

The works had been done with: A. Kiselev (BNL), C. Dilks (Duke University), R. Preghenella (INFN BO)

12/21/2021

## Some links:

- <u>https://eicweb.phy.anl.gov/EIC/detectors/athena/-/blob/irt-init-v01/compact/erich.xml</u> pfRICH xml
- <u>https://eicweb.phy.anl.gov/EIC/detectors/athena/-/blob/irt-init-v01/src/ERich\_geo.cpp</u> pfRICH geo parser
- <u>https://wiki.bnl.gov/athena/index.php/Particle\_Identification</u> PID supplemental
- <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/12711/contributions/53072/attachments/3</u> 6449/59891/chchatte20210809.pptx dRICH position optimization
- <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/12875/contributions/53838/attachments/3</u> <u>6885/60713/Drich-optics.pdf</u> dRICH optics tuning