





10.00

Physics at Muon Collider

Higgs Physics at a Muon Collider



O(1M) Higgs produced in the low energy (<10 TeV scenario)

Clean events as in e+e- colliders with high collision energy as in hadron colliders The muon collider is the dream machine for Higgs physics measurements

•

Higgs boson couplings: from present to future

• One of the goals of future colliders: precise measurement of Higgs couplings with SM particles $-g_{3H} = g_{4H} - g_$

$$\mathcal{L} = -g_{Hf\bar{f}} f\bar{f}H + \frac{g_{3H}}{6}H^3 + \frac{g_{4H}}{24}H^4 + \delta_V V_\mu V^\mu \left(g_{HVV}H + \frac{g_{HHVV}}{2}H^2\right)$$

$$g_{Hf\bar{f}} = \frac{m_f}{v}$$
, $g_{HVV} = \frac{2m_V^2}{v}$, $g_{HHVV} = \frac{2m_V^2}{v^2}$, $g_{3H} = \frac{3m_H^2}{v}$, $g_{4H} = \frac{3m_H^2}{v^2}$

 \rightarrow push the knowledge of the couplings below the 1% precision

 \rightarrow access un-explored couplings

 \rightarrow use the Higgs boson as a tool to probe beyond standard model scenarios!



| The | futu | ıre | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------------|--------------|
| kappa-0 | HL-LHC | LHeC | HE | LHC | | ILC | | | CLIC | | CEPC | FC | C-ee | FCC-ee/eh/hh |
| | | | S 2 | S2′ | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 380 | 15000 | 3000 | | 240 | 365 | |
| κ _W [%] | 1.7 | 0.75 | 1.4 | 0.98 | 1.8 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.43 | 0.14 |
| κ _Z [%] | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.5 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| κ_{g} [%] | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 0.97 | 0.66 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.49 |
| κ _γ [%] | 1.9 | 7.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 98* | 5.0 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.29 |
| κ _{Zγ} [%] | 10. | — | 5.7 | 3.8 | 99* | 86* | 85* | 120* | 15 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 81* | 75 * | 0.69 |
| κ_c [%] | - | 4.1 | - | _ | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.95 |
| κ _t [%] | 3.3 | — | 2.8 | 1.7 | - | 6.9 | 1.6 | - | _ | 2.7 | - | - | _ | 1.0 |
| κ _b [%] | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 1.9 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.67 | 0.43 |
| κ _μ [%] | 4.6 | _ | 2.5 | 1.7 | 15 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 320* | 13 | 5.8 | 8.9 | 10 | 8.9 | 0.41 |
| κ_{τ} [%] | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.88 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.73 | 0.44 |

What has been explored this year in Bari

First estimation of Higgs couplings to <u>Z bosons</u> and <u>c-quark</u>

- $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$ (Master thesis, A. Zaza)
- $H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$ (Master thesis, P. Mastrapasqua)

Supervisor: A. Colaleo Co-supervisor: R. Venditti Support: F. Errico



$H \to Z Z^* \to 4 \mu$ Signal and background

 $H \to ZZ^* \to 4 \mu$ is a standard candle in the Higgs sector:

- large signal/background ratio
- small yields [BR(H \rightarrow ZZ) = 2.62 \cdot 10⁻², BR(Z \rightarrow $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$) = 3.37 \cdot 10⁻²]
- favored to measure the Higgs boson coupling to Z bosons at a muon collider.
- MC samples fully simulated with the latest geometry of the Muon Collider

| SIGNAL PROCESS: | 2 7 6 | | SIGNAL | $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow$ | • 4μ |
|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow H \nu_\mu \overline{\nu_\mu}$ | w_+ mu_+ 5 | √s (TeV) | L (fb ⁻¹) | σ (fb) | Expected events |
| \Box ZZ [*] \rightarrow 4 μ | mu+ ym~ 3 | 1.5 | 500 | $9.14 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 4.57 |
| | 1 8 | 3.0 | 1300 | $1.47 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 19.16 |

> SM IRREDUCIBLE BACKGROUND:

 $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow 4\mu \nu_{\mu}\overline{\nu_{\mu}}$ ~ 5000 Feynman diagrams

Selections applied at the generator level:

 $p_T > 5 \ GeV; \ |\eta| < 2.5; \ 10 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 150 \ GeV.$



| 4µ-SM BACKGROUND | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| √s (TeV) | L (fb ⁻¹) | σ (fb) | Expected events | | |
| 1.5 | 500 | $9.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 4.59 | | |
| 3.0 | 1300 | $1.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 23.21 | | |

5

Muon reconstruction performance

- Track reconstruction based on conformal tracking
- Muons are reconstructed with a particle flow approach combining tracker tracks with hits in calorimeters and muon system



Estimation of the impact of the BIB: cone-filter for tracker hits to reduce the processing time.



- ➤ Tracking efficiency slightly reduced when including BIB O(10%) → mitigation strategy under development
- Results used for parametric evaluation of the BIB impact on the final results

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$ topological selection

Good quality final state muons:

- $p_T > 5 \ GeV; |\eta| < 2.5;$
- $D_0 < 2mm; Z_0 < 10mm;$

Z candidates:

OS muon pairs $12 < m_{\mu\mu} < 120 \ GeV$. <u>ZZ candidates</u>: non-overlapping Zs

- Z₁: Z candidate with mass closest to the nominal value
- Z₂: other Z candidate

Selection of ZZ candidates:

- $\Delta R(\mu_i, \mu_j) > 0.02$
- $p_{T,\mu_i} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- $p_{T,\mu_i} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
- Z_1 mass > 40 GeV
- $m_{4\mu} > 70 \text{ GeV}$
- Arbitration based on Z1 mass



$\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$

| Sig | nal | Back | ground |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Events | Efficiency (%) | Events | Efficiency (%) |
| 2.97 <u>+</u> 0.02 | 65.13 <u>+</u> .21 | 3.93 <u>+</u> 0.01 | 85.77 <u>+</u> 0.01 |

| $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=3$ TeV | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Signal Background | | | | | | |
| Events | Efficiency (%) | Events | Efficiency (%) | | | |
| 10.77±0.06 | 56.19 ± 0.22 | 18.6 <u>+</u> 0.1 | 80.04 ±0.09 | | | |

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4u$ $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$ Preliminary results

 10^{-3}

10

110

120



- Results can be improved by a factor 2 including all the ۲ leptonic channels (assuming same efficiencies)
- Further improvement: inclusions of the fully hadronic final states

07/09/20

 $m_{4\mu} (GeV)$

140

130

140

H-to-c-quark coupling at Muon Collider

| | physics process | σ (fb) | generator |
|----------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| | $\mu^+\mu^- \to H\nu\bar{\nu} \to c\bar{c}\nu\bar{\nu}$ | 8.9 | pythia |
| c-jet samples | $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow c\bar{c} \ 2leptons$ | 399 | madgraph |
| | $\mu^+\mu^- \to H \nu \bar{\nu} \to b \bar{b} \nu \bar{\nu}$ | 180 | pythia |
| b-jet samples | $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ 2leptons | 508 | madgraph |
| light-flavour- | $\mu^+\mu^- \to H\nu\bar{\nu} \to gg\;\nu\bar{\nu}$ | 26.4 | pythia |
| jet samples | $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow 2 light \ 2 leptons$ | 2.8 . le6 | madgraph |



$H \rightarrow gg$, $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$, $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$

are kinematically indistinguishable.

- Dedicated tagger to discriminate c jets from b and light-flavour ones.
- Heavy-flavour hadron characteristics:
 - **1.** Secondary vertices (SV)
 - 2. Displaced tracks
 - 3. Low-energy non isolated leptons



H→cc

C-jet tagging algorithm: the variables

- Vertex category
- Number of SV, Number of tracks from SV
- 2D and 3D SV flight distance significance Vertex variables
- Corrected mass, Mass-energy fraction
- SV Boost, Energy ratio , ΔR (SV, jet-axis)
- 2D, 3D signed impact parameter (SIP) significance
- Number of tracks in jet

Track variables

- ΔR (track, jet-axis), ΔR (Σ tracks, jet-axis)
- 2D, 3D SIP significance of the first track above invariant mass threshold
- $p_{L-jaxis}$ and $p_{L-jaxis}/p$, $p_{T-jaxis}$ and $p_{T-jaxis}/p$, E_T (Σ tracks) / E_T (jet)
- Lepton category
- $p_T/p_T(jet)$
- $p_{T-jaxis}$, $p_{L-jaxis}/p(jet)$

Lepton variables







07/09/20

C-jet tagging algorithm



The variables are combined in a single Boosted Decision Tree. Two independent taggers :

√s=1.5 TeV, 500 fb⁻¹

- b-jets

- c-jets

Signal: c jets

0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

CvsL discriminator

0.5

Bkg : light jets

- light-jets

- CvsB : separate cjets from b-jets
- CvsL : separate c-jets ۲ from light flavor

| | b mis | id. pro | obability | light m | isid. p | robability |
|-------|-----------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| c-tag | MuColl | CMS | CLIC | MuColl | CMS | CLIC |
| eff. | (w/o BIB) | | (w/o overlay) | (w/o BIB) | | (w/o overlay) |
| 50% | 7.5% | 11% | 7% | 2.3% | 14 % | 3 % |
| 60% | 10% | 14% | 11% | 6.3% | 25~% | 6 % |
| 70% | 14% | 20% | 15% | 16% | 40~% | 12 % |
| 80% | 19% | 26% | 23% | 31% | 55 % | 25 % |
| 90% | 28% | 40% | 32% | 55% | 75~% | 52 % |
| | | | | | | |

| (CvsL, CvsB) | <i>c</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>light — flav</i> . |
|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | efficiency | contamination | contamination |
| (0.04, 0.00) | 40 % | 13 % | 5 % |



$H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$ preliminary results

- After flavor tagging, Higgs candidates are built using the two highest pT jets and further topological selections are applied
- E_H > 130 GeV, pT_H > 30 GeV
- $\Delta R(j_1, j_2) < 3, m_H \in [110, 130] \text{ GeV}$

| \sqrt{s} = 1.5 TeV, 500 fb^{-1} (no BIB overlay) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| $S/\sqrt{S+B}$ | $\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ | $\Delta g_{Hcc}/g_{Hcc}$ | | | | |
| 9.5 | 10.5 % | 5.5 % | | | | |

Projection at \sqrt{s} = 3 TeV, 1300 fb^{-1} (assuming same selection efficiencies)

| $S/\sqrt{S+B}$ | $\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ | $\Delta g_{Hcc}/g_{Hcc}$ |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 20.4 | 4.9 % | 2.6 % |

CLIC: 350 GeV, 500 fb^{-1} : **6.2** % precision CLIC: 1.4 TeV, 1500 fb^{-1} : **2.3** % precision

Before topological selections



After topological selections



Summary

- The Muon Collider is the dream machine for Higgs Physics and beyond
- So far measured Higgs couplings with full simulation/extrapolations (Vs=3 TeV):
 - Hbb: 1% (published result)
 - Hcc: 2.3%
 - HZZ: 8% (only electron and muon final states)
- Precision improves with increasing energy → Muon Collider has the potential to reach higher energy wrt electron-based colliders.

Goal for next year:

- Inclusion of the BIB and impact on the final results
- Re-optimize analysis strategy for both Hcc and HZZ
- Optimize muon and jet reconstruction (next slide)

Perspectives and future challenges

The reconstruction of final states leptons and jets play a crucial role.

- Efficient vertexing and tracking rely on high granular tracker
- Reliable jet reconstruction limited by the calorimeters
- Muon tagging is crucial and muon stations are not yet fully exploited

Physics channel will <u>drive the detector design</u>

- Presently, just the Higgs sector has been considered
- Near future: keep going in the Higgs sector and exploring BSM multi-TeV signature
- BSM signatures/Going up with COM energies poses new challenges → e.g. merging final state jet in a single fat jet
 - Jet substructure and flavor tagging relies on information created at one spatial location during the decay of the original particle.
 - Detector design should be optimized accordingly



Backup

The Muon Collider experiment and challenges



Jet reconstruction

- Complex task: tracker info + energy deposits in calorimeters
- Particle reconstruction is performed through Pandora Particle Flow (PF) algorithm → improve the jet energy resolution.
- Output of PF is used for jet clustering: k_T algorithm with radius parameter R = 1.0.







07/09/20