

The N*-spectrum and strong QCD

U. Thoma, Bonn

Contents:

- Introduction
- Experimental data
- Results on the spectrum
- Interpretations / open questions
- Summary



... before I start:

I would like to remember Bernd Krusche ...



.... who passed away much too early

"In memoriam Bernd Krusche" V. Metag, Thursday, Oct. 20th

Many, many contributions to the field, e.g.:

 $\Leftrightarrow \eta$ -photoproduction off the neutron and proton



L. Witthauer / D. Werthmüller - Basel

Why baryons?

A They played am important role in the development of our universe



 $\Leftrightarrow \text{ baryons} = \text{dominant part of visible}$ matter in the universe $\Delta^{++} \rightarrow \text{color} \leftrightarrow \text{ non-abelian character of QCD}$

⇔ Can we claim that we have understood Quantum Chromodynamics without understanding its bound states? ⇔ NO!

⇔ One of the worst understood areas of the standard model = a challenge!

 \Leftrightarrow How does QCD produce its massive bound states from almost

massless quarks?

Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances \leftrightarrow bound states of strong QCD

- What are the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- Effective forces between them ?

e.g.:



Symmetric quark models:

 \rightarrow many more resonances expected than observed yet



Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances \leftrightarrow bound states of strong QCD

- What are the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- Effective forces between them ?
- Symmetric quark models:
 - \rightarrow many more resonances expected than observed yet (certain configurations completely missing)
 - $\Leftrightarrow \textbf{Certain configurations not realised by QCD ? Why ?}$
 - ⇔ Experimental bias?



Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances \leftrightarrow bound states of strong QCD

- What are the relevant degrees of freedom ?
- Effective forces between them ?
- Symmetric quark models:
 - \rightarrow many more resonances expected than observed yet (certain configurations completely missing)
 - ⇔ Certain configurations not realised by QCD ? Why ?
 - ⇔ Experimental bias?



... not yet identified

Or does the quark model just use the wrong degrees of freedom?

↔ Mesons-Baryon degrees of freedom?

... seems to work nicely for certain resonances ...

↔ Functional methods (Dyson-Schwinger/Bethe-Salpeter equations) Nice results! ... spectrum so far only J=1/2, 3/2 (up to ~ 1900 MeV)

- Aim: Good understanding of the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances = the bound states of strong QCD
- Effective degrees of freedom ? / Effective forces between them ?



Excited baryons from Lattice QCD:

Exhibits the broad features expected from SU(6) \otimes O(3)-symmetry

- → Counting of levels consistent with non-rel. quark model ⇔ "missing resonances"
- \rightarrow no parity doubling

Of course there are also approximations made by lattice QCD (e.g. m_{π} =396 MeV)

\Rightarrow Good understanding of the spectrum and properties of baryon resonances

Experimentally: Broad and strongly overlapping resonances

Important:

- \rightarrow Investigation of different final states
- → Investigation of different production processes: πN , γN , $\gamma^* N$, Ψ , Ψ' -decays, ...
- → Measurement of polarization observables (unambiguous PWA)



Recently: a lot of progress from photoproduction experiments:







CBALL (MAMI),



LEPS (Spring-8), BGOOD (ELSA), GRAAL (ESRF), ...

⇔ polarized beam, polarized target

\Rightarrow Good understanding of the spectrum and properties of baryon resonances

Experimentally: Broad and strongly overlapping resonances

Important:

- \rightarrow Investigation of different final states
- → Investigation of different production processes: πN , γN , $\gamma^* N$, Ψ , Ψ' -decays, ...
- → Measurement of polarization observables (unambiguous PWA)



Recently: a lot of progress from photoproduction experiments:





CBELSA/TAPS (ELSA),



CBALL (MAMI),



LEPS (Spring-8), BGOOD (ELSA), GRAAL (ESRF), ...

[⇔] polarized beam, polarized target

Double Polarization Experiments - Selected Results -

Circularly polarized photons, longitudinally polarized target

CBELSA/TAPS

proton spin

 $\sigma_{1/2}$

-1/2

 $\gamma p
ightarrow p \pi^0$:

PWAs: SAID (SN11, CM12), MAID BnGa (2011_2)

↔ describe the so far existing photoproduction data, but ...

large deviations observed

Differences even at low – energies where everything was thought to be well understood ...

M. Gottschall et al. (CBELSA/TAPS-collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 012003 (2014)



photon spin proton spin $1 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow$ Sensitivity on high mass resonances !

circ. pol. photons, long. pol. target, CBELSA/TAPS high energy bins, blue: CLAS



\Rightarrow data approaches the high mass region

— new BnGa-fit : Determination of precise $p\eta$ -branching ratios for resonances

J.Müller et al. (CBELSA/TAPS), PLB 803, 135323 (2020)

lin. pol. photons, transv. pol. target, CBELSA/TAPS high energy bins, blue: MAMI



 $ec{\gamma}ec{p}
ightarrow p\eta~~$ - Results including new data on $E,~G,~T,~P,~H,~\Sigma,~\sigma$

Data allowed a new determination of $p\eta$ -branching ratios for many resonances, e.g.: J.Müller et al. (CBELSA/TAPS), PLB 803, 135323 (2020)

	$N(1535)1/2^-$	$N(1650)1/2^-$	$N(1710)1/2^+$	$N(1895)1/2^-$
BnGa	0.41±0.04	0.33±0.04	0.18±0.10	0.10±0.05
PDG'2012	0.42±0.10	0.05 - 0.15	0.10 - 0.30	no PDG estimate

⇔ Additional constraints from new (polarization) data fix PWA-solutions much better than before

Large and heavily discussed difference in the $p\eta$ -branching ratio of N(1535)1/2⁻ and N(1650)1/2⁻ now significantly reduced

New (double) polarization data was also included in JüBo:



Next step: Comparison of PWA-results of different groups including the new data ⇔ convergence towards consistent results? JüBo, BnGa, MAID, SAID ...

Multi-channel Bonn-Gatchina PWA:

- ⇒ Confirmation known resonances, better determination of their properties
- ⇒ New resonances observed

	RPP 2010	our analyses	RPP'22 (2018-22)
N(1710)1/2+	***	****	****
N(1860)5/2+		*	**
N(1875)3/2-		***	***
N(1880)1/2+		***	***
N(1895)1/2-		****	****
N(1900)3/2+	**	****	****
N(2060)5/2-		***	***
N(2100)1/2+	*	***	***
N(2120)3/2-		***	***
∆ (1600)3/2 +	***	***	****
∆ (1900)1/2 [−]	*	***	***
∆ (1940)3/2 [−]	*	**	**
∆ (2200)7/2 [−]	*	***	***

from 2000-2010 <u>not one</u> new baryon resonance was considered by the PDG

↔ Results from photoproduction do now enter the PDG and determine the properties of baryon resonances!

(before: almost entirely πN -scattering and some π -photoproduction)

Photoproduction provides access to the "inelastic channels"

⇒ better determination of resonance properties

BnGa-PWA: A. V. Anisovich et al., EPJA 48 (2012) 15, PRL 119 (2017) 062004, PLB 772 (2017) 247, J. Müller et al., PLB 803 (2020) 135323 ...

Multi-channel Bonn-Gatchina PWA:

- \Rightarrow Confirmation known resonances, better determination of their properties
- \Rightarrow New resonances observed

	RPP 2010	our analyses	RPP'22 (2018-22)
N(1710)1/2+	***	****	****
N(1860)5/2+		*	**
N(1875)3/2-		***	***
N(1880)1/2+		***	***
N(1895)1/2-		****	****
N(1900)3/2+	**	*** <mark>*</mark>	*** <mark>*</mark>
N(2060)5/2-		***	***
N(2100)1/2+	*	***	***
N(2120)3/2-		***	***
∆ (1600)3/2 +	***	***	*** <mark>*</mark>
∆ (1900)1/2 [−]	*	***	***
∆ (1940)3/2 [−]	*	**	**
Δ (2200)7/2 $^-$	*	***	***



BnGa-PWA: A. V. Anisovich et al., EPJA 48 (2012) 15, PRL 119 (2017) 062004, PLB 772 (2017) 247, J. Müller et al., PLB 803 (2020) 135323 ...

Beam-Recoil polarization:





Fit within the Bonn-Gatchina multi-channel PWA: Favours the existence of the N(1900)3/2+

(confirmed by O. V. Maxwell, PRC85, 034611 (2012), T. Mart, M. Kholili, PRC86, 022201 (2012))

Evidence against the quark-diquark model

Beam-Recoil polarization:

CLAS



N*-, Δ^* - pole positions:



⇔ Parity doublets occur!

- not expected by present lattice QCD calculations or constituent quark-models
- ⇔ Strong QCD not yet understood !

Δχź

4000

2000



 Δ (1910)1/2⁺ Δ (1920)3/2⁺ Δ (1905)5/2⁺ Δ (1950)7/2⁺ Δ (1900)1/2⁻ Δ (1940)3/2⁻ Δ (1930)5/2⁻ ??? 7/2⁻

Search for the parity partner of the well known Δ (1950)7/2⁺ (4*) =

 $\Rightarrow J^{\mathbf{P}} = 7/2^{-} \text{-state found at a significantly}$ higher mass: m = 2200 MeV (7/2⁻(2200) - (1*)-resonance (PDG) confirmed)

- ⇔ No parity-partner found
- $\Rightarrow \text{Certain states have parity partners, others not} \\\Rightarrow \text{Not yet understood!}$



V. Anisovich et al. (BnGa-PWA), Phys.Lett. B766 (2017) 357

400

200

200

100

50

25

100

10

7/2

 $\Delta \chi^2$

Precise measurements of polarisation observables

CBELSA/TAPS, CLAS-data (only a few of the measured bins shown:)



Multi-Meson-Photoproduction: $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \eta$



- $\Delta(1910)1/2^+$, $\Delta(1920)3/2^+$, $\Delta(1905)5/2^+$, $\Delta(1950)7/2^+$ in average: negligible decay fraction (5 ± 2%) into: N(1520)3/2^{- π}, N(1535)1/2^{- π}, ($L \neq 0$ -resonances)
- N(1880)1/2⁺, N(1900)3/2⁺, N(2000)5/2⁺, N(1990)7/2⁺ in average: 21% decays into:

 $N(1520)3/2^{-}\pi, N(1535)1/2^{-}\pi, N\sigma \ (L \neq 0\text{-resonances})$

V. Sokhoyan et al. (CBELSA/TAPS-collaboration), EPJA 51 (2015) 95 A. Thiel et al. (CBELSA/TAPS-collaboration), PRL 114 (2015) 091803, T.Seifen et al., arXiv:2207.01981 [nucl-ex] ... Why ?

An interpretation using quarkmodel-wave-functions:

Δ*'s @1900 MeV:

symmetric wave function (56'plet)



N*'s @1900 MeV:

wave function: M_S / M_A (70'plet)





⇒ would explain the observation!

... and it seems to hold more general ...



(↔ talk N. Stausberg, today)

⇔ supports a two-oscillator picture of resonances (3q)

... confirmation in further (polarisation) measurements

Electroproduction data from CLAS: Q²-dependence of helicity amplitudes

in 2002 Roper was still consistent with a hybrid state







LF RQM descibes helicity amplitudes at $\mathsf{Q}^2>\!\!1.5\!\!\cdot\!\!2.5\,\mathsf{GeV}^2$

Interpretation: Meson-baryon contributions dominate low Q²-behaviour

CLAS results: Identify Roper resonance as first radial excitation of the proton

The 1st radial excitation of the 3q-core emerges as the probe penetrates the MB cloud

Electroproduction data from CLAS: Q²-dependence of helicity amplitudes



LF RQM describes helicity amplitudes at $\mathsf{Q}^2>\!\!1.5\text{-}2.5\,\mathsf{GeV}^2$

Interpretation: Meson-baryon contributions dominate low Q²-behaviour

Understanding the nature of the states further: qqq, meson-baryon, hybrid via measurement of the Q²-dependence of the helicity amplitudes

 $\Rightarrow Further data to come from CLAS12 \qquad \Leftrightarrow Extending the Q²-range!$

Hybrid states



⇔ Hybrid states expected around ~2.2 GeV



CLAS'12 -proposal "A Search for Hybrid Baryons in Hall B with CLAS12" (Annalisa D'Angelo)

Interpretations of the 1/2⁻ states: N(1535)1/2⁻, N(1650)1/2⁻

Effective degrees of freedom: 3q vs. meson-baryon

• Coupled-channel unitarized chiral pert. theo.:

N(1535)1/2 $^-$, N(1650)1/2 $^-$ dynamically generated but not $\Delta(1620)1/2^-$

parameters fixed in the strong sector:



parameter-free prediction $\gamma p
ightarrow p \pi^0$:



• SU(6)xO(3):

N(1535)1/2 $^-$, N(1650)1/2 $^-$, Δ (1620)1/2 $^-$ are part of the 70'plet



seems unnatural to steal two of those ...

₩

- are dynamically generated poles and "3q"-poles different descriptions of the same object?
- or are they orthogonal states?

Interpretations of the 1/2⁻ states: N(1535)1/2⁻, N(1650)1/2⁻

Effective degrees of freedom: 3q vs. meson-baryon

• Coupled-channel unitarized chiral pert. theo.:

N(1535)1/2 $^-$, N(1650)1/2 $^-$ dynamically generated but not $\Delta(1620)1/2^-$

parameters fixed in the strong sector:





- 125
- Electroproduction:

N(1535)1/2⁻ is a 3-quark state



Interpretations of the 1/2⁻ states: N(1535)1/2⁻, N(1650)1/2⁻

Effective degrees of freedom: 3q vs. meson-baryon

• Coupled-channel unitarized chiral pert. theo.:

N(1535)1/2 $^-$, N(1650)1/2 $^-$ dynamically generated but not $\Delta(1620)1/2^-$

parameters fixed in the strong sector:



parameter-free prediction $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0$:



• Electroproduction:





Summary

- Based on the new data, our knowledge of the spectrum and the properties of baryons is steadily increasing !
- ↔ Important contributions from photoproduction experiments (single and double polarisation experiments (many final states))
- ⇒ Observation of new resonances

\Rightarrow Confirmation of known states, determination of their properties

 e.g.: - puzzeling difference between pη-BR of N(1535)1/2⁻ and N(1650)1/2⁻ now very much reduced
 - multi-meson-decays of baryon resonances

⇒ much more interesting results to come and data to be analysed

- ⇒ Many interesting results on the spectrum and the properties of baryon resonances
- ⇔ Quark models/first lattice calculations do not yet provide the expected systematics in the spectrum
 - Experiment: no alternating pattern of positive and negative parity states
 - parity doublets observed (not for all states (?))
 - Baryons fall on Regge-trajectories, Why ?

Bound states of QCD are not yet understood!

∜

e.g.:

- γn -data following talks
- additional final states following talks
- electroproduction.: CLAS 12: extends CLAS studies $Q^2 \rightarrow 12 \text{ GeV}^2$

e.g. talk D. Carman - KY-data

The Spectrum of Baryon Resonances - SU(6)xO(3)-Multiplets

