



Studies of Hadronic B Decays with Early LHCb Data

Eduardo Rodrigues On behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 December 2010



OUTLINE:

- $\clubsuit \quad \textbf{B} \rightarrow \textbf{D} \textbf{X} \text{ decays}$
- Prospects for the 2011 LHC(b) run

Is the study of hadronic B decays worth it ?



DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

(Non-comprehensive listing)

= Discussed

in talk

Status of γ in CKM global fits

α

-0.2

0.0

0.0

-0.4



Taken from CKM fitter http://ckm fitter.in 2p3.fr

Eduardo Rodrigues

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

ρ

0.4

0.6

0.8

1.0

0.2

Status of γ in CKM global fits



Eduardo Rodrigues

Direct measurements of γ

Tree-level decays



- $\textbf{B} \rightarrow \textbf{D} \textbf{X}$ decays :
- Time-integrated measurements
- **Time-dependent measurements**

Standard Model benchmark measurement of γ







Measurement of γ **sensitive to New Physics**

$\textbf{B} \rightarrow \textbf{h} \textbf{h}$ (h) modes :

- **D** Time-dependent measurements exploiting U-spin symmetry ($B \rightarrow hh$)
- $\Box \quad \text{Time-integrated Dalitz plot analysis (B} \rightarrow \text{hhh})$



The LHCb experiment

The LHCb experiment @ the LHC

Forward spectrometer

Acceptance: ~10 – 300 mrad

Nominal lumi.: 2•10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹

Nominal # B's / 2fb⁻¹: 10¹² (nominal year)

Reconstruction:

- muons: easy
- hadronic tracks: fine
- electrons: OK

b

(rad)

b

- π^0 's, K_s, Λ : OK; π^0 's difficult
- neutrinos, neutrons, K₁: no

Mission statement

- Search for new physics probing the flavour structure of the SM
- Study CP violation and rare decays with beauty & charm hadrons



System requirements

(Hadronic) trigger

□ Fast, flexible and efficient

Vertexing

- Precise reconstruction and separation of primary and secondary vertices
 - identification of long-lived heavy flavour decays

Tracking

- Precise determination of track parameters
 - \Rightarrow excellent momentum resolution $\delta p/p = 0.35\%$ to 0.55%
 - ⇒ excellent impact parameter (IP) resolutions

Particle identification

 Mass peaks often overlap
 -> need for excellent π/K/p separation over large momentum range to separate the topologically similar decay modes



Crucial to LHCb physics programme



Beauty & charm mesons Excellent

- mass resolutions ~10-40 MeV
- propertime resolution ~50 fs

Trigger scheme



High E_T particles

- Partial detector information
 - Fast decision

Search for physics signatures

- Software trigger run in event farm
- Full detector information
- Increasing level of complexity in event reconstruction and selection

Tracking

- High momentum resolution needed to separate topologically similar decay modes
- Silicon strip and straw-tube detectors for tracking
 - long lever arm ~ 10 m
 - hit resolutions ~ 55 and 250 $\mu m,$ respectively
- Together with precise determination of track slopes provides very good mass resolutions
 - Worse by 10-20% compared to MC. But still excellent.

Provides with high vertex resolutions an excellent propertime resolution



Vertexing

Best such resolutions at the LHC !

Vertex detector – 2 retractable halves



□ IP resolutions ~ 20% worse than in MC. But still excellent







12/32

2020

RICH 1

Kaon ring

The 2010 LHC(b) run and prospects for 2011

2010 data taking – luminosity recorded



Excellent efficiency ~ 90%



Stable data taking High efficiency of all sub-detectors, increasing with time (experience)

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

2010 & 2011 running conditions

2010 running conditions :

- Collisions at 7 TeV
- □ ~ 38 pb⁻¹ collected

Expectations for 2011 :

- □ Reach 1 fb⁻¹ = 1000 pb⁻¹ by end 2011
- Discussion ongoing for 8 TeV run



(80% of design luminosity reached with 344 colliding bunches instead of 2622)

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

Towards γ with B → D X decays Standard Model benchmark measurement

The (large) $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X}$ family

Modes :

- $\Box \quad \mathsf{B}^0 \to \mathsf{D} \ \pi \ , \ \mathsf{D} \ \mathsf{K}^{(*)}$
- $\Box \quad B^{-} \rightarrow D \pi^{-}, D K^{-}$
- $\Box \quad \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{s}} \to \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{s}} \ \pi \ , \ \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{s}} \ \mathsf{K} \ , \ \mathsf{D} \ \mathsf{K}^* \ , \ \mathsf{D} \ \phi$
- $\Box \qquad \text{The above with } D \rightarrow 2\text{-/}3\text{-/}4\text{-body}$

Physics:

- Set of modes with rich scope for CP violation measurements, BR ratios
- □ Theoretically clean measurement of γ with B → DK modes (relative weak phase between the 2 diagrams = - γ)

Sensitivity to γ from interference between the 2 diagrams
 Only requirement: D⁰ and D⁰ decay to common final state



$B \rightarrow D \; \pi$ / K decays – 2-body $D \rightarrow h \; h \; decays$



Time-integrated analyses (ADS/GLW methods)

 \Rightarrow expect (stat.) error $\sigma_{\gamma} \sim 17^{\circ}$ with 1 fb⁻¹ (2010+11 data sample)

Monte Carlo: expected yields in 1 fb^{-1} @ 7 TeV (2011 running)

$B^+ + B^- \rightarrow D_{\rm fav} K^\pm$	$B^+ + B^- ightarrow D_{ m sup} K^{\pm}$	$B^+ + B^- \rightarrow D_{CP+}K^{\pm}$
${\sim}20000$	${\sim}400$	${\sim}2750$

$B \rightarrow D \; \pi \; decays$ – Dalitz analyses

❑ Mass peaks with ~ 34 pb⁻¹, i.e. almost all the 2010 data sample

 $B^+
ightarrow D^0$ (K_s $\pi\pi$) π





□ Dalitz plot analysis of B → DK with D → $K_s \pi \pi$ ⇒ expect (stat.) error $\sigma_\gamma \sim 18^\circ$ with 1 fb⁻¹ (2010+11 data sample)

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)} h$ decays

□ Mass peaks with ~ 34 pb⁻¹

Time-dependent CP studies:

D Eventually, measurement of γ from $B_s \rightarrow D_s h$ (h = π , K)





Mixing / other studies :

- $\Delta m_s / \Delta m_d$ measurements
- \Box f_s / f_d measurement

ome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

$B \rightarrow D \ X$: physics *goals* with the 2010-11 data sample?

□ Measurement of f_d/f_s ratio (b→d/s fragmentation)

- **D** Measurement of the $D_s K/D_s \pi$ branching fractions ratio
- Measurements of relative rates and CP asymmetries
 Focus first on 2-body non-suppressed D decays





2010

Towards γ with charmless B decays

New Physics sensitive measurement

The $H_b \rightarrow h h$ family

"Standard" modes (BRs ~ 10⁻⁵–10⁻⁶):

- $\Box \quad B^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi , B_s \rightarrow KK$
- $\Box \quad B^{0} \rightarrow K\pi , B_{s} \rightarrow \pi K$
- $\Box \quad \Lambda_b \to pK, p\pi$

Physics:

- Set of modes with rich scope for CP violation measurements
 - Time-independent and dependent $A_{\mbox{\scriptsize CP}}$

Rare modes :

□ $B^0 \rightarrow KK$, $B_s \rightarrow \pi\pi$ not yet found experimentally





DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

The $H_b \rightarrow h h$ family

□ What's in the basket with 35 pb⁻¹, i.e. almost all the 2010 data sample?



□ Applying particle identification cuts ...



Time-dependent CP asymmetries in view of γ measurement will take time ... (R. Fleischer method using U-spin symmetry – Phys. Lett. B 459 (1999), 306)

Loose selection – B \rightarrow K π



Note:

Raw numbers

 No corrections for production/detector asymmetries (same comment goes for all subsequent A_{CP} results)

Charge asymmetry in $B^0/B_s \rightarrow K \pi$ (tight selection)

RAW asymmetry is visually obvious !



Note: No corrections for production/detector asymmetries

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

Tight selection optimised for ${\rm B}_{\rm s} \to \pi \; {\rm K}$



RAW B_s / B_d relative yield R_{\piK} = (10.7 ± 2.0) % (stat. error only)

$\Lambda_{\text{b}} \rightarrow p \text{ h decays}$

Both signals clearly seen



RAW yield: 35.2 ± 6.7 (stat.)

RAW yield: 31.9 ± 7.0 (stat.)

CP asymmetry measurements to follow shortly ...

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

$H_b \rightarrow h \; h$: physics goals with the 2010-11 data sample



- Sensitivity ~ 7° with 2 fb⁻¹ according to MC studies

In short: LHCb already competitive with CDF given the 2010 data sample !

and beyond

Summary

□ LHCb has already proven to be a heavy flavour experiment at a hadron machine



- Excellent and promising results are coming out
 This was just the beginning
- Many world-class measurements just around the ... year
 And many competitive with the TeVatron results

□ Stay tuned ...

□ Thumbs up !



Back-up slides

The LHCb detector



2010 data taking – efficiency



Particle identification – performance determined on data

1030

m_{κκ} (MeV/c²)

1040

1130

 $m_{p\pi}$ (MeV/c²)



Particle identification – delta log likelihood tuning



$B \rightarrow D(\rightarrow hh) \pi / K decays$

Luminosity: ~ 34 pb⁻¹



Luminosity: ~ 34 pb⁻¹



Is that all $B \rightarrow D X$ modes we see? Nope!



$H_b \rightarrow h \; h \; decays$ – a rich physics case

- \Box B⁰ $\rightarrow \pi\pi$: time-dependent asymmetry
 - so far inconsistency in direct CP contribution ($C_{\pi\pi}$) between BaBar and Belle
- $\Box B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}: direct CP violation measurement$
- \Box B_s $\rightarrow \pi^+ K^-$: direct CP violation, branching ratio (BR) measurement
- Gronau, Lipkin and Rosner relation

$$\left|A\left(B_{s} \to \pi^{+}K^{-}\right)\right|^{2} - \left|A\left(\overline{B}_{s} \to \pi^{-}K^{+}\right)\right|^{2} = \left|A\left(\overline{B}^{0} \to \pi^{+}K^{-}\right)\right|^{2} - \left|A\left(B^{0} \to \pi^{-}K^{+}\right)\right|^{2}$$

- $\Box B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}, B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{0} : \neq \text{ in CP asymmetry hard to understand theoretically}$
- \Box B⁰ $\rightarrow \pi\pi$, B_s \rightarrow KK : determination of the CP angle γ exploiting U-spin symmetry
- □ Rare $B \rightarrow h^+h^{-}$: $h = \pi$, K ... but also a baryon such as p, Λ

 $\Box \Lambda_b \rightarrow pK, p\pi : CP$ asymmetries, lifetime ratio measurements (wrt B⁰)

Etc. List non exhaustive

Eduardo Rodrigues

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010

CDF Collaboration, arXiv:0812.4271v1 [hep-ex]

1 fb⁻¹

TABLE I: Yields and significances of rare mode signals. The first quoted uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic.

Mode	N_s	Significance	
$B_s^0 \to K^- \pi^+$	$230\pm34\pm16$	8.2σ	
$B_s^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$26\pm16\pm14$	$< 3\sigma$	
$B^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	$61 \pm 25 \pm 35$	$< 3\sigma$	
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-$	$156\pm20\pm11$	11.5σ	
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p \pi^-$	$110\pm18\pm16$	6.0σ	



$B^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ direct and mixing-induced CP asymmetries (1/2)



$B^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ direct and mixing-induced CP asymmetries (2/2)



August 2010								
harge asymm	etry	Heavy Fla	vor Averaging	g Group				
Compilation of CP Asymmetries for B^0 modes In PDG2010 New since PDG2010 (preliminary) New since PDG2010 (published)								
RPP# Me	ode PDG2010 Avg	. BABAR	Belle	CLEO	CDF	New Avg.		
$\begin{array}{c} 10 & K^{+} \\ 213 & \eta' H \\ - & \eta' K_0^* (\\ - & \eta' K_2^* (\\ 215 & \eta K \\ 216 & \eta K_0^* (\\ 217 & \eta K_2^* (\\ 222 & b_1^{-} \\ 227 & \omega K \\ 229 & \omega K_0^* (\\ 230 & \omega K_2^* (\\ 230 & \omega K_2^* (\\ 24 & \mathbf{LHCk} \\ 24 & \mathbf{LHCk} \\ 24 & \mathbf{Ck} \\ 24 & \mathbf$	$\begin{array}{cccc} \pi^{-} & -0.098 \pm 0.013 \\ \kappa^{*0} & 0.08 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.1 \\ 1430)^{0} & \text{New} \\ 1430)^{0} & \text{New} \\ \kappa^{*0} & 0.19 \pm 0.05 \\ 1430)^{0} & 0.06 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.1 \\ 1430)^{0} & -0.07 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.06 \\ \kappa^{+} & 0.07 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06 \\ \kappa^{+} & 0.07 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06 \\ \kappa^{+} & 0.07 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06 \\ \kappa^{+} & 0.07 \pm 0.00 \\ \kappa^{+} & 0.07 \pm 0.00 \\ \kappa^{+} & 0.05 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ ex} \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{K}\pi} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{K}\pi} \mathbf{A}$	$\begin{array}{r} & -0.107 \pm 0.016 \substack{+0.006 \\ -0.004} \\ 02 & 0.02 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.02 \\ & -0.19 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.02 \\ & 0.14 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.02 \\ & 0.21 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02 \\ 02 & 0.06 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.02 \\ 02 & -0.07 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.02 \\ 02 & 0.07 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.07 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02 \\ & 0.07 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02 \\ & 0.37 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.02 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$-0.094 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.008$ $0.17 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.01$ $0.07 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01$ $0.22^{+0.22\pm0.06}_{-0.29\pm0.02}$ $-0.21 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07$	-0.04 ± 0.16 ± 0.02	-0.086 ± 0.023 ± 0.009	$\begin{array}{c} -0.098 \substack{+0.012 \\ -0.098 \substack{+0.012 \\ -0.011 } \end{array} \\ \hline 0.02 \pm 0.23 \\ -0.19 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.14 \pm 0.18 \\ 0.19 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.06 \pm 0.13 \\ -0.07 \pm 0.19 \\ 0.07 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.45 \pm 0.25 \\ -0.07 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.37 \pm 0.17 \\ 0.000 \substack{+0.059 \\ -0.061 \\ 0.15 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.07 \pm 0.15 \\ -0.22 \substack{+0.32 \\ -0.31 \\ -0.01 \pm 0.05 \\ -0.18 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$		
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 246 & K_0^*(143)\\ 252 & K^* \\ 259 & K^{*0},\\ 260 & K^* \\ 261 & f_0(98)\\ 264 & a_1^- \\ 279 & K^{*0}K \\ 280 & \phi K \\ 281 & K^{*0},\\ 289 & \phi K_0^*(120)\\ 294 & \phi K_2^*(120)\\ 301 & K^* \\ 316 & \pi^0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01 \\ -0.15 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.02 \\ 03 & 0.07 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03 \\ 02 & 0.09 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.02 \\ -0.17 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.01 & -0.16 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.01 \\ 02 & 0.01 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.01 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03 \\ 20 & 0.22 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.20 \\ 0.20 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.06 \\ -0.08 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05 \\ -0.16 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.43 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05 \\ \end{array}$	$0.02 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$ $0.44^{+0.73+0.04}_{-0.62-0.06}$	It has a w	vord to say.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07\pm 0.05\\ -0.15\pm 0.12\\ 0.07\pm 0.05\\ 0.09\pm 0.19\\ -0.17\pm 0.28\\ -0.16\pm 0.12\\ 0.01\pm 0.05\\ 0.01\pm 0.05\\ 0.22\pm 0.39\\ 0.20\pm 0.15\\ -0.08\pm 0.13\\ -0.16\pm 0.23\\ 0.43\substack{+0.25\\-0.24}\end{array}$		

Eduardo Rodrigues

DISCRETE 2010, Rome, Italy, 9 Dec. 2010