Effective theories and resonances

E. Oset , IFIC CSIC- Universidad de Valencia

Chiral Lagrangians. Unitarization of coupled channels interaction

Old generated resonances, $\Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Lambda(1420)$, $\Lambda(1380)$ $\Lambda(1670)$, N*(1535), $\Delta(1710)$

A new resonance in the light sector : $\Omega(2012)$

Resonances with charm , Pc, Pcs, Pcc

Multihadron states coming

Chiral Lagrangians for P B interaction

G. Ecker, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 35 (1995) 1. $\nabla_{\mu}B = \partial_{\mu}B + [\Gamma_{\mu}, B],$ V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.G. Meissner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 4 (1995) 193. $\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (u^{+} \partial_{\mu} u + u \partial_{\mu} u^{+}) ,$ $U = u^2 = \exp(i\sqrt{2\Phi}/f) ,$ $L_1^{(B)} = \langle \bar{B}i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}B\rangle - M_B\langle \bar{B}B\rangle$ $u_{\mu} = iu^+ \partial_{\mu} U u^+ \, .$ $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^{0} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & \Sigma^{+} & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & n \\ \Xi^{-} & Z^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$

$$L_1^{(B)} = \left\langle \bar{B}i\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1}{4f^2} \left[\left(\Phi \partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi \right) B - B(\Phi \partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi) \right] \right\rangle$$

$$V_{ij} = -C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} \bar{u}(p') \gamma^{\mu} u(p) (k_{\mu} + k'_{\mu}) \qquad V_{ij} = -C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} (k^0 + k'^0)$$

 C_{ij} coefficients of Eq. (7). $C_{ji} = C_{ij}$

	K^-p	$\bar{K}^0 n$	$\pi^0 A$	$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$	$\eta \Lambda$	$\eta \Sigma^0$	$\pi^+ \Sigma^-$	$\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}$	<i>K</i> + <i>Ξ</i> −	$K^0 \vec{\Xi}^0$
K^-p	2	1	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	0	ł	0	0
$\bar{K}^0 n$		2	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	1	0	0	0
$\pi^0 A$			0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$
$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$				0	0	0	2	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
ηA					0	0	0	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$
$\eta \Sigma^0$						0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$
$\pi^+ \Sigma^-$							2	0	1	0
$\pi^- \Sigma^+$								2	0	1
$K^+\Xi^-$									2	1
$K^0 \Xi^0$										2

$$\frac{k}{p}, \frac{k}{p}, \frac$$

DPWA

DPWA

DPWA

DPWA

The case of the $\Omega(2012)$

Similar chiral Lagrangians from the interaction of mesons with baryons of the Δ decuplet S-wave $\rightarrow 3/2^{-}$ states Coupled channels Kbar $\Xi^{*}(1530)$, $\eta\Omega$, Kbar Ξ (in D-wave)

J. Hofmann and M. F. M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A776, 17 (2006). S. Sarkar, E. Oset, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A750, 294 (2005); A780, 90(E) (2006). $\bar{K} \Xi^* \quad \eta \Omega \quad \bar{K} \Xi \qquad \text{A750, 294 (2005), A780, 90} \\ V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3F & \alpha q^2 \\ 3F & 0 & \beta q^2 \\ \alpha q^2 & \beta q^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \bar{K} \Xi^* \qquad F = -\frac{1}{4f^2} (k^0 + k'^0)$ $T = [1 - VG]^{-1}V$ $G_i(\sqrt{s})$ $G(\sqrt{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\bar{K}\Xi^*}(\sqrt{s}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & G_{\eta\Omega}(\sqrt{s}) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & G_{\bar{K}\Box}(\sqrt{s}) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad = \int_{|\vec{q}| < q_{\max}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\omega_i(\vec{q})} \frac{M_i}{E_i(\vec{q})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - \omega_i(\vec{q}) - E_i(\vec{q}) + i\epsilon},$ $G_{\bar{K}\Xi}(\sqrt{s})$ $= \int_{|\vec{q}| < q'_{\max}} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(q/q_{on})^4}{2\omega_{\vec{K}}(\vec{q})} \frac{M_{\Xi}}{E_{\Xi}(\vec{q})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s - \omega_{\vec{K}}(\vec{q}) - E_{\Xi}(\vec{q}) + i\epsilon}}$ $m_{\Omega^*}^{\exp} = 2012.4 \pm 0.92 \text{ MeV},$ $\Gamma_{\Omega^*}^{\exp} = 6.4^{+3.0}_{-2.6} \text{ MeV}.$ J. Yelton et al., [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 121,052003 (2018)



R. Pavao, E. O, Eur.Phys.J.C 78 (2018) 857

$\alpha (10^{-8} \text{ MeV}^{-3})$	$\beta \ (10^{-8} \ {\rm MeV^{-3}})$	$q_{\rm max}$ (MeV)	$(m_{\Omega^*}, \Gamma_{\Omega^*})$ (MeV)	$\Gamma(\bar{K} \Xi)$ (MeV)	$\Gamma(\pi \bar{K} \Xi) (\text{MeV})$
5.0	0.1	735	(2012.19, 6.36)	3.35	3.01
4.0	1.5	735	(2012.4, 6.2)	3.22	2.98
3.0	3.0	735	(2012.36, 6.19)	3.25	2.94
2.0	4.5	735	(2012.26, 6.23)	3.34	2.89

Belle Collaboration, arXiv:2207.03090.

$$\mathcal{R}^{\Xi\pi\bar{K}}_{\Xi\bar{K}} = 0.97 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.07$$

Couplings

$$T_{ij} = \frac{g_i g_j}{\sqrt{s} - z_R}$$

$g_{\bar{K}\Xi^*}$	$g_{\eta\Omega}$	$g_{ar{K}\Xi}$
2.01 + i0.02	2.84 - i0.01	-0.29 + i0.04

Probabilities
approximately
$$\frac{\left(-g^2 \frac{\partial G(\sqrt{s})}{\partial \sqrt{s}}\right)_{\bar{K} \Xi^*}}{0.636 - i0.068} \qquad \left(-g^2 \frac{\partial G(\sqrt{s})}{\partial \sqrt{s}}\right)_{\eta\Omega}}{0.164 - i0.002}$$

Related references

J. X. Lu, C. H. Zeng, E. Wang, J. J. Xie, and L. S. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 361 (2020). N. Ikeno, G. Toledo, and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D 101, 094016

M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D 98, 054009 (2018).
Y. Huang, M. Z. Liu, J. X. Lu, J. J. Xie, and L. S. Geng, Phys. Rev. D 98, 076012 (2018).
T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. Phys. G 48, 025001 (2021).
Y. H. Lin and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D 98, 056013 (2018).

K.~L.~Wang, Q.~F.~L\"u, J.~J.~Xie and X.~H.~Zhong, arXiv:2203.04458

N. Ikeno, W.H. Liang, G. Toledo , E. O, Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 3, 034022

VP INTERACTION IN THE LOCAL HIDDEN GAUGE APPROACH Bando et al Phys Rep. 164

$$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{V}{}, \underbrace{V}{}, \underbrace{V}{}, \underbrace{V}{}, \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{VVV}}_{V} = ig\langle (V_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}V^{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu}V^{\mu})V^{\nu} \rangle & \text{Neglecting the k/M}_{\vee} \\ f = ig\langle V_{VV} = ig\langle (W_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}V^{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu}V^{\mu})K^{\nu}, f = 93 \text{ MeV} \rangle & \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1}(\mathbf{k}) = (0, 1, 0, 0) \\ \varepsilon_{2}(\mathbf{k}) = (0, 0, 1, 0) \\ \varepsilon_{2}(\mathbf{k}) = (0, 0, 1, 0) \\ \varepsilon_{3}(\mathbf{k}) = (|\mathbf{k}|, 0, 0, \omega_{\mathbf{k}})/m_{\mathbf{W}} \\ -it = -g(V^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}V_{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu}V^{\mu})_{ij}V^{\nu}_{ji}\frac{i}{q^{2} - M_{V}^{2}}V^{\nu'}_{lm}[P, \partial_{\nu'}P]_{ml} \\ \sum_{pol} \epsilon^{\nu}_{ji}\epsilon^{\nu'}_{lm} = \left(-g^{\nu\nu'} + \frac{q^{\nu}q^{\nu'}}{M_{V}^{2}}\right)\delta_{jl}\delta_{im} \\ -it = -i\frac{g^{2}}{M_{V}^{2}}\langle (V^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}V_{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu}V^{\mu})[P, \partial^{\nu}P]\rangle \end{array}$$

 $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4f^2} \langle [V^{\mu}, \partial_{\nu} V^{\mu}] [P, \partial^{\nu} P] \rangle \quad \text{Chiral Lagrangian of M. C. Birse, Z. Phys. A 355, 231 (1996)}$



Pentaquark Pc

 $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$ decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 072001 2015

R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 122,222001 (2019).
$$\begin{split} M_{P_{c1}} &= (4311.9 \pm 0.7^{+6.8}_{-0.6}) \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma_{P_{c1}} &= (9.8 \pm 2.7^{+3.7}_{-4.5}) \text{ MeV}, \\ M_{P_{c2}} &= (4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}) \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma_{P_{c2}} &= (20.6 \pm 4.9^{+8.7}_{-10.1}) \text{ MeV}, \\ M_{P_{c3}} &= (4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}) \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma_{P_{c3}} &= (6.4 \pm 2.0^{+5.7}_{-1.9}) \text{ MeV}. \end{split}$$



 $T = [1 - VG]^{-1}V$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{VVV} &= ig\langle V^{\mu}[V^{\nu},\partial_{\mu}V_{\nu}]\rangle, \\ \mathcal{L}_{PPV} &= -ig\langle V^{\mu}[P,\partial_{\mu}P]\rangle, \\ \mathcal{L}_{BBV} &= g(\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}[V^{\mu},B]\rangle + \langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}B\rangle\langle V^{\mu}\rangle) \end{aligned} \qquad G_{l} = i\int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{M_{l}}{E_{l}(\mathbf{q})} \frac{1}{k^{0} + p^{0} - q^{0} - E_{l}(\mathbf{q}) + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{2} - m_{l}^{2} + i\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

These Lagrangians in SU(3) were extrapolated to SU(4)

Coupled channels

J = 1/2, I = 1/2 $\eta_c N, J/\psi N, \bar{D}\Lambda_c, \bar{D}\Sigma_c, \bar{D}^*\Lambda_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,$

(I, S)	z_R (MeV)		ga	$\overline{(I,S)}$	z_R (MeV)		<i>g</i> _a
(1/2, 0)	4269	$ar{D}\Sigma_c$ 2.85	$ar{D}\Lambda_c^+ \ 0$	(1/2, 0)	4418	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^* \Lambda_c^+ \ 0$

Modern formulation

C. W. Xiao, J. Nieves and E. Oset

We use heavy quark spin symmetry and the transition potentials are calculated in terms of a few parameters. These parameters are obtained using and extension of the Local hidden gauge approach (exchange of vector mesons). Then we have only a cut off to regulate the loops as a free parameter, fitted to the bulk of the data.



We do not use SU(4). Meson states are simple. Baryon states single out the heavy quark and the symmetry is imposed on the light quarks.

Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23, 2817 (2008), by W Roberts et al

(1) $\Xi_c^+: \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(us - su)$, and the spin wave function is the mixed antisymmetric, χ_{MA} , for the two light quarks.

(1)
$$J = 1/2, I = 1/2$$

 $\eta_c N, J/\psi N, \bar{D}\Lambda_c, \bar{D}\Sigma_c, \bar{D}^*\Lambda_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*.$
(2) $J = 1/2, I = 3/2$
 $J/\psi \Delta, \bar{D}\Sigma_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*.$
(3) $J = 3/2, I = 1/2$
 $J/\psi N, \bar{D}^*\Lambda_c, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*.$
(4) $J = 3/2, I = 3/2$
 $\eta_c \Delta, J/\psi \Delta, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*.$
(5) $J = 5/2, I = 1/2$
 $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*.$
(6) $J = 5/2, I = 3/2$
 $J/\psi \Delta, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*.$

 $\Xi_c^{\prime+}$: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(us + su)$, and now the spin wave function for the three quarks is the mixed symmetric, $\chi_{\rm MS}$, in the last two quarks,

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 100, 014021 (2019)

$$\chi_{\rm MS} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow + \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow - 2 \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow), & \text{for } S_z = 1/2, \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow + \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow - 2 \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow), & \text{for } S_z = -1/2. \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_{\rm MA} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \uparrow (\uparrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow), & \text{for } S_z = 1/2, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \downarrow (\uparrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow), & \text{for } S_z = -1/2. \end{cases}$$

At low energies the y^{μ} becomes $y^{0} \sim 1$

I

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{v}} \quad \rho^{0}, \, \omega, \, \phi \\ \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{v}} \quad \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{v}} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle (us - su) | \begin{pmatrix} g \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} - d\bar{d}) \\ g \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) \\ g s\bar{s} \end{pmatrix} | \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (us - su) \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

One can see that the heavy quarks are spectators if we exchange light vectors. Then heavy quark spin symmetry is automatically fulfilled. The exchange of light vectors gives the dominant terms.

S	=1/2		(4306.3	38 + i7.62) MeV			
	$\eta_c N$	$J/\psi N$	$ar{D}\Lambda_c$	$ar{D}\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^*\Lambda_c$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$
g_i	0.67 + i0.01	0.46 - i0.03	0.01 - i0.01	2.07 - i0.28	0.03 + i0.25	0.06 - i0.31	0.04 - i0.15
$ g_i $	0.67	0.46	0.01	2.09	0.25	0.31	0.16
			(4452.9	6 + i11.72) MeV			
	$\eta_c N$	$J/\psi N$	$\bar{D}\Lambda_c$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^*\Lambda_c$	$\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$
g_i	0.24 + i0.03	0.88 - 0.11	0.09 - i0.06	0.12 - i0.02	0.11 - i0.09	1.97 - i0.52	0.02 + i0.19
$ g_i $	0.25	0.89	0.11	0.13	0.14	2.03	0.19
			(4520.4	5 + i11.12) MeV			
	$\eta_c N$	$J/\psi N$	$\bar{D}\Lambda_c$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^*\Lambda_c$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$
g_i	0.72 - i0.10	0.45 - i0.04	0.11 - i0.06	0.06 - i0.02	0.06 - i0.05	0.07 - i0.02	1.84 - i0.56
$ g_i $	0.73	0.45	0.13	0.06	0.08	0.08	1.92

	S=3	8/2			
(4374.33 + i6.87) MeV	$J/\psi N$	$ar{D}^*\Lambda_c$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	$ar{D}\Sigma_c^*$	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$
$\left \begin{array}{c} g_i \\ g_i \end{array} ight $	0.73 <i>- i</i> 0.06 0.73	0.11 - <i>i</i> 0.13 0.18	0.02 - i0.19 0.19	1.91 - i0.31 1.94	0.03 - i0.30 0.30
$(4452.48 + i1.49) \text{ MeV} \ g_i \ g_i $	$J/\psi N$ 0.30 - i0.01 0.30	$ar{D}^* \Lambda_c \ 0.05 - i 0.04 \ 0.07$	$\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c$ 1.82 - i0.08 1.82	$ar{D}\Sigma_c^* \ 0.08 - i0.02 \ 0.08$	$ar{D}^* \Sigma_c^* \ 0.01 - i 0.19 \ 0.19$
(4519.01 + i6.86) MeV $g_i \\ g_i $	$J/\psi N$ 0.66 - i0.01 0.66	$ar{D}^* \Lambda_c$ 0.11 - <i>i</i> 0.07 0.13	$ar{D}^* \Sigma_c \ 0.10 - i 0.3 \ 0.10$	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ 0.13 - <i>i</i> 0.02 0.13	$ar{D}^* \Sigma_c^*$ 1.79 - i0.36 1.82

TABLE III.	Identification of some of the $I = 1/2$ resonances
found in this	work with experimental states.

Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	Main channel	J^P	Experimental state
4306.4	15.2	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	1/2-	$P_{c}(4312)$
4453.0	23.4	$\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	$1/2^{-}$	$P_{c}(4440)$
4452.5	3.0	$ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$	3/2-	$P_{c}(4457)$

Note state around 4380 MeV !!!

Another state J = 5/2, I = 1/2

Similar results obtained using single channels in

M. Z. Liu, Y. W. Pan, F. Z. Peng,M. S. Sanchez, L. S. Geng,A. Hosaka, and M. P. Valderrama,Phys. Rev. Lett. 122,242001 (2019)

And in coupled channels in Du, Baru, Guo, Hanhart, Meissner Phys.Rev.Lett. 124 (2020) 7, 072001 (also spectrum done)

At 4500-4520 MeV

Side comment: We do not use SU(4) symmetry

Some people use SU(4) instead, Lutz, Ramos....

It does not matter: the dominant terms come from the exchange of light vectors and one projects over SU(3) automatically.

In the study of Ω_{c} states

G. Montaña, A. Feijoo, and A. Ramos, Eur. Phys. J. A 54, 64 (2018) use SU(4)

V. R. Debastiani, J. M. Dias, W. H. Liang and E. Oset PHYSICAL REVIEW D 97, 094035 (2018)

The results are practically indistinguishable

Talk given by M. Z. Wang, on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration at Implications workshop 2020

In the reaction

$$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda K^-$$

Sci.Bull. 66 (2021) 1278-1287 • e-Print: 2012.10380

 $M = 4458.8 \pm 2.9^{+4.7}_{-1.2}$ MeV, $\Gamma = 17.3 \pm 6.5^{+8.0}_{-5.7}$ MeV

This reaction had been suggested in

Looking for a hidden-charm pentaquark state with strangeness S = -1 from Ξ_{h}^{-} decay into $J/\psi K^{-}\Lambda$

Hua-Xing Chen(BeiHang U.), Li-Sheng Geng, Wei-Hong Liang, Eulogio Oset, En Wang PHYSICAL REVIEW C 93, 065203 (2016)

Can the newly $P_{cs}(4459)$ be a strange hidden-charm $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ molecular pentaquarks?

Rui Chen E-Print: 2011.07214

In the work of Wu and Molina there were predictions about hidden charm and strange pentaquark molecules. An update using HQSS is done in

Xiao, Nieves, Oset Phys.Lett.B 799 (2019) 135051

In addition, $\overline{D}^* \Xi_c^*$ could also couple to J = 5/2 in *S*-wave.

1)
$$\Lambda: \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_{MS}\chi_{MS} + \phi_{MA}\chi_{MA})$$

2) $\Lambda_c^+: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ud - du)\chi_{MA},$
3) $\Xi_c^+: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us - su)\chi_{MA}$ and $\Xi_c^0: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ds - sd)\chi_{MA},$
4) $\Xi_c'^+: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us + su)\chi_{MS}$ and $\Xi_c'^0: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ds + sd)\chi_{MS}$
5) $\Xi_c^{*+}: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(us + su)\chi_S$ and $\Xi_c^{*0}: c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ds + sd)\chi_S,$

Dimensionless coupling constants of the $(I = 0, J^{P} = 1/2^{-})$ poles found in this work.

	$\eta_c \Lambda$	$J/\psi \Lambda$	$\bar{D} \Xi_c$	$\bar{D}_s \Lambda_c$	$\bar{D} \Xi_c'$	$\bar{D}^* \Xi_c$	$\bar{D}_s^*\Lambda_c$	$\bar{D}^* \Xi_c'$	$\bar{D}^*\Xi_c^*$
4276.5	59 + i7.67								
g _i g _i	0.17 <i>- i</i> 0.03 0.17	0.29 - <i>i</i> 0.07 0.30	2.93 + i0.08 2.93	0.76 + <i>i</i> 0.31 0.82	0.00 + i0.01 0.01	0.01 + i0.02 0.02	0.01 + i0.04 0.05	0.01 - <i>i</i> 0.02 0.02	0.01 <i>- i</i> 0.03 0.03
4429.8	84 + i7.92								
gi gi	0.29 - <i>i</i> 0.11 0.31	0.17 - <i>i</i> 0.07 0.18	0.00 - <i>i</i> 0.00 0.00	0.00 - i0.00 0.00	0.15 - <i>i</i> 0.26 0.30	2.78 + i0.01 2.78	0.66 + <i>i</i> 0.32 0.73	0.01 + i0.05 0.05	0.01 + <i>i</i> 0.03 0.04
4436.7	70 + i1.17								
gi gi	0.24 + i0.03 0.24	0.14 + 0.01 0.14	0.00 - <i>i</i> 0.00 0.00	0.00 - i0.00 0.00	1.72 — i0.04 1.72	0.22 <i>- i</i> 0.31 0.38	0.06 - <i>i</i> 0.01 0.07	0.01 <i>- i</i> 0.04 0.04	0.01 <i>- i</i> 0.03 0.03
4580.9	96 + i2.44								
gi gi	0.12 - <i>i</i> 0.00 0.12	0.37 <i>- i</i> 0.04 0.37	0.02 - <i>i</i> 0.01 0.02	0.02 - i0.01 0.02	0.03 - <i>i</i> 0.00 0.03	0.02 - i0.02 0.03	0.03 - <i>i</i> 0.02 0.03	1.57 — i0.17 1.58	0.00 + i0.02 0.02
4650.8	86 + i2.59								
Bi	0.32 - i0.05	0.19 - i0.03	0.02 - i0.01	0.03 - i0.02	0.02 - i0.00	0.01 - i0.01	0.02 - i0.01	0.01 - i0.00	1.41 - i0.23
gi	0.32	0.19	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	1.43

Same as Table 1 for $J^P = 3/2^-$.

	$J/\psi \Lambda$	$\bar{D}^* \Xi_c$	$\bar{D}_s^*\Lambda_c$	$\bar{D}^* \Xi_c'$	$\bar{D} \Xi_c^*$	$ar{D}^*\Xi_c^*$
4429.	52 + i7.67					
gi	0.31 <i>- i</i> 0.10	2.77 - i0.02	0.67 + i0.32	0.00 + i0.0.02	0.00 - i0.06	0.00 + i0.0.04
$ g_i $	0.32	2.77	0.74	0.02	0.06	0.04
4506.	99 + i1.03					
g_i	0.27 - i0.02	0.02 - i0.03	0.02 - i0.02	0.00 - i0.03	1.56 — i0.07	0.00 - i0.05
$ g_i $	0.27	0.03	0.03	0.03	1.56	0.05
4580.	96 + i0.34					
gi	0.14 - i0.01	0.01 - <i>i</i> 0.01	0.01 - <i>i</i> 0.01	1.54 - i0.02	0.02 - i0.00	0.00 - i0.04
gi	0.14	0.01	0.02	1.54	0.02	0.04
4650.	58 + i1.48					
gi	0.29 - i0.02	0.02 - <i>i</i> 0.01	0.03 - i0.02	0.03 - <i>i</i> 0.01	0.03 - i0.00	1.40 - i0.13
$ g_i $	0.29	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	1.41

experiment

Related works

J.~A.~M.~Valera, I, V.~K.~Magas and A.~Ramos, %``Double strangeness molecular-type pentaquarks from coupled channel dynamics," [arXiv:2210.02792 [hep-ph]].

C.~W.~Shen, Y.~h.~Lin and U.~G.~Mei\ss{}ner, %``\$P_{cc}^N\$ states in a unitarized coupled-channel approach," [arXiv:2208.10865 [hep-ph]].

Observation of Five New Narrow Ω_c^0 States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$



Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)
$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5\pm0.6\pm0.3$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1 \substack{+0.3 \\ -0.5}$	$0.8\pm0.2\pm0.1$
	0.0	<1.2 MeV, 95% C.L.
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5\pm0.4\pm0.2$
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5 \substack{+0.3 \\ -0.5}$	$8.7\pm1.0\pm0.8$
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1\pm0.8\pm0.4$



$$V_{ij} = D_{ij} \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^2} (p^0 + p'^0)$$

Debastiani, Dias , Liang , E. O. Phys.Rev.D 97 (2018) 9, 094035

TABLE III. D_{ij} coefficients of Eq. (23) for the meson-baryon states coupling to $J^P = 1/2^-$ in *s*-wave.

J = 1/2	$\Xi_c \bar{K}$	$\Xi_c' \bar{K}$	ΞD	$\Omega_c \eta$	ΞD^*	$\Xi_c ar{K}^*$	$\Xi_c' ar{K}^*$
$\Xi_c \bar{K}$	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda$	0	0	0	0
$\Xi_c' ar{K}$		-1	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda$	$-\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	0
ΞD			-2	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\lambda$	0	0	0
$\Omega_c \eta$				0	0	0	0
ΞD^*					-2	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda$
$\Xi_c ar{K}^*$						-1	0
$\Xi_c'ar{K}^*$							-1

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{-m_V^2}{(m_D - m_K)^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \approx 0.25$$
$$T = [1 - VG]^{-1}V$$

Poles searched in the second Riemann sheet

Couplings defined as $T_{ij} = \frac{g_i g_j}{\sqrt{s} - z_R}$

$$G_l^{II} = G_l^I + i \frac{2M_l q}{4\pi\sqrt{s}}$$

Three states in very good agreement with experiment

TABLE VI. The coupling constants to various channels for the poles in the $J^P = 1/2^-$ sector, with $q_{\text{max}} = 650$ MeV, and $g_i G_i^{II}$ in MeV.

3054.05 + i0.44	$\Xi_c ar{K}$	$\Xi_c'ar{K}$	ΞD	$\Omega_c\eta$	ΞD^*	$\Xi_c ar{K}^*$	$\Xi_c'ar{K}^*$
$\frac{g_i}{g_i G_i^{II}}$	-0.06 + i0.14 -1.40 - i3.85	$\frac{1.94 + i0.01}{-34.41 - i0.30}$	$-2.14 + i0.26 \\ 9.33 - i1.10$	$\frac{1.98 + i0.01}{-16.81 - i0.11}$	0 0	0 0	0 0
3091.28 + i5.12	$\Xi_c ar{K}$	$\Xi_c' ar{K}$	ΞD	$\Omega_c \eta$	ΞD^*	$\Xi_c ar{K}^*$	$\Xi_c'ar{K}^*$
$g_i \ g_i G_i^{II}$	0.18 - i0.37 5.05 + i10.19	0.31 + i0.25 -9.97 - i3.67	5.83 - i0.20 -29.82 + i0.31	0.38 + i0.23 -3.59 - i2.23	0 0	0 0	0 0

TABLE VIII. The coupling constants to various channels for the poles in the $J^P = 3/2^-$ sector, with $q_{\text{max}} = 650$ MeV, and $g_i G_i^{II}$ in MeV.

3124.84	$\Xi_c^*ar K$	$\Omega_c^*\eta$	ΞD^*	$\Xi_c ar{K}^*$	Ξ^*D	$\Xi_c' ar{K}^*$
g_i	1.95	1.98	0	0	-0.65	0
$g_i G_i^{II}$	-35.65	-16.83	0	0	1.93	0

Description of the Ξ_c and Ξ_b states as molecular states Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:167

Table 10 Poles in the $J^P = 1/2^-$ sector from pseudoscalar-baryon interaction (all units are in MeV)

q _{max}	600	650	700	
	2684.23 + i89.72	2679.71 + i76.48	2673.49 + i64.54	Mass JP C [MeV]
	2800.72 + i100.03	2801.80 + i86.16	2803.28 + i72.06	
	2880.76 + i10.31	2842.47 + i10.13	2791.30 + i3.63	2790 1/2- 8.9+-1
	2896.57 + i1.34	2870.10 + i10.64	2850.70 + i16.38	
	2969.50 + i3.30	2955.62 + i5.10	2937.15 + i7.31	2930 ? 10.2+-1.4
	3171.55 + i32.48	3160.12 + i37.77	3148.11 + i41.88	

The bold numbers indicate the poles that can be associated with the experimental data

Table 15	The poles in the J^P	$= 1/2^{-}, 3/2^{-}$	sector from	the vector-
baron inte	raction (all units are	in MeV)		

q _{max}	600	650	700	750	800
	3055.63	3016.46	2973.76	2928.28	2880.75
	3117.37	3094.39	3068.21	3040.89	3013.14
	3121.75	3115.67	3109.04	3100.55	3090.16
	3234.03+i0.22	3204.98	3174.50	3143.09	3111.43

The bold numbers indicate the poles that can be associated with the experimental data

Q. X. Yu, R. Pavao, V.R. Debastiani, and E.O.

Ξc states

3080 ? 5.6+-2.2 3123 ? 4+-4

Table 11 The coupling constants to various channels and $g_i G_i^{II}$ for the pole at 2191.30 + *i*3.63 MeV in the $J^P = 1/2^-$ sector with $q_{max} = 700$ MeV (all units are in MeV)

2791.30 + i3.63		$\Xi_c \pi$	$\Xi_c^\prime\pi$	$\Lambda_c ar{K}$	$\Sigma_c ar{K}$	ΛD
g _i	-	-0.01 - i0.03	0.39 - i0.44	-0.09 - i0.05	1.05 - i0.47	1.91 - i0.09
$\frac{g_i G_i^2}{2}$	$\Xi_c \eta$	0.78 + 10.53	$\frac{-3.98 + i14.85}{\Sigma D}$	$\frac{2.70 \pm 10.73}{\Xi_c' \eta}$	$\frac{-11.27 + i4.95}{\Omega_c K}$	$\frac{-7.45 + i0.27}{\Xi D_s}$
$\frac{g_i}{g_i G_i^{II}}$	0.23 + -2.00 -	i0.03 i0.26	8.82 + i0.38 -29.16 - i1.48	0.49 - i0.17 -3.53 + i1.19	0.21 - i0.26 -1.42 + i1.74	5.44 + i0.20 -11.96 - i0.49

The bold numbers indicate the channel with largest coupling

Description of the Ξ_c and Ξ_b states as molecular states Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:167

Q. X. Yu, R. Pavao, V.R. Debastiani, and E.O.

Table 20 The coupling constants to various pseudoscalar-baryon channels and $g_i G_i^{II}$ for the poles in the $J^P = 1/2^-$ sector with $q_{max} = 650 \text{ MeV}$ (all units are in MeV)

6220.30 + i12.60	$\Xi_b \pi$	$\Xi_b^\prime\pi$	$\Lambda_b ar{K}$	$\Sigma_b ar{K}$	$\Lambda ar{B}$
g _i	0.01 + i0.02	0.34 - i0.91	0.01 - i0.01	3.53 - i0.14	-1.03 + i0.61
$\frac{g_i G_i^{i_1}}{2}$	$\frac{-0.60 + i0.05}{\Xi_b \eta}$	$\frac{10.08 + i25.84}{\Sigma \bar{B}}$	$\frac{0.42 + i0.15}{\Xi_b' \eta}$	$-44.85 - i0.67$ $\Omega_b K$	$\frac{1.47 - i0.78}{\Xi B_s}$
$\frac{g_i}{g_i G_i^{II}}$	-0.00 + i0.04 0.02 - i0.38	-2.09 + i4.72 2.54 - i5.25	1.80 + i0.02 -13.14 - i0.55	0.09 - i0.65 -0.74 + i4.33	-1.93 + i2.81 1.45 - i2.02

LHCb Observation of a new Ξ_b^0 state PHYSICAL REVIEW D 103, 012004 (2021) $m(\Xi_b(6227)^0) = 6227.1^{+1.4}_{-1.5} \pm 0.5$ MeV and $\Gamma(\Xi_b(6227)^0) = 18.6^{+5.0}_{-4.1} \pm 1.4$ MeV

More molecular baryonic states

J.~M.~Dias, Q.~X.~Yu, W.~H.~Liang, Z.~F.~Sun, J.~J.~Xie and E.~Oset, %``\$\Xi_{bb}\$ and \$\Omega_{bbb}\$ molecular states," Chin. Phys. C \textbf{44}, no.6, 064101 (2020)

J.~M.~Dias, V.~R.~Debastiani, J.~J.~Xie and E.~Oset, %``Doubly charmed \$\Xi_{cc}\$ molecular states from meson-baryon interaction," Phys. Rev. D \textbf{98}, no.9, 094017 (2018)

W.~H.~Liang, J.~M.~Dias, V.~R.~Debastiani and E.~Oset, %``Molecular \$\Omega_b\$ states," Nucl. Phys. B \textbf{930}, 524-532 (2018)

Q.~X.~Yu, J.~M.~Dias, W.~H.~Liang and E.~Oset, %``Molecular \$\Xi _{bc}\$ states from meson-baryon interaction," Eur. Phys. J. C \textbf{79}, no.12, 1025 (2019)

W.~F.~Wang, A.~Feijoo, J.~Song and E.~Oset, %``Molecular \$\Omega_{cc}\$, \$\Omega_{bb}\$ and \$\Omega_{bc}\$ states," [arXiv:2208.14858 [hep-ph]].

The Tcc discovery by the LHCb collaboration



Spectra without correction by experimental resolution $m_{exp} = 3875.09 \text{ MeV} + \delta m_{exp},$

$$\delta m_{\rm exp} = -273 \pm 61 \pm 5^{+11}_{-14} \text{ keV}. \ \Gamma = 410 \pm 165 \pm 43^{+18}_{-38} \text{ keV}.$$



Spectra corrected by resolution and analyzed with a unitary amplitude

10 $\delta m_{\rm exp} = -360 \pm 40^{+4}_{-0} \text{ keV}, \qquad \Gamma = 48 \pm 2^{+0}_{-14} \text{ keV}.$

A. Feijoo, W.H. Liang, Eulogio Oset, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 11, 114015



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{VPP} &= -ig \, \langle [P, \partial_{\mu} P] V^{\mu} \rangle, \\ \mathcal{L}_{VVV} &= ig \, \langle (V^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} V_{\nu} - \partial_{\mu} V^{\nu} V_{\nu}) V^{\mu} \rangle, \\ g &= \frac{M_V}{2 \, f}, \ (M_V = 800 \text{ MeV}, \ f = 93 \text{ MeV}). \end{aligned}$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi^{+} & K^{+} & \bar{D}^{0} \\ \pi^{-} & \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{0} & D^{-} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta' & D^{-}_{s} \\ D^{0} & D^{+} & D^{+}_{s} & \eta_{c} \end{pmatrix} \qquad V_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^{+} & K^{*+} & \bar{D}^{*0} \\ \rho^{-} & -\frac{\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} & D^{*-} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi & D^{*-}_{s} \\ D^{*0} & D^{*+} & D^{*+}_{s} & J/\psi \end{pmatrix}_{\mu}$$

$D^{\ast +}D^0, D^{\ast 0}D^+$ the 1, 2 channels, the interaction that we obtain is

12

to different masses there is a bit of isospin breaking

Convolution of the G function: Origin of the width. Spectral function Mass distribution

bution
$$\operatorname{Im}[D(s_V)] = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{s_V - M_V^2 + iM_V\Gamma}\right)$$

$$G(\sqrt{s}, M_k, m_k) = \frac{\int \int ds_V G(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_V}, m_k) \times \operatorname{Im}[D(s_V)]}{\int \int (M_V - 2\Gamma_V)^2} ds_V \operatorname{Im}[D(s_V)]}$$

$$G_{l} = i \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{M_{l}}{E_{l}(\mathbf{q})} \frac{1}{k^{0} + p^{0} - q^{0} - E_{l}(\mathbf{q}) + i\epsilon}$$

$$\Gamma_{D^{*+}}(M_{\rm inv}) = \Gamma(D^{*+}) \left(\frac{m_{D^{*+}}}{M_{\rm inv}}\right)^2 \cdot \left[\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{p_{\pi}}{p_{\pi,\rm on}}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{p'_{\pi}}{p'_{\pi,\rm on}}\right)^3\right]$$

where p_{π} is the π^+ momentum in $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$ decay $p'_{\pi}, p'_{\pi,\text{on}}$ are the same magnitudes for $D^{*+} \to D^+ \pi^0$.

$$\Gamma_{D^{*0}}(M_{\rm inv}) = \Gamma(D^{*0}) \left(\frac{m_{D^{*0}}}{M_{\rm inv}}\right)^2 \cdot \left[0.647 \left(\frac{p_{\pi}}{p_{\pi,\rm on}}\right)^3 + 0.353\right]$$
$$\dot{D}^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0 \qquad D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \gamma$$



With mass from unitary reanalysis of LHCb data, Mikhasenko

 $- \overset{*}{D}^{+} \overset{*}{D}^{0}$ thresh. - $\overset{*}{D}^{0} \overset{*}{D}^{+}$ thresh.

3879

3880

3878



Works along the molecular structure of Tcc

L. Meng, G. J. Wang, B. Wang and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 104, 051502 (2021)

Xi-Zhe Ling, Ming-Zhu Liu, Li-Sheng Geng, En Wang, Ju-Jun Xie, Phys.Lett.B 826 (2022) 136897

M. Albaladejo, arXiv:2110.02944 [hep-ph]

Meng-Lin Du, Vadim Baru, Xiang-Kun Dong, Arseniy Filin, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Alexey Nefediev, Juan Nieves, Qian Wang Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 1, 014024

Hong-Wei Ke, Xiao-Hai Liu, Xue-Qian Li, Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 2, 144

Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, Bing-Song Zou, Commun. Theor. Phys. 73 (2021) 12, 125201

.....

Multihadron states coming:

One baryon and two mesons

Three mesons

Many mesons

A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani, L. Roca, and E. Oset. Few-body systems consisting of mesons. Few Body Syst., 61(4):35, 2020.

Tian-Wei Wu, Ya-Wen Pan, Ming-Zhu Liu, and Li-Sheng Geng. Multi-hadron molecules: status and prospect. Sci. Bull., 67:1735–1738, 2022.

"Meson number is not conserved, but flavor is conserved in strong interactions. Multimeson states with different flavors can be relatively stable \rightarrow a periodic table of multihadron states is anticipated "