Development of Reaction Models for KY Photo- and Electroproduction

Dalibor Skoupil

(in collaboration with P. Bydžovský, A. Cieplý, D. Petrellis, and N. Zachariou)

Phys. Rev. C **93**, 025204 (2016) Phys. Rev. C **97**, 025202 (2018) Phys. Rev. C **104**, 065202 (2021)

NSTAR 2022, Santa Margherita Ligure, Italy

October 18, 2022

Motivation

- We aim at understanding the baryon spectrum and production dynamics of particles with strangeness at low energies.
- Constituent Quark Model predicts a lot more N^* states than was observed in pion production experiments \rightarrow "missing" resonance problem.
- Models for the description of elementary hyperon electroproduction are a suitable tool for hypernuclear physics calculations.
- New good-quality photoproduction data from LEPS, GRAAL, MAMI and (particularly) CLAS collaborations allow us to tune free parameters of the models.
- As the α_s increases with decreasing energy, we cannot use perturbative QCD at low energies \rightarrow need for introducing effective theories and models.

Isobar model

Single-channel approximation

 higher-order contributions (rescattering, FSI) included, to some extent, by means of effective values of the coupling constants

Use of effective hadron Lagrangian

- hadrons either in their ground or excited states
- · amplitude constructed as a sum of tree-level Feynman diagrams
 - background part: Born terms with an off-shell proton (s channel), kaon (t), and hyperon (u) exchanges; non Born terms with (axial) vector K^{*} (t) and Y^{*} (u) exchange
 - resonance part: s-channel Feynman diagram with N* exchanges



Free parameters adjusted to experimental data

Satisfactory agreement with the data in the energy range $W = 1.6 - 2.5 \,\text{GeV}$

KY Photo- and Electroproduction

3/20

Isobar model Novel features of our IM

Exchanges of high-spin resonant states

non physical lower-spin components removed by appropriate choice of Lint

$$V^{\mu}_{S}\, {\cal P}^{(1/2)}_{ij,\,\mu
u}\, V^{
u}_{EM} = 0$$

Energy-dependent decay widths of nucleon resonances \rightarrow restoration of unitarity

$$\Gamma(\vec{q}) = \Gamma_{N^*} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{m_{N^*}} \sum_i x_i \left(\frac{|\vec{q}_i|}{|\vec{q}_i^{N^*}|} \right)^{2l+1} \frac{D(|\vec{q}_i|)}{D(|\vec{q}_i^{N^*}|)},$$

Extension from photoproduction to electroproduction

- · Phenomenological form factors in the electromagnetic vertex
- Longitudinal couplings of N*'s to γ* (crucial at small Q²)

$$\begin{split} V^{EM}(N^*_{1/2}p\gamma) &= -i\frac{g_3^{EM}}{(m_R+m_p)^2}\Gamma_{\mp}\gamma_{\beta} \ \mathcal{F}^{\beta}, \\ V^{EM}_{\mu}(N^*_{3/2}p\gamma) &= -i\frac{g_3^{EM}}{m_R(m_R+m_p)^2}\gamma_5\Gamma_{\mp}\left(\not g \ g_{\mu\beta} - q_{\beta}\gamma_{\mu}\right) \ \mathcal{F}^{\beta}, \\ V^{EM}_{\mu\nu}(N^*_{5/2}p\gamma) &= -i\frac{g_3^{EM}}{(2m_p)^5}\Gamma_{\mp}(q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu} + q^2g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu} - q_{\alpha}q_{\nu}g_{\beta\mu} - q_{\beta}q_{\nu}g_{\alpha\mu}) \ p^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}^{\beta}. \end{split}$$

Fitting procedure

Minimization of $\chi^2/n.d.f.$ with help of MINUIT code

Resonance selection

- s channel: spin-1/2, 3/2, and 5/2 N* with mass < 2 GeV; initial set from the Bayesian analysis (PR C 86 (2012) 015212) and then varied
 - missing resonances D₁₃(1875), P₁₁(1880), P₁₃(1900)
- *t* channel: *K**(892), *K*₁(1272)
- *u* channel: *Y**(1/2) and *Y**(3/2)

Free parameters ($\approx 30 + 10$):

- SU(3)_f : $-4.4 \le g_{K\Lambda N}/\sqrt{4\pi} \le -3.0,$ $0.8 \le g_{K\Sigma N}/\sqrt{4\pi} \le 1.3$
- K*'s have vector and tensor couplings
- spin-1/2 resonance → 1 parameter; spin-3/2 and 5/2 resonance → 2 parameters
- 2 cut-off parameters for the hff
- 1 longitudinal coupling for each N*
- 2 cut-off parameters for the emff of K* and K₁

Experimental data

3383 $p(\gamma, K^+)\Lambda$ data

- cross section for W < 2.355 GeV (CLAS 2005 & 2010; LEPS, Adelseck-Saghai)
- hyperon polarisation for W < 2.225 GeV (CLAS 2010)
- beam asymmetry (LEPS)
- 171 $p(e, e'K^+)\Lambda$ data
 - σ_U, σ_T, σ_L, σ_{LT'}, σ_K

Results of the fitting procedure

Solutions: BS1 and BS2, χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.64

(constant widths of *N**'s; fit on $p(\gamma, K^+)\Lambda$ data; PR C 93 (2016) 025204), BS3, χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.74 (energy-dependent widths of *N**'s; fit on $p(\gamma, K^+)\Lambda$ (χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.51) and $p(e, e'K^+)\Lambda$ data; PR C 97 (2018) 025202)

- χ^2 's, fitted parameter values (smallness) and correspondence with data taken into account
- sets of chosen Y^* differ in all BS models \rightarrow different description of background
- electromagnetic form factors of K* and K₁: crucial for Q² > 2 (GeV/c)²

BS1 model	BS3 model
 S₁₁(1535), S₁₁(1650), F₁₅(1680), P₁₃(1720), F₁₅(1860), D₁₃(1875), F₁₅(2000); K*(892), K₁(1272); Λ(1520), Λ(1800), Λ(1890), Σ(1660), 	• $S_{11}(1535), S_{11}(1650), F_{15}(1680), P_{11}(1710), P_{13}(1720), F_{15}(1860), D_{13}(1875), P_{13}(1900), F_{15}(2000), D_{13}(2120);$ • $K^*(892), K_1(1272);$
Σ(1750), Σ(1940); • multidipole form factor: $\Lambda_{bar} = 1.88 \text{ GeV}, \Lambda_{res} = 2.74 \text{ GeV}$	 Λ(1405), Λ(1600), Λ(1890), Σ(1670); dipole form factor: Λ_{bar} = 1.24 GeV, Λ_{res} = 0.89 GeV
$\Lambda_{bgr} = 1.00 \text{ GeV}, \Lambda_{res} = 2.74 \text{ GeV}$	$\Lambda_{bgr} = 1.24 \text{ GeV}, \Lambda_{res} = 0.05 \text{ GeV}$

Angular dependence of the cross section for $p(\gamma, K^+)\Lambda$



Predictions of $d\sigma/d\Omega$ for $p(\gamma, K^+) \wedge$ at $\theta_K^{c.m.} = 6^\circ$



• Brown $[Q^2 = 0.18 (\text{GeV}/c)^2]$ & E94-107 $[Q^2 = 0.07 (\text{GeV}/c)^2]$: data for $p(e, e'K^+)\Lambda$ but: $\sigma_L \sim Q^2$, $\sigma_{TT} \sim \sin^2 \theta_K^{c.m.}$, and $\sigma_{LT} \sim \sqrt{Q^2} \sin \theta_K^{c.m.} \Rightarrow \sigma \approx \sigma_T$

Transverse, σ_T , and longitudinal, σ_L , cross sections of $p(e, e'K^+)\Lambda$



Extension from photo- to electroproduction

- BS1: naive extension by adding em. form factors only
- BS3: em. form factors and longitudinal couplings of N^* 's to γ^* added

Least Absolute Shrinkage Selection Operator (LASSO)

Fitting procedure with MINUIT library: **minimizing the** χ^2

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[d_i - p_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)]^2}{\sigma_{d_i}^2},$$

 $(c_1, ..., c_n)$ - set of free parameters, $(d_1, ..., d_N)$ - set of data points, p_i - theory, σ_{d_i} - error **Problem:** χ^2 minimization cannot prevent overfitting **Remedy to the overfitting issue:** regularization (in this case, it is LASSO)

• penalized χ^2_T : $\chi^2_T = \chi^2 + P(\lambda)$

• penalty term:
$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^4 \sum_{i=1}^{N_{res}} |g_i|$$

 λ - regularization parameter, g_i - resonances' couplings

Information criteria:

• AIC = $2n + \chi_T^2$

• AICc = AIC +
$$\frac{2n(n+1)}{N-n-1}$$

•
$$BIC = n \ln(N) + \chi_T^2$$



P. Bydžovský, A. Cieplý, D. Petrellis, D. Skoupil, and N. Zachariou, PR C 104, 065202 (2021)

Fitting procedure

- non resonant part: Born terms and exchanges of K^* and K_1 (t channel) and Σ^* (u channel)
- resonant part: exchanges of N^* 's and Δ 's in the *s* channel
- around 600 data utilized to fit \approx 25 parameters
- the main coupling, $g_{K^+\Sigma^-n} = \sqrt{2}g_{K^+\Sigma^0\rho} = 1.568$, taken from $K^+\Lambda$ channel
- result with the smallest $\chi^2/ndf = 2.3 \rightarrow fit M$ (25 parameters, 14 resonances)
- LASSO method used: χ^2_T /ndf = 3.4 \rightarrow fit L (17 parameters, 9 resonances)

Characteristics of models

- only one Δ resonance introduced
- no hyperon resonances needed for reliable data description
- · results in very good agreement with the cross-section and beam-asymmetry data
- fit L: a very economical fit

Differential cross section in dependence on the photon lab energy



Differential cross section in dependence on the photon lab energy - fit L w/o individual resonances



N7: N(1720)3/2⁺, M4: N(2060)5/2⁻

Dalibor Skoupil

Beam asymmetry in dependence on the kaon center-of-mass angle - fit L w/o individual resonances



Refitting the model's parameters in the $K^+\Lambda$ channel

Ridge regression and cross validation for suppressing hyperon couplings

Why refit?

- include recent measurements of polarization observables
- need to investigate more the role of hyperon resonances in KY photoproduction
- large values of hyperon couplings: ridge regression to suppress them during the fitting procedure

Ridge regularization

- penalized χ_T^2 : $\chi_T^2 = \chi^2 + \lambda^4 \sum_{i=1}^{K_{\Lambda}} g_i^2$, ($K_{\Lambda} = \text{no. of } Y \text{ couplings}$)
- parameter values reduced but they are not reduced to zero

Cross validation







Effect on the couplings of hyperon resonacnes

BS2 with Ridge

	Tag	Resonance	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	g_1	g_2
	K^*	$K^{*}(892)$	891.7	50.8	-0.176	0.011
	K1	$K_{(1272)}$	1272	90	0.321	-1.136
DC D	N3	$N(1535) 1/2^{-}$	1530	150	-0.012	-
DSZ	N4	$N(1650) \ 1/2^{-}$	1650	125	-0.075	_
	P5	$N(1860) 5/2^+$	1860	270	-0.019	0.009
	N7	$N(1720) \ 3/2^+$	1720	250	0.157	0.009
	P4	$N(1875) \ 3/2^{-}$	1875	200	0.141	0.135
	P2	$N(1900) \ 3/2^+$	1920	200	-0.045	-0.010
	P3	$N(2050) 5/2^+$	2050	220	-0.012	0.013
	N9	$N(1685) 5/2^+$	1685	130	0.048	-0.041
Tag Resonance g_1 g_2	N6	$N(1710) 1/2^+$	1710	140	-0.172	-
L1 $\Lambda(1405) 1/2$ 9.67 –	L1	$\Lambda(1405) \ 1/2^{-}$	1405	51	1.308	-
S1 $\Sigma(1660) 1/2^+$ -8.09 -	S1	$\Sigma(1660) \ 1/2^+$	1660	100	-1.938	-
L4 $\Lambda(1800) 1/2^{-11.55}$ –	L4	$\Lambda(1800) \ 1/2^{-}$	1800	300	-0.342	-
S4 $\Sigma(1940) 3/2^{-}$ -0.86 0.18	$\mathbf{S4}$	$\Sigma(1940) \ 3/2^{-}$	1940	220	-0.567	-0.025

$K^+\Lambda$ channel: beam asymmetry Σ

(results are still preliminary!)



$K^+\Lambda$ channel: target asymmetry T

(results are still preliminary!)



Summary

New version of isobar model for the $K^+\Lambda$ channel

- consistent formalism for high-spin resonances
- energy-dependent widths of *N**'s impemented
- longitudinal couplings for extension towards electroproduction of K⁺Λ
- available for calculations online at: http://www.ujf.cas.cz/en/departments/department-of-theoretical-physics/ isobar-model.html

Description extended from the $K^+\Lambda$ channel to the $K^+\Sigma^-$ channel

Regularization methods (LASSO, ridge) introduced as a remedy for overfitting

Outlook

- testing the models in the DWIA calculations for hypernucleus production
- performing a multi-channel analysis of all Σ photoproduction channels
- extending our analysis of electroproduction beyond $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$
- studying the production of Ξ hypernuclei

Thank you for your attention!

