Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste, 2022

# **Rare decays and LFNU at LHCb**

vitalii.lisovskyi@cern.ch

See other talks by the LHCb speakers:

- <u>Classical and exotic spectroscopy</u> by Daniele Marangotto; this morning
- <u>CKM & CPV in charm & beauty</u> by Peilian Li; after my talk
- W mass and Z production by Menglin Xu; later today





Unterstützt von / Supported by



**Alexander von Humboldt** Stiftung/Foundation

Vitalii Lisovskyi (TU Dortmund) on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration









## Dedicated to my family trying to survive under bombs in Kharkiv, Ukraine







# **RARE DECAYS**

## ► What is 'rare'?

what are other words for rare?

uncommon, unusual, scarce, exceptional, extraordinary, occasional, unique, singular, infrequent, sporadic



🔰 Thesaurus.plus



# **RARE DECAYS**

## ► What is 'rare'?



uncommon, unusual, scarce, exceptional, extraordinary, occasional, unique, singular, infrequent, sporadic

🛍 Thesaurus.plus

- what are other words for rare?
- electroweak decay with small BF ( $\leq$ 10-4)
- (usually) penguin or box SM diagram
  - or: forbidden in SM (LFV, etc)
- dilepton or photon in the final state



🔰 Thesaurus.plus



🔰 Thesaurus.plus

# **RARE DECAYS**

> In beauty sector, we study  $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$  or  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  processes:

 $\succ \ell^{\pm}$  is muon or electron. Or the tau.

- ► Flavour-changing neutral current, rare in the SM
  - Sensitive to non-SM contributions
  - Theoretically clean: can construct observables where QCD uncertainties cancel
- ► Crossing:  $b\bar{s} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  decay
  - very rare due to helicity suppression
- > In charm sector,  $c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-$  is the analog with up-type quarks
- state radiation, etc



► Can be studied in meson or baryon decays:  $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$ ,  $B_s^0 \to \phi\ell^+\ell^-$ ,  $\Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda\ell^+\ell^-$ ...

> Other processes with dilepton emission: weak annihilation (W exchange), initial-

# THE KEES EXPERIMENT IN 2010–2018 (RUNS 1–2)



► Collected about 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity at 7-8-13 TeV pp collisions with >90% data-taking efficiency

► instantaneous luminosity ~  $3 \times 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ 

particle ID



## $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



PRD105 (2022) 012010; PRL128 (2022) 041801

Run1+Run2 dataset

$$\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.09^{+0.46+0.15}_{-0.43-0.11}) \times 10^{-9}$$

most precise to date, agrees with the SM

sensitivity affected by misidentified  $B \rightarrow hh$ 

 $\mathscr{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma)_{m(\mu\mu) < 4.9 \,\text{GeV}} < 2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ first limit

*Effective lifetime*  $\tau(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.07 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.03)$  ps closer to the lifetime of the heavy mass eigenstate,  $\sim 1.62$  ps (in SM, only the heavy eigenstate can decay to two muons)



- > Even more suppressed in the SM:  $\sim 10^{-10}$  for the  $B_c^0$  mode and  $\sim 10^{-12}$  for the  $B^0$  mode
- Can be enhanced in models with BSM light resonances:  $B_{(s)}^{0} \to a(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})a(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$
- SM resonant contributions: veto around  $\phi$ ,  $J/\psi$ ,  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu\mu$ 
  - ►  $B_c^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\phi(\mu^+\mu^-)$ : normalisation channel
  - ►  $B_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \phi(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})\phi(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$  etc: negligible
  - $\succ B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\mu^+\mu^-$ : search for the first time
    - ► W-exchange process
    - ►  $\mathscr{B}(B_c^0 \to J/\psi\gamma) < 7.4 \times 10^{-6}$ : LHCb, <u>PRD92 (2015) 112002</u>

Muon ID rejects various hadronic backgrounds.

### arXiv: 2111.11339





Largest systematic uncertainty from the decay model assumptions.



Run1+Run2 dataset

*Special case (inspired by <u>1902.10156</u>):*  $B_{(s)}^{0} \rightarrow a(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})a(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$  where a is the light scalar of a mass  $\sim 1$  GeV (remove the  $\phi$  veto)  $\mathscr{B}(B_{\rm s}^0 \to a(\mu^+\mu^-)a(\mu^+\mu^-)) < 5.8 \times 10^{-10}$ 

 $\mathscr{B}(B^0 \to a(\mu^+\mu^-)a(\mu^+\mu^-)) < 2.3 \times 10^{-10}$ @ 95% CL

No significant signal observed in any decay mode.

 $\mathscr{B}(B_s^0 \to J/\psi(\mu\mu)\mu\mu) < 2.6 \times 10^{-9} @ 95\% CL$ 





- Couplings to SM gauge bosons are identic  $\frac{\Gamma(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(Z \to e^+ e^-)} = 1.0001 \pm 0.0024 \text{ or } \frac{\Gamma(V_{-1})}{\Gamma(V_{-1})}$
- ► Challenged in B decays:  $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$  and  $b \to c\ell\nu$  transitions
- ► I will focus first on  $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ .



cal for 
$$e/\mu/\tau$$
, e.g.  
 $\frac{W \rightarrow \mu\nu}{W \rightarrow e\nu} = 0.996 \pm 0.008$   
 $W \rightarrow e\nu$ 







- ► We measure:  $R_K = 0.846^{+0.042+0.013}_{-0.039-0.012}$
- ► Similar deviations (less precise) in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pl$
- ► What about other initial/final states?

$$K\ell^+\ell^-$$
 and  $B^0 \to K^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$  decays

JHEP 05 (2020) 040 JHEP 08 (2017) 055





- Study the isospin partner process:

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

### arXiv <u>2110.09501</u>

where only  $0.045 < q^2 < 6 \, {\rm GeV}^2$ is considered for the rare mode

 $r_{I/m}^{-1}(K^{*+}) = 0.965 \pm 0.011(\text{stat}) \pm 0.034(\text{syst})$ 

 $R_{K^{*+}}^{-1} = 1.44^{+0.32}_{-0.29} (\text{stat.})^{+0.09}_{-0.06} (\text{syst.})$  $R_{K^{*+}} = 0.70^{+0.18}_{-0.13} (\text{stat.})^{+0.03}_{-0.04} (\text{syst.})$ 

 $\sim 1.4\sigma$  from unity

Both  $R_{K_S^0}$  and  $R_{K^{*+}}$  point to the same direction as the previous LHCb LFU results.

![](_page_13_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

Colour-suppressed annihilation diagram, very small SM rate

 $\rightarrow h u^+ u^-$ 

 $R^0$ 

> Contribution from  $\omega/\phi$  mixing can be larger (*dd* component in  $\phi$ ), up to  $10^{-10}$  level

**NEW** 

- > Search for a  $B^0$  peak in the left sideband of the  $B_s^0$ ; profit from suppression of partially reconstructed  $B_{\rm s}^0$  decays (isospin 0)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Exclude dimuon mass regions corresponding to  $\phi, J/\psi, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- > Dominant backgrounds: misidentification, combinatorial, semileptonic

► Measure 
$$\mathscr{R} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(B^0 \to \phi \mu \mu)}{\mathscr{B}(B^0_s \to \phi \mu \mu)}$$

► Use  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$  as a control mode

### arXiv: 2201.10167

## Run1+Run2 datase

![](_page_15_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_12.jpeg)

No significant signal observed. 

NEW

 $\rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

 $B^0$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Run 1

Assuming the phase-space decay model:

 $\Re < 4.4 \times 10^{-3}$  at 90 % CL

Absolute BF extrapolated to the full dimuon range

 $\mathscr{B} < 3.2 \times 10^{-9}$  at 90 % *CL* 

compatible with the SM

![](_page_16_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

 $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^- \text{AND} D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^$ arXiv: 2111.03327 ► Rare  $c \to u\ell^+\ell^-$  transition; dominated by intermediate resonances  $(\rho, \omega, \phi, ...)$ ► Angular observables sensitive to BSM via interference of FCNC and resonances ► Tag by the  $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$ ; validate with  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  and  $D^0 \to K^- K^+$ 

Complete angular analysis & CP asymmetry measurement: "null test" of the SM

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Run1+Run2 dataset

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

CP-averaged angular obs. in BSM scenarios

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **COMING BACK TO LFU**

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

OBSERVATION OF  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

- > Anomalies in  $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu$  transitions:  $R_D, R_{D^*}, R_{J/\psi}$  above SM predictions
- form-factors
- Search for  $\Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$  with  $\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- (\pi^0) \nu_\tau$
- ► Backgrounds:
  - $\blacktriangleright \Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi \pi \pi X$  with pions from the  $\Lambda_h^0$  vertex: suppressed requiring  $\tau$  displacement:
  - ► Charm  $\Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(D_s \to 3\pi X)$ : use the dynamics of the 3-pion system:  $a_1 \rightarrow \rho \pi$  for  $\tau$ , vs other resonances for charm  $\rightarrow$  BDT selection
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Combinatorial: parametrise using  $\Lambda_c$  sidebands and wrong-charge data
- the  $\tau$  and  $q^2$

arXiv: 2201.03497

Run1 dataset

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

► Baryonic decays offer complementary insight on a possible BSM mechanism: spin 1/2, diffe

![](_page_20_Figure_14.jpeg)

> Reconstruct kinematics knowing the positions of the vertices: measure pseudo-decay-time of

21	
erent	
ν <sub>τ</sub> π-	
$\pi^+$	
G. Wormser	
C	

OBSERVATION OF  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ 

- ► Fit to the BDT output, pseudo-decay-time of the  $\tau$ , and the  $q^2$
- >  $349 \pm 40 \Lambda_h^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$  events, ~6 $\sigma$  stat. significance
- ► Normalised to  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi \pi \pi$ : same final state, but poorly known BF:  $0.614 \pm 0.094 \%$

$$K(\Lambda_c^+) = \frac{\mathscr{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathscr{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ 3\pi)} = 2.46 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.40$$

► Using the DELPHI value of  $\mathscr{B}(\Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \mu \nu) = 6.2 \pm 1.4\%$  we get

 $R(\Lambda_c^+) = 0.242 \pm 0.026 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.040 (\text{syst}) \pm 0.059 (\text{ext} \cdot \text{BF})$ 

> vs SM prediction:  $0.324 \pm 0.004$ 

Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 055008

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_12.jpeg)

Poor knowledge of baryonic BFs is the main limitation!

## Collecting harvest from our flavourful Run 1 + Run 2 datasets.

- Flavour anomalies keep intriguing us:
  - ► LFU and angular observables in  $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$  processes.
  - Charm becomes a strong player in the game!
- ► More results from LHCb are in the pipeline.

LHCb Upgrade I is in its crucial phase. > The detector is being assembled and commissioned as we speak now.

> Mapping the future of flavour physics with our planned Upgrade II.

> Baryons are another promising player, but more knowledge is needed on control channels.

## BACKUP

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$  ANGULAR ANALYSIS

Neutral and charged modes analysed, CP-averaged angular observables measured:

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

# N PNI AR

- $\blacktriangleright$  SM:  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  transition produces almost always a left-handed photon
- > Angular analysis of  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}e^+e^-$  in 0.0008 <  $q^2$  < 0.257 GeV<sup>2</sup>
  - region dominated by the virtual photon
  - > good resolution on the angle  $\phi$  between the dielectron and  $K\pi$  planes
- > World's best constraint on right-handed photon polarisation in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

### JHEP 12 (2020) 081

![](_page_25_Figure_11.jpeg)

# PHOTON POLARISATION

- > Now also with b-baryons: use  $\Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda \gamma$  decay
- > Spin 1/2 of initial and final baryon; weakly-decaying  $\Lambda$ ; ~unpolarised Lb
- ► Angular distribution  $\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_p} \sim 1 \alpha_{\gamma} \alpha_{\Lambda} \cos\theta_p$
- Fit to data:  $\alpha_{\gamma} = 0.82 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.13$ : compatible with SM

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

angle between the proton momentum in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame and the  $\Lambda$  momentum in the  $\Lambda_b$  rest frame

# Challenges with electrons

## Hardware trigger:

- efficient for final states **with muons** (~90 %) \*
- a bottleneck for final states *without* muons \* calorimeter has a high occupancy, tight thresholds
- \* final states **with electrons** can be triggered in several ways:
- \* Electrons emit a large amount of bremsstrahlung in interactions with the detector material
  - \* If a photon is emitted *before the magnet*:
    - electron momentum measured *after* bremsstrahlung;
    - photon ends up in a *different* ECAL cell
  - dedicated procedure to search for these photons and correct the electron momenta \*

![](_page_27_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_11.jpeg)

### **JINST 14 (2019) P04013**

![](_page_27_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_23.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# COMING SOON: UPGRADE I (2022-...)

- without muons
  - The software trigger is much more flexible
  - > We still need to make sure our new software trigger is not introducing any similar bottleneck :)  $\blacktriangleright$  Even for final states with a dimuon, we can achieve better efficiency at low q<sup>2</sup>.
- Complete rewrite of the reconstruction software (incl. electrons)
- Keeping the PID performance at a similar level
  - dedicated work on improvements of muon ID
- > The hope is to collect up to ~50 fb<sup>-1</sup> until the end of Run 4 -> ~5x current dataset
  - ► The yields should scale better than 5x
  - ► But the backgrounds scale too incl. pile-up
- ► For official projections on physics channels, check our <u>Physics case</u> for Upgrade II.

## > With removal of the hardware trigger, we hope to get rid of the main bottleneck for final states

![](_page_29_Picture_16.jpeg)