Session – 11:20 - 12:20 – Thursday, Sep 22nd, 2022





Carl B. Schroeder



EuroNNAc Special Topics Workshop September 19th, 2022









A collider is the ultimate challenge, requires specific solutions

Ballpark requirements and state-of-the-art

	FEL	Collider	Current
Charge per bunch (nC)	0.01 - 0.1	0.1 - 1	0.01 - 0.1
Energy gain (GeV)	0.1 - 10	1000+	0.1 - 10
Energy spread (%)	0.1	0.1	0.1 - 1
Wall-plug efficiency (%)	< 0.1 - 10	10	< 0.1
Emittance (µm)	0.1	0.01	0.1 - 1
Rep. rate (Hz)	10 ¹ - 10 ⁶	10⁴ - 10 ⁵	10 ¹
Avg. beam power (W)	10 ¹ - 10 ⁶	10 ⁶	10 ¹
Continuous run	24/1 - 24/7	24/365	24/1
Parameter stability	0.1%	0.1%	1%

First FEL-gain demonstrated, FEL-user facility still some way to go



A collider is the ultimate challenge, requires specific solutions

Ballpark requirements and state-of-the-art

	FEL	Collider	Current
Charge per bunch (nC)	0.01 - 0.1	0.1 - 1	0.01 - 0.1
Energy gain (GeV)	0.1 - 10	1000+	0.1 - 10
Energy spread (%)	0.1	0.1	0.1 - 1
Wall-plug efficiency (%)	< 0.1 - 10	10	< 0.1
Emittance (µm)	0.1	0.01	0.1 - 1
Rep. rate (Hz)	10 ¹ - 10 ⁶	10 ⁴ - 10 ⁵	10 ¹
Avg. beam power (W)	10 ¹ - 10 ⁶	10 ⁶	10 ¹
Continuous run	24/1 - 24/7	24/365	24/1
Parameter stability	0.1%	0.1%	1%

- highest energy: staging of plasma modules

- *lowest emittance:* precision beam, laser, and plasma control
- *efficiency:* high wall-plug efficiency (energy recovery?)
- rep. rate and avg. power: kW/cm thermal plasma management
- **positron acceleration** with exquisite quality
- **beam polarization** maintenance
- computing capabilities for full start-to-end optimization incl. jitter studies

First FEL-gain demonstrated, FEL-user facility still some way to go

Needs solutions specifically developed for particle colliders, much more demanding — <u>How do we get there?</u>







Strategy in Europe (LDG) and US (Snowmass) give similar answers How do we get to a plasma-based collider?

S_{NOWMASS} 2022 WHITE PAPER

Report of the Accelerator Frontier Topical Group 6 on Advanced Accelerator Concepts for Snowmass 2021

C.G.R. Geddes, R. Assmann, M.J. Hogan P. Musumeci E. Adli C. A. Aidala F. Albert W. An G. Andonian R. Ariniello S.-W. Bahk S. Barber C. Benedetti R. Bernstein C. Boffo J. Bromage D. Bruhwiler S. S. Bulanov E. M. Campbell G. J. Cao I. Chaikovska Z. Chang E. Chowdhury C. Clarke N. M. Cook S. Corde B. Cros R. D'Arcy X. Davoine C. Doss M. Downer Q. Du H. Ekerfelt E. H. Esarey A. Faus Golfe F. Fiuza D. H. Froula M. Fuchs A. Galvanauskas T. Galvin G. R. Geddes S. Gedney S. J. Gessner M. Gilljohann M. Golkowski A. J. Gonsalves J. ames A. Grassellino L. Gremillet G. Ha A.F. Habib C. Häfner T. Heinemann R. Hessami B. Ting D. Hoffmann G. H. Hoffstaetter M. J. Hogan S. M. Hooker A. Huebl Y. Ivanyushenkov Jacobs C. Jing C. Joshi A. Kanareykin M. Kanskar T. Katsouleas L. Kiani S. Knapen K. Actors C. Jung C. Joshin A. Raharey Kin W. Rahskar T. Ratsourcas L. Rahn G. Khain G. Khain G. Raharey Kin W. R. Leemans R. Lehe J. Lewellen C. A. m M. Litos I. Lobach G. Loisch I. Low W. Lu W. Lu X. Lu S. M. Lund A. R. Maier G. G. Y. Mankovska P. Meade C. Menoni M. Messerly H. M. Milchberg S. B. Mirov G. ick W. B. Mori G. Mourou P. Muggli P. Musumeci S. Nagaitsev K. Nakamura E. A. Son B. O'Shea J. Osterhoff H. Padamesee S. Pagan Griso J. P. Palastro M. kin H. Piekarz P. Piot K. Põder I. Pogorelsky M. Polyanskiy S. Posen E. Power bys T. Raubenheimer B. Reagan Javier Resta-Lopez S. Riemann J. Rocca J. . Ross J. Rothenberg A. A. Sahai P. San Miguel Claveria P. Scherkl B. E. roeder B. A. Shadwick J. Shao V. Shiltsev P. Sievers E. I. Simakov E. . Spinka J. Stohr A. Sutherland M. Swiatlowski P. Taborek T. Tajima C. Tenholt D. Terzani M. Thévenet A. G. R. Thomas S.Tochitsky D. v N. Vafaei-Najafabadi A. Valishev J. van Tilborg J.-L. Vay L. Visinelli Wingale V. Yakimenko W.-M. Yao K. Yokoya R. Yoshida Alexander

aboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ron DESY, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany ratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025 ustronomy, Los Angeles, CA 90095

ichigan 48109, USA ry, Livermore, California 94550, USA Bei Jing Shi 100875, P.R. China ngeles, California, 90095, USA '0309 USA Rochester, Rochester, New York 14623, USA

from LDG Accelerator R&D Roadmap

4.6.2 Three pillars of the near-term R&D roadmap

The panel has discussed and agreed on a roadmap that is based on three pillars that should be pursued in parallel (see also Fig. 4.1). The three pillars of our roadmap are

1. The first international feasibility and pre-CDR study for high-gradient plasma and laser accelerators and their particle physics reach. This paper study will lead to a comparative report on various options, a feasibility assessment, performance estimates, physics cases, intermediate HEP applications and a cost-size-benefit analysis for high energy.

from Snowmass Accelerator Frontier TG 6 Draft

- A targeted R&D program addressing high energy advanced accelerator-based colliders (e.g. to 15 TeV, with intermediate options) should develop integrated parameter sets in coordination with international efforts. This should detail components of the system and their interactions, such the injector, drivers, plasma source, beam cooling, and beam delivery system. This would set the stage for an integrated design study and a future conceptual design report, after the next Snowmass.
- A study for a collider demonstration facility and physics experiments at an intermediate energy (ca. 20–80 GeV) should establish a plan that would demonstrate essential technology and provide a facility for physics experiments at intermediate energy.

\rightarrow An intermediate step between the few GeV facilities today and a future TeV-collider is mandatory











Page 3

How could an intermediate facility look like? How to solve the technology challenges? **Session** — 11:20 - 12:20 — Thursday, **Sep 22nd**, 2022



Wed 21/09	Thu 22/09	All days					>
	L		🖴 Print	PDF	Full screen	Detailed view	Filter
1:00							
Plasma accelerator demonstration facility at intermediate energy						Carl Schroeder	
Sal	Sala Maria Luisa - Hotel Hermitage					11:20 - 11:40	
Sol	Solutions and challenges for a multi-stage plasma accelerator				Dr Carl A. Lindstrøm		
Sal	a Maria Luisa - H	otel Hermitage					11:40 - 12:00
.2:00 Dis	cussion					Jens	s Osterhoff et al.
Sal	a Maria Luisa - H	otel Hermitage					12:00 - 12:20



Plasma accelerator demonstration facility at intermediate energy

Energy frontier desires lepton collider at 10+ TeV cme \bullet



- Wakefield accelerators (LWFA, PWFA, SWFA) have made tremendous ulletprogress, but current beam test facilities are not focused on collider systems R&D (and acceleration at present facilities limited to ~10GeV).
- To develop ANA technology for collider application, there is a ulletrecognized need for an intermediate energy (20-100 GeV) facility to test key collider systems.
 - Main motivation is advanced accelerator R&D
 - Opportunities for QCD and BSM physics studies \bullet

EURONNAC 2022

Solutions and challenges for a multi-stage plasma accelerator

- Staging is likely required to reach high energies, efficiently.
- > Four staging problems:
 - Compactness
 - > In- and out-coupling of drivers
 - Emittance growth from chromatic mismatching
 - > Tight synchronization tolerances
- Nonlinear plasma lenses can potentially solve all the above > problems.
 - > Lattice of two nonlinear plasma lenses, two dipoles, one sextupole \Rightarrow achromatic transport (emittance preserved)
 - > How do we make an appropriately nonlinear plasma lens?
 - > R_{56} between stages \Rightarrow self-correction (passive stability)
- > Can be used to **design compact high-energy facilities** \Rightarrow nonlinear QED (medium scale) or a photon collider (large scale)

Page 1

Summary / Discussion

Science case at 10's of GeV needs to be sharpened

- γ γ collider for Higgs production may be game changer if affordable (< 1 \$B) [Brian Foster]
- QCD / BSM / nonlinear QED
- Keep e+ e- at forefront. Don't abandon the positron! [Ralph Assmann]

Technical design of facility

- need research into facility layout -
- tailored sextupole-like plasma lenses for achromatic staging
- transverse stability is unsolved -
- longitudinal stability solution proposed -

Organisation: Brigitte Cros, Richard D'Arcy, Patric Muggli, Jens Osterhoff Administration: Daniela Koch

