Opportunities for hadron spectroscopy @JLab hi-lumi/hi-energy

Alessandro Pilloni

NStar, October 19th, 2022









Potential models (meaningful when $M_Q \rightarrow \infty$) $V(r) = -\frac{C_F \alpha_S}{r} + \sigma r$ (Cornell potential)

Solve NR Schrödinger eq. → spectrum

Effective theories

(HQET, NRQCD, pNRQCD...)

Integrate out heavy DOF

(spectrum), decay & production rates

Exotic landscape in $c\bar{c}$

Esposito, AP, Polosa, Phys.Rept. 668 JPAC, arXiv:2112.13436



Models

Compact

Extended

Hybrids Containing gluonic degrees of freedom Multiquark Several (cluster) of valence quarks





Hadroquarkonium

Heavy core interacting with a light cloud via Van der Waals forces

Rescattering effects

Structures generated by cross-channel rescattering, very process-dependent



Molecule

Bound or virtual state generated by long-range exchange forces

Exotic landscape

Broad mesons seen in *b* decay: *X*(4140), *Z*(4430), *Z*_{cs}(4000)...

Scarce consistency between various production mechanisms

Narrow structures seen in b decay: $X(3872), P_c, (P_{cs})$

Narrow structures seen in e^+e^- : X(3872), Y(4260), $Z_{c,b}^{(\prime)}$

XYZ at Jefferson Lab

XYZP spectroscopy at a charm photoproduction factory

M. Albaladejo,¹ M. Battaglieri,^{2,3} A. Esposito,⁴ C. Fernández-Ramírez,⁵ A. N. Hiller Blin,¹ V. Mathieu,⁶ W. Melnitchouk,¹ M. Mikhasenko,⁷ V. I. Mokeev,² A. Pilloni,^{3,8,*} A. D. Polosa,⁹ J.-W. Qiu,¹ A. P. Szczepaniak,^{1,10,11} and D. Winney^{10,11}

Lol RF7 RF0 120

arXiv:2112.00060

Physics with CEBAF at 12 GeV and Future Opportunities

J. Arrington¹, M. Battaglieri^{2,15}, A. Boehnlein², S.A. Bogacz², W.K. Brooks¹⁰, E. Chudakov², I. Cloët³, R. Ent², H. Gao⁴, J. Grames², L. Harwood², X. Ji^{5,6}, C. Keppel², G. Krafft², R. D. McKeown^{2,8,*}, J. Napolitano⁷, J.W. Qiu^{2,8}, P. Rossi^{2,14}, M. Schram², S. Stepanyan², J. Stevens⁸, A.P. Szczepaniak^{12,13,2}, N. Toro⁹, X. Zheng¹¹

1020 ep facilities 1019 Mainz JLab6 $10^{20}/cm^2/s$ 1018 JLAB 24? SLAC 1017 1016 1015 \times Bonn 1014 Luminosity LHeC EIC 1013 ENC Bates(Int) 1012 OMPASS 1011 □ H1/ZEUS E665 HERMES EMC/NMC 1010 109 10 10^{2} 10^{3} 1 CM energy [GeV]

arXiv:2203.08290

Submitted to the Proceedings of the US Community Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2021)

Hadron Spectroscopy in Photoproduction

Miguel Albaladejo¹, Lukasz Bibrzycki², Sean Dobbs³, César Fernández-Ramírez^{4,5}, Astrid N. Hiller Blin⁶, Vincent Mathieu^{7,8}, Alessandro Pilloni^{9,10}, Justin Stevens¹¹, Adam P. Szczepaniak^{12,13,14}, and Daniel Winney^{13,14,15,16}

Explore the complementarity wrt the forthcoming Electron Ion Collider

Why photoproduction?

- It's new: no XYZ state has been uncontroversially seen so far
- It is free from rescattering mechanisms that could mimic resonances in multibody decays
- The framework is (relatively) clean from a theory point of view
- Radiative decays offer another way of discerning the nature of the states

Exclusive (quasi-real) photoproduction

- XYZ have so far not been seen in photoproduction: independent confirmation
- Not affected by 3-body dynamics: determination of resonant nature
- Experiments with high luminosity in the appropriate energy range are promising
- We study near-threshold (LE) and high energies (HE)
- Couplings extracted from data as much as possible, not relying on the nature of XYZ



Exclusive (quasi-real) photoproduction

- XYZ have so far not been seen in photoproduction: independent confirmation
- Not affected by 3-body dynamics: determination of resonant nature
- Experiments with high luminosity in the appropriate energy range are promising
- We study near-threshold (LE) and high energies (HE)
- Couplings extracted from data as much as possible, not relying on the nature of XYZ



Exclusive (quasi-real) photoproduction

- XYZ have so far not been seen in photoproduction: independent confirmation
- Not affected by 3-body dynamics: determination of resonant nature
- Experiments with high luminosity in the appropriate energy range are promising
- We study near-threshold (LE) and high energies (HE)
- Couplings extracted from data as much as possible, not relying on the nature of XYZ



Z photoproduction

- The Zs are charged charmoniumlike 1⁺⁻ states close to open flavor thresholds
- Focus on $Z_c(3900)^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$, $Z_b(10610)^+$, $Z_b'(10650)^+ \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \pi^+$
- The pion is exchanged in the t-channel



X photoproduction

- Focus on the famous $1^{++} X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \rho, \omega$
- $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ exchanges give main contributions:



Diffractive production, dominated by Pomeron (2-gluon) exchange

$$R_Y = \frac{ef_{\psi}}{m_{\psi}} \sqrt{\frac{g^2(Y \to \psi \pi \pi)}{g^2(\psi \to \psi g g)}} \frac{g^2(\psi' \to \psi g g)}{g^2(\psi' \to \psi \pi \pi)}$$

Existing data allow to put a 95% upper limit on the ratio of $\psi'/Y(4260)$ yields

Assuming previous formula, one gets: $\Gamma_{ee}^{Y} = 930 \ eV$ (cfr. hep-ex/0603024, 2002.05641) $BR(Y \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi) = 0.96\%$ $R_{Y} = 0.84$



Semi-inclusive photoproduction

- Semi-inclusive cross sections are typically larger
- For small t and large x, one can assume the process to be dominated by pion exchange
- The bottom vertex depends on the (known) pion-proton total cross section
- The pion is exchanged in the t-channel
- Model benchmarked on b_1 production



Semi-inclusive photoproduction

For the Z_c^+ , the inclusive cross section is sizably larger than the exclusive process



Conclusions

- Photoproduction is a valuable tool to study exotic states
- Complementary infomation to other mechanisms
- Facilities to study photoproduction at low energies are very welcome to pursue this program

Thank you!

Joint Physics Analysis Center





Exclusive reactions: 2008.01001

Inclusive reactions: 2209.05882

Code available on https://github.com/ dwinney/jpacPhoto

BACKUP



Some thoughts about high intensity

- At current energies, the only heavy exotic accessible are pentaquarks, negative results from JLab pose a conundrum:
 - Rescattering mechanisms proposed so far are not doing a good job in describing all the peaks
 - All models point to direct-channel physics: must see in photoproduction! Need estimates of BR that go beyond VMD
- Light spectroscopy notoriously requires complicated PWA, high statistics can be a blessing and a curse
 - Looking for rare channels simpler to reconstruct of interest, ex.: radiative decays of (hybrid) mesons?
 Requires more theory effort

Multiscale system

 $m_0 \gg m_0 v \gg m_0 v^2$ Systematically integrate $m_b \sim 5 \text{ GeV}, m_c \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ out the heavy scale, $v_h^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$ $m_0 \gg \Lambda_{OCD}$ Full QCD ----> NRQCD ----> pNRQCD 3.5 BELLE data: √s = 10.6 GeV 60 GeV < W < 240 GeV dơ/dp_T(pp→J/γ+X) × B(J/γ→μμ) [nb/GeV] ATLAS data: √s = 7 TeV 0.8 10 0.3 < z < 0.9CS+CO, NLO: Butenschön et al. |y| < 0.753 $Q^2 < 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ $d\sigma(ep \rightarrow J/\psi + X)/dp_T^2 \ [nb/GeV^2]$ 0.6 10 CDF data: √s = 1.96 TeV √s = 319 GeV 2.5 2 2 [dd] (X+/n/)(← 9+0)Ω 1 0.4 10 |y| < 0.60.2 $\lambda_{\theta}(p_T)$ 10⁻² ŦŦ Ŧ 10 0 Į -0.2 10-2 10⁻³ -0.4 1 10^{-3} $p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi + X$, helicity frame H1 data: HERA1 10-4 -0.6 H1 data: HERA2 CDF data: $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, |y| < 0.60.5 10 -0.8 CS+CO, NLO: Butenschön et al. S+CO, NLO: Butenschön et al. +CO, NLO: Butenschön et al 10^{-t} 0 10² 40 25 35 10 15 20 10 15 20 25 30 (b)¹ (**d**) **(a)** 10 (c) $p_T^2 [GeV^2]$ p_T [GeV] p_T [GeV]

Factorization (to be proved) of universal LDMEs

Good description of many production channels, some known puzzles (polarizations)

X(3872)



Sizeable prompt production at hadron colliders, $\sim 5\%$ of $\psi(2S)$

• Discovered in $B \to K X \to K J/\psi \pi \pi$

- Quantum numbers 1⁺⁺
- Very close to DD* threshold
- Too narrow for an abovetreshold charmonium
- Isospin violation too big $\frac{\Gamma(X \to J/\psi \ \omega)}{\Gamma(X \to J/\psi \ \rho)} \sim 1.1 \pm 0.4$
- Mass prediction not compatible with $\chi_{c1}(2P)$

$$\begin{split} M &= 3871.65 \pm 0.06 \; \text{MeV} \\ M_X - M_{DD^*} &= -44 \pm 120 \; \text{keV} \\ \Gamma &= 1.19 \pm 0.19 \; \text{MeV} \end{split}$$

Another \tilde{X} ?

$\widetilde{X}(3872)$ as a new state

 $m_{\tilde{X}(3872)} = (3860.0 \pm 10.4) MeV/c^2$ $\Gamma_{\tilde{X}(3872)} < 51 MeV/c^2 (CL=90\%)$ Significance (including systematics) is 4.1 σ C=-1 (?)





A. Guskov

COMPASS claimed the existence of a state degenerate with the X(3872), but with C = 1

Large photoproduction cross section

 $\sigma_{\mu N} \approx \sigma_{\gamma N} / 300$ EIC L=10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ $e^{-}N \rightarrow e^{-}\widetilde{X}(3872)\pi^{\pm}N' \rightarrow$

 $\rightarrow e^{-J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{\pm}N'} \rightarrow e^{-\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{\pm}N'}$ ~10 events per day

Vector Y states

- Lots of unexpected J^{PC} = 1⁻⁻ states found in ISR/direct production (and nowhere else!)
- Seen in few final states, scarce consistency in different channels
- Large HQSS violation

4250

4300

mass (MeV)

200 E

180

160

140

120

100

80 60

40

20

n

4200

width (MeV)



4350

BESIII: µ+µ-

BESIII: ηJ/ψ

BESIII: ωχ_o

BESIII: $\pi^+D^0D^-$ + c.c.

BESIII: γχ_(3872)

BESIII: π⁺π⁻J/ψ

BESIII: π⁺π⁻h_c

BESIII: π*π⁻ψ(2S)

Ŧ

4400

BESIII: $\pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$

Charged *Z* states: $Z_c(3900), Z'_c(4020)$

Charged quarkonium-like resonances have been found, 4q needed



Pentaquarks!



LHCb, PRL 115, 072001 LHCb, PRL 122, 222001

Three narrow states seen in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow (J/\psi p) K^-$, Plus a possible broad one

One narrow strange state in $B^- \rightarrow (J/\psi \Lambda) \ \bar{p}$,

Higher statistics analysis revealed a two-peak structure of the narrow state, plus a new lighter one Quantum numbers still unknown

Threshold vs. high energy

- Fixed-spin exchanges expected to hold in the low energy region
- t channel grows as s^j, exceeding unitarity bound, Regge physics kicks in: Reggeized tower of particles with arbitrary spin at HE



• Focus on the $1^{--} Y(4260) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$, check with $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$

- Diffractive production, dominated by Pomeron (2-gluon) exchange
- Good candidates for EIC: diffractive production increases with energy!
- We have $\gamma\psi$ -pomeron coupling from our analyses 1606.08912, 1907.09393

How to rescale from J/ψ to ψ' ?

$$R_{\psi'} = \sqrt{\frac{g^2(\psi' \to \gamma gg)}{g^2(\psi \to \gamma gg)}} \sim 0.55 \qquad g^2(\psi \to \gamma gg) = \frac{6m_{\psi}\mathcal{B}(\psi \to \gamma gg)\Gamma_{\psi}}{PS(\psi \to \gamma gg)}$$

• Focus on the $1^{--} Y(4260) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$, check with $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$

- Diffractive production, dominated by Pomeron (2-gluon) exchange
- Good candidates for EIC: diffractive production increases with energy!
- We have $\gamma\psi$ -pomeron coupling from our analyses 1606.08912, 1907.09393

How to rescale from J/ψ to Y(4260) ?

We assume VMD and $g^2(Y \to \psi \pi \pi) = g^2(Y \to \psi gg) \times g^2(gg \to \pi \pi)$ (Novikov & Shifman)

$$R_Y = \frac{ef_{\psi}}{m_{\psi}} \sqrt{\frac{g^2(Y \to \psi \pi \pi)}{g^2(\psi \to \gamma gg)}} \frac{g^2(\psi' \to \psi gg)}{g^2(\psi' \to \psi \pi \pi)}$$

Caveat : $BR(Y \rightarrow \psi \pi \pi)$ only known times the leptonic width Γ_{ee}^{Y}



Existing data allow to put a 95% upper limit on the ratio of $\psi'/Y(4260)$ yields

Assuming previous formula, one gets: $\Gamma_{ee}^{Y} = 930 \text{ eV}$ (cfr. hep-ex/0603024, 2002.05641) $BR(Y \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi) = 0.96\%$ $R_{Y} = 0.84$



Primakoff X photoproduction



A. Pilloni – Opportunities for hadron spectroscopy

Using measurement of $\Gamma(X \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^*)$ from Belle, one can get predictions for Primakoff

Makes use of ion targets, enhancement of cross sections as Z^2



Semi-inclusive photoproduction

At higher energies the triple Regge regime is reached, cross sections saturate



	$\sigma(\gamma p \to Q^{\pm} \mathcal{X}) [pb]$			$\sigma(\gamma p \to Q^+ n)$ [pb]		
Q	$30{ m GeV}$	$60 { m GeV}$	$90 \mathrm{GeV}$	$30 \mathrm{GeV}$	$60{ m GeV}$	$90~{ m GeV}$
$b_1(1235)$	$60 \cdot 10^3$	$60 \cdot 10^3$	$61 \cdot 10^3$	43	2.3	$< 10^{-8}$
$Z_{c}(3900)$	187	146	140	19	1.0	$< 10^{-8}$
$Z_b(10610)$	163	15	5	150	10	$< 10^{-8}$
$Z_b(10650)$	40	4	1	37	2.4	$< 10^{-8}$
28(10000)	-40	-1	1	51	2.1	