

High energy linear colliders: ILC and CLIC Steinar Stapnes, CERN

International Linear Collider ILC

- Superconducting Cavities, 1.3GHz, 31.5MV/m
- 250GeV CME, upgradeable to 500, 1000 GeV
- L = 1.35E34 cm⁻²s⁻¹
- 20km length, in Tohoku / Japan



Compact Linear Collider CLIC

- NC Copper Cavities, 12GHz, 72MV/m, two-beam acceleration
- 380GeV CME, upgradeable to 1500, 3000 GeV
- L = 1.50E34 cm⁻²s⁻¹
- 11.4km long, at CERN / France & Switzerland



RIVE BEAM LOOP

The Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)



- **Expandable:** Staged programme with collision energies from 380 GeV (Higgs/top) up to 3 TeV (Energy Frontier)
- CDR in 2012 with focus on 3 TeV. Updated project overview documents in 2018 (Project Implementation Plan) with focus 380 GeV for Higgs and top.
- Cost: 5.9 BCHF for 380 GeV (stable wrt 2012)
- **Power:** 168 MW at 380 GeV (reduced wrt 2012), corresponding to 60% of CERN's energy consumption today
- Comprehensive **Detector and Physics** studies



RN AROUND

DRIVE BEAM INJECTOR

BIVE BEAM DUMP

Accelerating structure

prototype for CLIC:

12 GHz (L~25 cm)

e+ INJECTION DESCENT TUNNEL

INTERACTION REGION

MAIN BEAM INJECTOR

DAMPING RINGS

e - INJECTION DESCENT TUNNE COMBINER RINGS

LCs / Stapnes



Collaborations



CLIC accelerator

- \sim 50 institutes from 28 countries
- CLIC accelerator studies
- CLIC accelerator design and development
- Construction and operation of CLIC Test Facility, CTF3

CLIC detector and physics (CLICdp)

- 30 institutes from 18 countries
- Physics prospects & simulations studies
- Detector optimisation + R&D for CLIC







CLIC parameters



Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3
Centre-of-mass energy	\sqrt{s}	GeV	380	1500	3000
Repetition frequency	$f_{\rm rep}$	Hz	50	50	50
Number of bunches per train	n_b		352	312	312
Bunch separation	Δt	ns	0.5	0.5	0.5
Pulse length	$ au_{ m RF}$	ns	244	244	244
Accelerating gradient	G	MV/m	72	72/100	72/100
Total luminosity	L	$10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	1.5	3.7	5.9
Luminosity above 99% of \sqrt{s}	$\mathscr{L}_{0.01}$	$10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	0.9	1.4	2
Total integrated luminosity per year	$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{int}}$	fb^{-1}	180	444	708
Main linac tunnel length		km	11.4	29.0	50.1
Number of particles per bunch	Ν	10 ⁹	5.2	3.7	3.7
Bunch length	σ_z	μm	70	44	44
IP beam size	σ_x/σ_y	nm	149/2.9	$\sim 60/1.5$	$\sim 40/1$
Normalised emittance (end of linac)	$\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y$	nm	900/20	660/20	660/20
Final RMS energy spread	-	%	0.35	0.35	0.35
Crossing angle (at IP)		mrad	16.5	20	20

LCs / Stapnes



CLIC is a mature design/study





The CLIC accelerator studies are mature:

Optimised design for cost and power

Many tests in CTF3, FELs, lightsources and test-stands

Technical developments of "all" key elements



CLIC timeline







Technology Driven Schedule from start of construction shown above.

A preparation phase of ~5 years is needed before (estimated resource need for this phase is ~4% of overall project costs)

LCs / Stapnes



CLIC Project Readiness



Project Readiness Report as a step toward a TDR – for next ESPP Assuming ESPP in 2026, Project Approval ~ 2028, Project (tunnel) construction can start in ~ 2030.

Focusing on:

- The X-band technology readiness for the 380 GeV CLIC initial phase
- Optimizing the luminosity at 380 GeV
- Improving the power efficiency for both the initial phase and at high energies



Goals for these studies by \sim 2025:

- Improved 380 GeV parameters/performance/project plan
- Push multi-TeV options/parameters



X-band







Structures and components production programme to study designs, operation/conditioning, manufacturing, industry qualification/experience

EU projects: ARIES, I-FAST, new TNA

S-box (3GHz) also being set up again to test KT structure, PROBE and the new injector

Industrial questionnaire:

Based on the companies feedback, the preparation phase to the mass production could take about five years. Capacity clearly available.





Use in smaller linacs (C and X-band)



SwissFEL: C-band linac

- 104 x 2 m-long C-band (5.7 GHz) structures (beam up to 6 GeV at 100 Hz)
- Similar µm-level tolerance
- Length ~ 800 CLIC structures
- Being commissioned
- X-band structures from PSI perform well







26 academic and industrial partners: <u>http://www.compactligh</u> <u>t.eu/Main/HomePage</u>

CompactLight Design Studies 2018-21 (<u>link</u>) Compact FEL based on X-band technologies



CERN: eSPS study (3.5 GeV X-band linac)



Applications – injector, X-band modules, RF





LCs / Stapnes



CLIC acc. studies – luminosities



Further work on luminosity performance, possible improvements and margins, operation at the Z-pole and gamma-gamma

- Z pole performance, $2.3 \times 10^{32} 0.4 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
 - The latter number when accelerator configured for Z running (e.g. early or end of first stage)
- Gamma Gamma spectrum (example)
- Luminosity margins and increases
 - Baseline includes estimates static and dynamic degradations from damping ring to IP: 1.5 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹, a "perfect" machine will give : 4.3 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹, so significant upside
 - In addition: doubling the frequency (50 Hz to 100 Hz) would double the luminosity, at a cost of +50 MW and ${\sim}5\%$ cost increase
 - Studies cover from beam-dynamics to technical studies of the required performances of stability, alignment, instrumentation, magnets, BDS, final focus, injectors including positrons, damping rings – priority for next ESU



• <u>CLIC note</u> and <u>paper</u> about these studies





Location: CERN Bldg: 112

Drivebeam klystron: The klystron efficiency (circles) and the peak RF power (squares) simulated for the CLIC TS MBK (solid lines) and measured for the Canon MBK E37503 (dashed lines) vs total beam power. See more later.

Publication: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9115885



High Eff. Klystrons



L-band, X-band (for

applications/collaborators and test-stands

High Efficiency implementations:

- New small X-band klystron, ordered
- Large with CPI, work with INFN
- L-band two stage, design done, prototyping for FCC

Also important, redesign of damping ring RF system (well underway) – no klystron development foreseen



micro Perveance (µA/V1.5)



Power and Energy



Main-beam injectors
 Main-beam damping rings
 Main-beam booster and transport
 Drive-beam injectors
 Drive-beam requency multiplication a
 Two-beam acceleration
 Main linacs (klystron)
 Interaction region
 Infrastructure and services
 Controls and operations

Power estimate bottom up (concentrating on 380 GeV systems)

 Very large reductions since CDR, better estimates of nominal settings, much more optimised drivebeam complex and more efficient klystrons, injectors more optimized, etc

Further savings possible, main target damping ring RF, L-band klystron (target 140-150 MW)

Energy consumption \sim 0.8 TWh yearly (target 0.7) CERN is currently (when) running at 1.2 TWh (\sim 90% in accelerators)

Design Optimisation:

The designs of CLIC, including key performance parameters as accelerating gradients, pulse lengths, bunch-charges and luminosities, have been optimised for cost but also increasingly focussing on reducing power consumption.

Technical Developments:

Technical developments targeting reduced power consumptions at system level high efficiency klystrons, and super conducting and permanents magnets for damping rings and linacs.

Running when energy is cheap:

CLIC is normal conduction, single pass, can change off-on-off quickly, at low power when not pulsed. Specify state-change (off-standby-on) times and power uses for each – see if clever scheduling using low cost periods, can reduce the energy bill

Renewable energy (carbon footprint):

Is it possible to fully supply the annual electricity demand of the CLIC-380 by installing local wind and PV generators (this could be e.g. achieved by 330 MW-peak PV and 220 MW-peak wind generators, at a cost of slightly more than 10% of the CLIC 380 GeV cost)





CLIC can easily be extended

What are the critical elements:

- Physics
- Gradient and power efficiency
- Costs







- 1. Drive beam accelerated to ~2 GeV using conventional klystrons
- 2. Intensity increased using a series of delay loops and combiner rings
- 3. Drive beam decelerated and produces high-RF
- 4. Feed high-RF to the less intense main beam using waveguides

Extend by extending main linacs, increase drivebeam pulse-length and power, and a second drivebeam to get to 3 TeV





CLIC - Scheme of the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)



Resources

Available at: clic.cern/european-strategy

3-volume CDR 2012



4 CERN Yellow Reports 2018



Details about the accelerator, detector R&D, physics studies for Higgs/top and BSM

Updated Staging Baseline 2016



Two formal submissions to the ESPPU 2018



Several LoIs have been submitted on behalf of CLIC and CLICdp to the Snowmass process:

The CLIC accelerator study: <u>Link</u> Beam-dynamics focused on very high energies: <u>Link</u> The physics potential: <u>Link</u> The detector: Link



Detector R&D for CLICdet

Calorimeter R&D => within CALICE and FCAL

Silicon vertex/tracker R&D:

- Working Group within CLICdp and strong collaboration with DESY + AIDAinnova
- Now integrated in the <u>CERN EP detector R&D programme</u>

A few examples:

Hybrid assemblies:

 Development of bump bonding process for CLICpix2 hybrid assemblies with 25 μm pitch https://cds.cern.ch/record/2766510



Successful sensor+ASIC bonding using
 Anisotropic Conductive Film (ACF), e.g. with
 CLICpix2, Timepix3 ASICs.
 ACF now also used for module integration
 with monolithic sensors.
 https://gaenda.linegrcollider.org/event/9211/contri

https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/9211/contr butions/49469/

Monolithic sensors:

- Exploring sub-nanosecond pixel timing with ATTRACT FASTPIX demonstrator in 180 nm monolithic CMOS https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/9211 /contributions/49445/
- Now performing qualification of modified 65 nm CMOS imaging process for further improved performance



Segmented n-implant **CLICTD** monolithic tracking sensor: current [A] ---- Transient 3D TCAD - Allpix² + e-static 3D TCAD Induced 20 10 5 15 20 Time [ns] Detailed simulations, hreshold = 178 e Allpix² transient Monte CLICdp Bias = -6V/-6VFraction Carlo combined with - Continuous n-implant Segmented n-implant electrostatic 3D TCAD. 0.1 $RMS_{continuous}^{(t)} = 6.6 \text{ ns}$ Beam tests at DESY, e.g. $RMS_{segmented}^{(t)} = 5.9 \text{ ns}$ 5.8 ns CLICTD time (telescope resolution 0.05 resolution achieved not unfolded) https://agenda.linearcollider.or g/event/9211/contributions/4 -20 20 0 -60 -40 9443/ (t_{track} - t_{hit}) [ns]



Physics Potential recent highlights: Initial energy stage

CERN

• Ongoing studies on Higgs and top-quark precision physics potential

Higgs coupling sensitivity:

• Sensitivities under different integrated luminosity scenarios to complement accelerator luminosity studies



https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.05278

other sensitivities from Briefing Book https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.11775



Top-quark threshold scan

• Optimisation of scan points including beam spectrum; here optimising on mass and Yukawa coupling.

• Expected top-quark mass precision of 25MeV can be improved by 25% without losing precision on width or Yukawa. https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.00522



250GeV ILC – Japan







Key Technologies

international development team



Parameters
250 GeV*
20km
1.35 x10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹
5 Hz
0.73 ms
5.8 mA (in pulse)
7.7 nm@250GeV
31.5 MV/m (35 MV/m) Q ₀ = 1x10 ¹⁰

*ILC is foreseen to be upgraded in luminosity and energy (towards ~ 1 TeV)

Costs ~5 B\$, power 110 MW

Potential for upgrades

L Up,10Hz

250

500GeV [1*]

500

Lum, Up

500

Baseline

TeV [1*]

case B

1000

Higgs [2.5]

250

Lum. Up







• Surface treatments for high-Q and high-G



Beam Energy	E _{beam}	GeV	45.6	45.6	125	125	125	250	250	500
Collision rate	f _{col}	Hz	3.7	3.7	5	5	10	5	5	4
Pluse interval in electron main linac		ms	135	135	200	200	100	200	200	200
Number of bunches	n _b		1312	2625	1312	2625	2625	1312	2625	2450
Bunch population	Ν	10 ¹⁰	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.737
Bunch separation	Δt_b	ns	554	554	554	366	366	554	366	366
Beam current		mA	5.79	5.79	5.79	8.75	8.75	5.79	8.75	7.60
Average beam power at IP (2 beams)	PB	MW	1.42	2.84	5.26	10.5	21.0	10.5	21.0	27.3
RMS bunch length at ML & IP	σz	mm	0.41	0.41	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.225
Emittance at IP (x)	γe* _×	μm	6.2	6.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Emittance at IP (y)	γe* _y	nm	48.5	48.5	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	30.0
Beam size at IP (x)	σ^* ×	μm	1.118	1.118	0.515	0.515	0.515	0.474	0.474	0.335
Beam size at IP (y)	σ^* y	nm	14.56	14.56	7.66	7.66	7.66	5.86	5.86	2.66
Luminosity	L	$10^{34}/cm^2/s$	0.205	0.410	1.35	2.70	5.40	1.79	3.60	5.11
Luminosity enhancement factor	H_{D}		2.16	2.16	2.55	2.55	2.55	2.38	2.39	1.93
Luminosity at top 1%	$L_{0.01}/L$	%	99.0	99.0	74	74	74	58	58	45
Number of beamstrahlung photons	n _g		0.841	0.841	1.91	1.91	1.91	1.82	1.82	2.05
Beamstrahlung energy loss	δвѕ	%	0.157	0.157	2.62	2.62	2.62	4.5	4.5	10.5
AC power [6]	Psite	MW			111	138	198	173	215	300
Site length	Lsite	km	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	31	31	40

91.2

Baseline

250

Z-Pole [4]

Baseline Lum Up

91.2

E_{CM}

GeV

international development tean

Center-of-Mass Energy



Worldwide large scale SRF accelerators







Topography and geology assumed in civil engineering design of ILC



- Rock mass is generally uniform over a long distance of 50 km
- Solid rock zone is less susceptible to ground vibration
- No "known faults" crosses the site, which is expected to be active faults



Tohoku ILC Project Development https://tipdc.org/)



We evaluated candidate sites by selecting the most suitable geology for construction.

Reduces civil and cost risks due to massive water inflow, etc.

Construction of road tunnels and other projects may pass through areas with poor geology, depending on the conditions of the starting and ending points. ILC selects candidate sites with priority given to geology, avoiding soft ground in advance.

- Seismic survey (total 30 km), electromagnetic survey (13 km), and borehole survey (7 boreholes) were carried out.
- In the area of the accelerator tunnel, hard and uncracked granite is considered to be widely distributed.



IDT structure from Summer 2020







ILC overall timeline



Pre-prepa	ratory Phase		Main Preparatory Phase		Construction Phase	
202	20.8	(2022)	About 4 years	(2026)	About 9 years	(2035)
LCB/LCC	International Development Tea	n	ILC Pre-Lab		ILC Laboratory	

IDT (~1.5 years)

- Prepare the work and deliverables of the ILC Pre-Laboratory and work out, with national and regional laboratories, a scenario for their contributions
- Prepare a proposal for the organisation and governance of the ILC Pre-Laboratory

ILC Pre-Laboratory (~4 years)

- Complete all the technical preparation necessary to start the ILC project (infrastructure, environmental impact and accelerator facility)
- Prepare scenarios for the regional contributions to and organisation for the ILC.

ILC laboratory

- Construction and commissioning of the ILC (~9-10 years)
- Followed by the operation of the ILC
- Managing the scientific programme of the ILC





Planning, except to start in 2022 at the earliest



1 Introduction

4.1.3

ILC Prelab planning



2 Pre-lab organisation 2.6 Advisory Committees 12 2.6.1 ILC Experiments Advisory Committee (ILCXAC) 12 2.6.3 ILC Civil Engineering and Environment Advisory Committee (IL-3 Required legal structure and Pre-lab start-up process 4 Pre-lab work plan

4.2 Civil construction and site-related tasks 26

		4.2.2 Timeline	
	4.3	Preparation for physics programme	
		4.3.1 Timeline and its implementation	
		4.3.2 Coordinated activities	
5	Ref	erence cost and required human resources 33	
	5.1	Accelerator	
	5.2	Civil engineering and site-related activities	
	5.3	Central Bureau	
R	efere	aces 37	
A	App	endix 40	
	A.1	Main Linacs and SRF domain	
		A.1.1 Work Package I (SRF cavity industrial-production readiness) 40	
		A.1.2 Work Package 2 (Cryomodule assembly and transfer)	
		A.1.3 Work Package 3 (SRF crab cavities for BDS) 41	
	A.2	Source domain $\dots \dots \dots$	
		A.2.1 Work Package 4 (Electron source)	
		A.2.2 Work Package 5 (Undulator)	
		A.2.3 Work Package 6 (Rotating target for undulator scheme) 43	
		A.2.4 Work Package 7 (Magnetic focusing for undulator scheme) 43	
		A.2.5 Work Package 8 (Rotating target for e-driven scheme) 43	
		A.2.6 Work Package 9 (Magnetic focusing for e-driven scheme) 44	
		A.2.7 Work Package 10 (Capture cavity for e-driven scheme)	
		A.2.8 Work Package 11 (Target replacement)	
	A.3	Damping Ring domain	
		A.3.1 Work Package 12 (System design)	
		A.3.2 Work Package 13 (Collective effect)	
		A.3.3 Work Package 14 (Injection/extraction)	
	A.4	Beam Delivery System domain	
		A.4.1 Work Package 15 (Final focus)	
		A.4.2 Work Package 16 (Final doublet) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 46$	
	A.5	Dump domain	
		A.5.1 Work Package 17 (Main dump)	
		A.5.2 Work Package 18 (Photon dump)	

5

13

15



Figure 1: Pre-lab Organisation Chart

https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.00602



Pre-lab plans



IDT-WG2 summarized the technical preparation as work packages (WPs) in the technical preparation document.





A European perspective





Sources

Jim Clarke UK

Steffen Doebert, CERN and Peter Sievers, CERN retired Benno List, Jenny List, Sabine Riemann, Gudrid Moortgat-Pick DESY IJCLab also, other groups also possible (FCC-ee, Dafne)

Engineering Design working group being set up

Also in WG2, but related to Civil Engineering John Osborne CERN

Accelerator WP reviewers: Erk Jensen CERN Deepa Angal Kalinin STFC Nick Walker DESY

ML & SRF

- Nuria Catalan and Dimitri Delikaris CERN
- Enrico Cenni and Olivier Napoly CEA
- Luis Garcia-Tabares CIEMAT
- Peter McIntosh UK
- Laura Monaco INFN Milano
- Hans Weise DESY
- Not all European SRF labs represented Additionally:
- Long term cryo collaboration with CERN. HiEff RF another relevant activity
- SRF "basic" R&D for fabrication improvements or long term performance improvements (i.e. for upgrades)

ilc	
international development team	

Leadership of IDT Working Group 3

WG3

oordinator / WG3 Chair	Hitoshi Murayama	UC Berkeley/U. Tokyo	United States/Japan
eputy Coordinators	Jenny List	DESY	Germany
	Claude Vallée	CPPM – CNRS/IN2P3	France
teering Group Members	Ties Behnke	DESY	Germany
	James Brau	U. Oregon	United States
	Philip Burrows	U. Oxford	United Kingdom
	Dmitri Denisov	BNL	United States
	Francesco Forti	INFN-Pisa	Italy
	Keisuke Fujii	КЕК	Japan
	Yuanning Gao	Peking U.	China
	Kajari Mazumdar	TIFR	India
	Patricia McBride	Fermilab	United States
	Timothy Nelson	SLAC	United States
	Mihoko Nojiri	КЕК	Japan
	Frank Simon	MPP – MPG	Germany
	Phillip Urquijo	U. Melbourne	Australia
	Andrew White	UT Arlington	United States
	Aleksander Filip Żarnecki	U. Warsaw	Poland
peaker's Bureau	Alain Bellerive	Carleton U.	Canada
	Ivanka Božović-Jelisavčić	U. Belgrade	Serbia
	Kiyotomo Kawagoe	Kyushu U.	Japan
Aachine-Detector Interface Subgroup Conveners	Karsten Buesser	DESY	Germany
	Roman Pöschl	IJCLab – CNRS/IN2P3	France
	Yasuhiro Sugimoto	КЕК	Japan
etector and Technology R&D Subgroup Conveners	Katja Krüger	DESY	Germany
	Petra Merkel	Fermilab	United States
	David Miller	U. Chicago	United States
	Marcel Vos	IFIC – U. Valencia	Spain
oftware and Computing Subgroup Conveners	Frank Gaede	DESY	Germany
	Daniel Jeans	КЕК	Japan
	Jan Strube	PNNL/U. Oregon	United States
hysics Potential and Opportunities Subgroup Conveners	Michael Peskin	SLAC	United States
	Aidan Robson	U. Glasgow	United Kingdom
	Junping Tian	U. Tokyo	Japan





<u>2018-2021:</u>

- Achieve stable electric field E > 35 MV/m through US-Japan cooperation
 - Improvement of Nb material manufacturing process and properties (FG, MG, LG)
 - Improvement of surface treatment technology
 - Low temperature (10-20C) EP Two-step baking (75C and 120C) Optimization of cooling speed (flux expulsion)
- Cavity manufacturing efficiency improvement and dust prevention work automation Germany/France-Japan cooperation
- Beam acceleration demonstration KEK-STF, 33 MV/m
- High Gradient Cryomodule (HGC, FNAL) (in progress)
- Aim to demonstrate 38 MV/m Demonstration plan at ILC Pre-Lab (2022-)
- Preparation for mass production: Demonstration of international statistics in three region



Labs involved to date:

‡Fermilab

Jefferson Lab

Argonne

RIUMF

Sermilab





US-Japan: Improving Performance through Surface Treatment Cost reduction by direct slicing materials



Germany-Japan: Improving efficiencyFrance-Japan : Automation ofin cavity manufacturingDust Prevention Work



Cavity Frequency Measurement System(Quality assurance for cavity manufacturing process)







The detailed clearing process is described in a flow chart $dr \bar \nu_i$). Simulation of the process in Virtual Reality (the goal

invalues of the process in Virtual Reality (the goal and to check the usefullity of the new section between collin dependence reproducers.







Wide European capabilities in SRF key projects EU-XFEL, ESS, PIP-II, HL-LHC including Italy of course

SRF activities at INFN LASA: overview



E-XFEL 3.9 GHz cryomodule & **TESLA cryomodule evolution**















Facilities RF Test Stand (50C ٠

Design

.

Cavities Cryomodul Ancillaries

.

.

- ISO4-7 Clean Room (HPR, UPW, etc.)
- Large Vertical Cryostat and advanced quench ٠ diagnostic

ring exhibiting about 60 kN/mm

- With Industry
 - Fabrication of cavities and cryomodules
 - Mass Production of European-XFEL cavities • and cryomodules (1.3 and 3.9 GHz)
 - QA/QC
 - Technology transfer (within XFEL ٠ contract)
 - Large Production of ESS Medium Beta ٠ Cavities
 - Upcoming Production of PIP-II LB650 Cavities ٠





















Analog Front-en BPM processor

Beam Position detection





DR

Inj./Ext.







ILC R&D facilities at KEK

CERN

In Europe and the U.S., basic facilities for the evaluation of the superconducting accelerator at the European XFEL and LCLS-II are in place, but in Japan, additional basic facilities are needed.





ILC projected precision



For the Higgs boson couplings, the ILC is expected to reach better than 1% precision on all of the major couplings predicted by the SM.

This potentially gives sensitivity to new particles in the multi-TeV region, beyond the reach of direct searches at the LHC. It is a new window into physics beyond the Standard Model.

The expectations for linear and circular Higgs factories are almost identical; see arXiv:1903.01629 for a detailed discussion.



range of predictions for $\Gamma(h \to f\overline{f})/(SM)$

Endo, Moroi, Nojiri arXiv:1502.03959





SiD Detector

ilc

- 5 T field
- More compact
- All Si

Track momentum resolution: $\sigma_{1/p} < 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ CMS/40 Impact parameter resolution: $\sigma_d < 5\mu m \oplus 10\mu m \frac{1 \text{ GeV}}{p \sin^{3/2} \Theta}$ CMS/4

Jet energy resolution: $\sigma_E/E = 3 - 4\%$ (for highest jet energies) ATLAS/2

Hermecity: $\Theta_{min} = 5 \text{ mrad}$

- 4% (for hi

ILD Detector

- 3.5 T field
- Optimized for CM energies 90 GeV 1 TeV
- Si/gaseous tracking
- Particle flow calorimetry
- Mature design and available technologies

From CEPC WS 2021, I.Bozovic





Resources



The Proposal for the ILC Preparatory Laboratory is now published: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.00602</u>

"This proposal is intended to provide information to the laboratories and governmental authorities interested in the ILC project to allow them to consider participation"

- Several announcements, e.g <u>http://newsline.linearcollider.org/2021/06/01/ilc-preparatory-laboratory-proposal-released/</u>
- Endorsed by IFCA, (being) sent to IDT WGs, ICFA, ECFA and Lab directors
- Sent to MEXT (in translated form)

The Technical Preparation Document describing the 18 WPs is at: (<u>https://zenodo.org/record/4742019#.YLfkLiORrqY</u>)

And a document (in Japanese) addressing, "key issues related to the ILC project", as identified in various reviews, is also sent

Further information about Japanese funding needs also provided



Deliberations of Prelab plan

ILC Expert Panel reopened by MEXT

Preamble of the note to request to reopen the ILC Expert Panel (July 2021)

IDT, a working group of physicists formed by the international research community, has recently published a proposal for the ILC Prelab, and the domestic research community has submitted the status report describing the progress of major issues related to the ILC program. At this occasion, the ILC Expert Panel will be resumed in order to do follow-up discussions from a professional viewpoint regarding the progress in the entire ILC plan and to organize the latest information.

Panel members

14 scientists from various research fields, astronomy, civil engineering, particle accelerator, high energy physics, nuclear physics, journalist, public relations,...

Schedule

July 29 First meeting

Oct. 14 and 18 Presentations by the Japanese ILC community and IDT Report will be published by the end of 2021 or March 2022 at the latest.

More recent:

- 4th meeting Monday this week (Q/A)
- Summary of meeting MEXT, US, UK, Germany, France that took place 15.10 also released this week



Masanori Yamauchi KEK

MEXT is starting international discussion with the US and European governments

Letter from the MEXT Minister, Hagiuda, to ICFA (May 31, 2021)

Therefore, I recognize that it is appropriate to continue discussions regarding the ILC project between administrative officials of the relevant countries at the right time, as well as to pay attention to researchers' efforts to deal with the remaining challenges.

Message from MEXT to ICFA (July 14, 2021)

Recognizing that the publication of the IDT's report is an opportunity, in order to explain MEXT's position on the ILC project and to exchange views with other participants, MEXT will begin to contact the US and European counterparts this month for having a discussion on the project around autumn. it would be important that communications between particle physicists and their government authorities in the US and European countries improve.

We are expecting that outcome of the first meeting will be announced soon. The international physics community is kindly requested to have opportunities for communications with each government to promote understanding.



ILCX workshop October 2021

Q



ILC Workshop on Potential Experiments

ILC Workshop on Potential Experiments (ILCX2021)

26–29 Oct 2021 Fully online format





Conclusions

- Fixed-target experiments at ILC beam dumps offer access to a complementary regime: <10 GeV mass scale, <<1 coupling strength
- Motivated physics models populate this landscape: Dark Matter, Axion-Like Particles, flavor gauge bosons, ... ILC Experiments have interesting sensitivity
- Electron-laser collisions can be used to probe strong-field QED plasma
- Photon beams (from e-laser or positron source) can be used to search for ALPs
- Far detectors (on or under ground) may extend search for long-lived particles (LLP's) produced at the main IP
- Opportunities to broaden ILC physics program in exciting new directions, often at a modest added fractional cost and parasitic to the main physics program

Beyond collider physics: https://agenda.linearcollider.org/eve nt/9211/contributions/49237/attach ments/37575/58892/ILC-X sessionO summary.pdf

Industry Forum at the ILCX2021: Oct. 26, 2021

17:00-21:00 JST Japan (10:00 - 14:00 CET Europe, 4:00-8:00 EDT US)

Indico link: https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/9211/sessions/5325/#20211026 Zoom: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87822164767 (passcode: "ilcx2021")

The goal of the event is to strengthen international cooperation between academia and industrial partners involved in the development of advanced accelerator technologies and instrumentation techniques

17.00-17.10 - Introduction

17.10-17.30 - Overview of the AAA Activities (Tohru Takahashi, Hiroshima University/AAA)
17.30-17.55 - Development of positron source components using HIP technologies through industrygovernment-academia collaboration (Yutaka Nagasawa, Metal Technology Co. Ltd.)
17.55-18.15 - The possible collaborations on ILC Pre-lab in accelerator technologies from China from Academic and industries (Jie Gao, IHEP, China)
18.15-18.35 - Acceleration technology: A Sustainable Approach to Cleaner Indian Rivers (Raghava Varma, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay)
18.35-18.50 Coffee Break
18.50-19.10 - ILC industry capabilities in Europe, some examples from recent SRF projects (Steinar Stapnes/CERN - Benno List/DESY)
19.10-19.30 - Document on industrial interests on ILC in Spain (Erik Fernández, INEUSTAR)
19.30-20.00 - CERN Industrial Experience (Christina Lara Arnaud, CERN)
20.00-20.30 - Review of Accelerator Technologies in the US (Eric Colby, US DOE-SC-ARDAP)

ILC center futuristic view





Physics Potential: Multi-TeV stages (example from CLIC)

CERN

Ongoing studies on new physics searches

Search for heavy neutrinos

- e+e- -> Nv -> qqlv signature

 allows full reconstruction of N
- BDT separates signal from SM; beam backgrounds included.
- cross-section limits converted to mass (m_N) coupling (V_{IN}) plane





Dark matter using mono-photon signature at 3TeV, e+e- -> XX γ

- New study using ratio of electron beam polarisations to reduce systematics
- Exclusions for simplified model with mediator Y and DM particle X
- For benchmark mediator of 3.5TeV, photon energy spectrum discriminates different DM mediators & allows 1TeV DM particle mass measurement to $\sim 1\%$

https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.06006





LCs / Steinar Stapnes

Pushing the RF technologies – R&D





Cryogenic systems extended: Combining high-gradients in cryo-copper and hightemperature superconductors for highefficiency and reduced peak RF power requirements.

Multi-TeV energies:

- High gradient
- high wall-plug to beam efficiency
- nanobeam parameters increasingly deman



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2105.12276.pdf

Optimization of a traveling wave superconducting radiofrequency cavity for upgrading the International Linear Collider

V. Shemelin, H. Padamsee, V. Yakovlev FNAL, Batavia, IL 60510, U.S.A.

The Standing Wave (SW) TESLA niobium-based superconducting radio frequency structure is limited to an accelerating gradient of about 50 MV/m by the critical RF magnetic field. To break through this barrier, we explore the option of niobium-based traveling wave (TW) structures. Optimization of TW structures was done considering experimentally known limiting electric and magnetic fields. It is shown that a TW structure can have an accelerating gradient above 70 MeV/m

Summary and thanks

- High Energy Linear Colliders based on cold or warm RF technologies is very feasible (cost, power, interesting timescales, footprint)
- Any region can in principle build a LC (even though we normally associate ILC with Japan and CLIC with Europe) – more precisely: any region can host a LC build as an international project
- Very interesting also in my view because a LC based Higgs-factory keep ALL options and timescales open for the harder problem of reaching multi-TeV lepton colliders (by improved RF in a LC, or with a muon collider) or a ~100 TeV scale hadron collider

• Thanks to many CLIC and ILC accelerator colleagues for slides and input, the ILC slides in most cases from Shin Michizono (but also many others), and the CLICdp slides compiled by Aidan Robson