



# Physics at the Electron Ion Collider

### P. Antonioli – INFN Bologna



The 13th International Workshop on the Physics of Excited Nucleons 17-21 October 2022 Santa Margherita Ligure, Italy

# Why the Electron Ion Collider?

### A new pair of glasses to look into the nucleon





# The EIC project in one slide





# The EIC status/timeline in one slide





start of operations in **2031** full-fledged accelerator in **2034** 

pre-TDR by October 2023 TDR by end of 2024

recent approval of Inflation Reduction Act by US Congress brings decisive (>100 M\$) funds to ensure CD-2/3A

P. Antonioli (INFN/Bologna)

# EIC physics: the big picture



Multidimensional imaging of the structure of the proton; Origin of Mass, Spin, ...



P. Antonioli (INFN/Bologna)

NSTAR 2022 - Physics at the EIC

5

# EIC physics in one slide



e-measurement!

 $\rightarrow$  e/h PID

 $\rightarrow$  EM calorimetry

**∫Ldt:** 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>



semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS)

eletrons and hadrons  $\rightarrow$  hadron PID

### 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>

# QCD at high<br/>parton density<br/>SaturationTomography:<br/>Spatial Imagingee'p $h, \gamma$ pp'

exclusive processes
 get all particles
 → hermeticity
 → IR design + forward region

### 10 - 100 fb<sup>-1</sup>

### EIC extra-bonus: DIS in nuclei

- nPDF modifications
- gluon saturation g(A-dependent) [jets]
- hadronization in CNM

# EIC science and required luminosity





In the following:

- just some highlights of this rich program
- a little bit more on heavy-flavours / hadronization and hadron spectroscopy



Yellow Report process 2020/2021 (detector requirements to deliver EIC physics)  $\rightarrow$  released March 2021 Call for detector proposals issued March 2021  $\rightarrow$  deadline 1/12/2021

- ECCE was general purpose detector for IP6 re-using 1.4 T Babar magnet (bore diameter 2.8)
- ATHENA was general purpose (full EIC science) for IP6 with new 3T solenoidal magnet (and larger bore diameter 3.2 m)
- CORE was a more "compact" proposal, potentially for IP8 (3T solenoid as well)

<u>ECCE selected as reference design</u> (March 2022)  $\rightarrow$  Community working over one general purpose detector for IP6: **ePIC** 



key differences on design: bore diameter and magnet

# ePIC Detector Design (Current)



9/23, 2022

### Tracking:

- New 1.7T solenoid
- Si MAPS (65nm) Tracker
- MPGDs (µRWELL/µMegas)

### PID:

- hp-DIRC
- mRICH/pfRICH
- dRICH
- AC-LGAD (~30ps TOF)

### **Calorimetry:**

- SciGlass/Imaging Barrel EMCal
- PbWO4 EMCal in backward direction
- Finely segmented EMCal +HCal in forward direction
- Outer HCal (sPHENIX re-use)

9

# Heavy flavours @ EIC as CT scanners





# Heavy flavours @ EIC as CT scanners





# HF as CT scanners $\rightarrow$ nPDF



EIC Yellow Report (https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.05419)

Big uncertainty about for x > 0.1

Photon-gluon fusion probes gluon PDF for  $x > ax_B$ with  $a = 1 + 4m_h^2/Q^2$ 



Test of intrinsic HF components in the nPDF: A. Accardi, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (8) (2016) 471

D meson tagging key. Charm reconstruction increased adding PID capabilities

NSTAR 2022 - Physics at the EIC

# Heavy flavours @ EIC as CT scanners



A.V. Belitsky et al. Phys.Rev.D69 (2004) 074014

# Access to gluon Sivers function: TMD





- access to gluon Sivers TMD via di-hadron and di-jet
- The Sivers function f<sup>⊥</sup><sub>1T</sub> encapsulates the correlations between a parton's transverse momentum inside the proton and the spin of the proton
- GSF (Gluon Sivers functions) poorly known (U. D'Alesio et al, JHEP 119 (2015))

$$A_{UT}(\phi_{kS}, k_T) = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow}(\phi_{kS}, k_T) - d\sigma^{\downarrow}(\phi_{kS}, k_T)}{d\sigma^{\uparrow}(\phi_{kS}, k_T) + d\sigma^{\downarrow}(\phi_{kS}, k_T)}$$
SSA: Single Spin Asymmetry  
SSA: Single Spin Asymmetry  

$$\propto \frac{\Delta^N f_{g/p^{\uparrow}}(x, k_{\perp})}{2f_{g/p}(x, k_{\perp})}, \qquad \Delta^N f_{a/p^{\uparrow}}(x, k_{\perp}) = -\frac{2k_{\perp}}{M_p} f_{1T}^{\perp a}(x, k_{\perp})$$
L. Zheng et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 034011



 $k_{\tau}$ 

 $P_{T2}$ 

 $\phi_{\varsigma}$ 

 $\phi_k$ 

1/\*

**P**<sub>71</sub>

e

Sensitivity for SSA in di-charm ATHENA simulation

# Heavy flavours @ EIC as travelers

### EIC White Paper https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1701

**Basic idea**: use  $Q^2$  and  $v=q\cdot p/M$  to control where hadronization happens

- effect foreseen for  $D^0/\pi$  (based on different FF) might be there also for HF baryons
- usually pre-hadron and absorption in CNM discussed for mesons (Kopeliovich et al., Nucl.Phys. A740 (2004) 211-245)
- role of di-quark for baryon hadronization (Adamov et al., Phys.Rev. D64 (2001) 014021

### HERMES results Nuclear Physics B 780 (2007) 1

Results for <u>light hadrons only</u> at <u>much lower energy</u> (fixed target e beam 27.6 GeV)

$$R_{eA}^{\pi}(\nu, Q^{2}, z) = \frac{\frac{N^{\pi}(\nu, Q^{2}, z)}{N^{e}(\nu, Q^{2})}\Big|_{A}}{\frac{N^{\pi}(\nu, Q^{2}, z)}{N^{e}(\nu, Q^{2})}\Big|_{D}}$$







NSTAR 2022 - Physics at the EIC

# Heavy flavours @ EIC as travelers



ECCE simulation: more details C. Wong @ DIS2022 Theory curves from: Li H, Liu Z and I. Vitev, PLB 816 (2021) 136261

Simulation: PYTHIA8 + EPS09 for e+A re-weight

Nuclear modification factor studied in HF-tagged jet

# Baryon-meson ratio (at LHC and in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> / ep)





- in  $e^+e^-$  (ep) data limited to  $p_T < 2$  (2.5) GeV/c
- in vacuum, fragmentation function (Albino et al., NPB 803 (2008)) predicts < 0.25 for baryon-to-meson ratio





- in  $e^+e^-$  (ep) data limited to  $p_T < 2$  (2.5) GeV/c
- in vacuum, fragmentation function (Albino et al., NPB 803 (2008)) predicts < 0.25 for baryon-to-meson ratio
- larger values seen <u>already at HERA</u> in strange sector

# Baryon-meson ratio (LHC) and RQM





30% of  $\Lambda_c$  @LHC are coming from  $\Sigma_c$  indeed

ALICE, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 012001

# Baryon/meson ratio at EIC ( $\Lambda_c/D^0$ )





Multiplicity

Christiansen J. and Skands P, JHEP08 (2015) 3

# Exploring exotic hadrons via photoproduction









COMPASS, PLB 783 (2018) 334



exclusive processes M . Albaladejo et al. PRD 102 (2020) 1



XYZ photoproduction @EIC can be more favorable (than at COMPASS or JLAB) due to luminosity and center of mass energy

O (10<sup>5</sup>) XYZ events in six months at 10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> luminosity @EIC

 $Z \rightarrow J/\psi \pi$ + (and  $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ )

Comprehensive studies presented by JPAC Collaboration

**Eol** presented at SNOWMASS21

semi-inclusive P. Antonioli (INFN/Bologna)

exclusive

# Studying exotic hadrons nature via eA

	XP		
	MI	209	
$\sim$			

 $IJ^{P(C)}$ Constituents Binding energy [MeV] EicC [pb] US-EIC [pb]  $0.3 \times 10^{-3} (1.2 \times 10^{-3})$ 0.1 (0.5)  $T_{cc}^+$  $DD^*$ 01+ 0.273 [55, 56]  $0.2 \times 10^{-3} (1.0 \times 10^{-3})$ 0.1(0.4) $T_{cc}^*$  $D^*D^*$  $01^{+}$ 0.503 [73]  $0\frac{1}{2}^{-}$  $P_{cs}$  $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ 0.3 (3.53) [61] 0.1(1.6)1.8 (30)  $0\frac{1}{2}^{-}$  $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ 18.83 [74] 1.3 (8.8)  $P_{cs}$ 0.1(0.5) $0\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ 18.83 [74]  $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ 0.1 (0.9) 2.6 (18)  $P_{cs}$  $\Lambda_c \bar{\Lambda}_c$  $00^{-+}$ 1.98 (33.8) [61] 0.3 (3.0) 9.6 (110)  $\Sigma_c \bar{\Sigma}_c$  $00^{-+}$  $0.7 \times 10^{-3} (5.2 \times 10^{-3})$ 11.1 (60.8) [61] 0.04 (0.29)  $\Sigma_c \bar{\Sigma}_c$  $0.7 \times 10^{-3} (5.3 \times 10^{-3})$ 10-+ 8.28 (53) [61] 0.04(0.29) $\Xi_c \bar{\Xi}_c$  $1.4 \times 10^{-3} (1.1 \times 10^{-2})$  $00^{-+}$ 4.72 (42.2) [61] 0.1 (0.5)  $\Xi_c \bar{\Xi}_c$  $0.1 \times 10^{-3} (1.7 \times 10^{-3}) \quad 3.9 \times 10^{-3} (7.1 \times 10^{-2})$ 10^+ 18.2 (0.39) [61]  $\Lambda_c \bar{\Sigma}_c$ 10-2.19 (33.9) [61] 0.01 (0.12) 0.5(5.5) $\Lambda_c \bar{\Xi}_c$  $\frac{1}{2}0^{-}$ 1.29 (8.42) [61] 0.01 (0.14) 0.2 (5.3)  $\Xi_c \bar{\Sigma}_c$  $\frac{1}{2}0^{-}$  $0.8 \times 10^{-3} (7.3 \times 10^{-3})$ 0.04 (0.36) 5.98 (46.4) [61]

Pan-Pan Shi et al., arXiv:2208.02639

 3 10<sup>4</sup> – 1.5 10<sup>5</sup> events assuming 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> intergrated luminosity





P. Antonioli (INFN/Bologna)

### Quarkonia @ EIC as weight scales Hadronic matter sums up its components, very differently w.r.t. atoms and nuclei **Molecular Formula** H<sub>2</sub>O water Hydrogen 7732-18-5 Water Distilled water Synonyms Dihydrogen oxide Oxygen Purified water More... **Molecular Weight** 18.015 Proton 8 5 6 9 Neutron helium В С N 0 F proton neutron nucleus Carbon Nitrogen Fluorine Boron Oxygen Helium 10.81 12.011 14.007 15.999 18.99840316 13 14 15 16 17 4.001503 au Si S AI P CI Aluminum Silicon Phosphorus Sulfur Chlorine 4.03188 au 26.981538 28.085 30.97376200 32.07 35.45 d quark u quark QCD dynamics makes 98% of proton mass Mass $\approx 168 \times 10^{-26}$ g Higgs mechanism: (and baryonic matter is just 5% of the Universe) Mass ≈ 1.78×10<sup>-26</sup> g Proton

# Quarkonia @ EIC as weight scales



 $J/\psi$  and Y near threshold production gives access to trace anomaly Wang R. et al, Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020)



Yi-Bo Yang et al, Lattice 2017

# EIC science and hadron spectroscopy



# EIC science and hadron spectroscopy



This is the exact time to build a comprehensive hadron spectroscopy program at EIC with finally realistic detector design

# EIC science and hadron spectroscopy



This is exact time to build a comprehensive hadron spectroscopy program at EIC with finally realistic detector design

 $\Lambda_{\text{c}}$ , D, and J/ $\psi$  (will be "building blocks" for exotic hadron detection XYZ

In addition to the rich "treasure" they are bringing for our understanding of the nucleon:

- the structure of the nucleon (and when bound in nuclei): seen in 1D, 3D and revealing its IC component (if any)
- hadronization (in the vacuum and in the CNM)
- the origin of the mass of the nucleon from gluons





P. Antonioli (INFN/Bologna)

and

# A new pair of glasses helps!





### Miscellanea backup

# EIC science & luminosity





# EIC kinematic space





# D<sup>0</sup> production/acceptance/reconstruction



Momentum (GeV/c)

M. Kelsey et al. Phys. Rev. D 104, 054002 (2021)

P. Antonioli (INFN/Bologna)

NSTAR 2022 - Physics at the EIC

## Trace anomaly and J/ $\psi$ - Y production near threshold

Wang R. et al, Eur. Phys. J.C 80 (2020) 6, 507 arXiv:1912.12040

$$egin{aligned} M_q &= rac{3}{4} \left( a - rac{b}{1+\gamma_m} 
ight) M_N \ M_g &= rac{3}{4} (1-a) M_N, \ M_m &= rac{4+\gamma_m}{4(1+\gamma_m)} b M_N, \ M_a &= rac{1}{4} (1-b) M_N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \left. \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma N \to J/\psi N}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} &= \left. \frac{3\Gamma \left( J/\psi \to e^+ e^- \right)}{\alpha m_{J/\psi}} \left( \frac{k_{J/\psi N}}{k_{\gamma N}} \right)^2 \frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi N \to J/\psi N}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \\ F_{J/\psi N} &\simeq r_0^3 d_2 \frac{2\pi^2}{27} \left( 2M_N^2 - \left\langle N \left| \sum_{i=u,d,s} m_i \bar{q}_i q_i \right| N \right\rangle \right) \right) \\ &\simeq r_0^3 d_2 \frac{2\pi^2}{27} \left( 2M_N^2 - 2bM_N^2 \right) \\ &\simeq r_0^3 d_2 \frac{2\pi^2}{27} 2M_N^2 (1-b), \\ \left. \frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi N \to J/\psi N}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{64\pi} \frac{1}{m_{J/\psi}^2 \left( \lambda^2 - m_N^2 \right)} \left| F_{J/\psi N} \right|^2. \end{split}$$

# Luminosity matters





JHEP 1011 (2010) 009 HERA2 DIS data integrating all statistics... (and two detection channel) 1  $p_T$  bin (0-10 GeV/c), 20% statistical error