





Precision and frontier searches in the Higgs sector



Filippo Errico
on behalf of Bari Gruppo1

21/06/2021, Bari
Congresso di sezione INFN

Higgs boson discovery was announced on the 4th of July 2012.





Higgs boson discovery was announced on the 4th of July 2012.



Since then, much effort has been put into determining its properties

Higgs boson (main) production modes





\sqrt{s} (TeV)	Production cross section (in pb) for $m_H = 125$ GeV					
	ggF	VBF	WH	ZH	$t\bar{t}H$	total
1.96	$0.95^{+17\%}_{-17\%}$	$0.065^{+8\%}_{-7\%}$	$0.13^{+8\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.079^{+8\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.004^{+10\%}_{-10\%}$	1.23
7	$16.9^{+4.4\%}_{-7.0\%}$	$1.24^{+2.1\%}_{-2.1\%}$	$0.58^{+2.2\%}_{-2.3\%}$	$0.34^{+3.1\%}_{-3.0\%}$	$0.09^{+5.6\%}_{-10.2\%}$	19.1
8	$21.4^{+4.4\%}_{-6.9\%}$	$1.60^{+2.3\%}_{-2.1\%}$	$0.70^{+2.1\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$0.42^{+3.4\%}_{-2.9\%}$	$0.13^{+5.9\%}_{-10.1\%}$	24.2
13	$48.6^{+4.6\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$3.78^{+2.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$1.37^{+2.6\%}_{-2.6\%}$	$0.88^{+4.1\%}_{-3.5\%}$	$0.50^{+6.8\%}_{-9.9\%}$	55.1
14	$54.7^{+4.6\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$4.28^{+2.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$1.51^{+1.9\%}_{-2.0\%}$	$0.99^{+4.1\%}_{-3.7\%}$	$0.60^{+6.9\%}_{-9.8\%}$	62.1



Overview



Higgs boson (main) production modes



7-8 TeV: Past
[2010-2012]

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	Production cross section (in pb) for $m_H = 125$ GeV					
	ggF	VBF	WH	ZH	$t\bar{t}H$	total
6	1.96 ^{+1.7%} _{-1.7%}	0.065 ^{+1.8%} _{-7%}	0.13 ^{+1.8%} _{-8%}	0.079 ^{+1.8%} _{-8%}	0.004 ^{+1.8%} _{-10%}	1.23
7	16.9 ^{+4.4%} _{-7.0%}	1.24 ^{+2.1%} _{-2.1%}	0.58 ^{+2.2%} _{-2.3%}	0.34 ^{+3.1%} _{-3.0%}	0.09 ^{+5.6%} _{-10.2%}	19.1
8	21.4 ^{+4.4%} _{-6.9%}	1.60 ^{+2.3%} _{-2.1%}	0.70 ^{+2.1%} _{-2.2%}	0.42 ^{+3.4%} _{-2.9%}	0.13 ^{+5.9%} _{-10.1%}	24.2
13	48.8 ^{+4.6%} _{-6.7%}	3.78 ^{+2.2%} _{-2.2%}	1.37 ^{+2.6%} _{-2.6%}	0.88 ^{+4.1%} _{-3.5%}	0.50 ^{+6.8%} _{-9.9%}	55.1
14	54.7 ^{+4.6%} _{-6.7%}	4.28 ^{+2.2%} _{-2.2%}	1.51 ^{+1.9%} _{-2.0%}	0.99 ^{+4.1%} _{-3.7%}	0.60 ^{+6.9%} _{-9.8%}	62.1

Higgs boson (main) production modes





**13 TeV: Present
[2015-2022]**

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	Production cross section (in pb) for $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$					
	ggF	VBF	WH	ZH	$t\bar{t}H$	total
1.96	$0.95^{+17\%}_{-17\%}$	$0.065^{+8\%}_{-7\%}$	$0.13^{+8\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.079^{+8\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.004^{+10\%}_{-10\%}$	1.23
7	$16.9^{+4.4\%}_{-7.0\%}$	$1.24^{+2.1\%}_{-2.1\%}$	$0.58^{+2.2\%}_{-2.3\%}$	$0.34^{+3.1\%}_{-3.0\%}$	$0.09^{+5.6\%}_{-10.2\%}$	19.1
8	$21.4^{+4.4\%}_{-6.6\%}$	$1.60^{+2.3\%}_{-2.1\%}$	$0.70^{+2.1\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$0.42^{+3.4\%}_{-2.8\%}$	$0.13^{+5.9\%}_{-10.1\%}$	24.2
13	$48.6^{+4.6\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$3.78^{+2.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$1.37^{+2.6\%}_{-2.6\%}$	$0.88^{+4.1\%}_{-3.5\%}$	$0.50^{+6.8\%}_{-9.9\%}$	55.1
14	$54.7^{+4.6\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$4.28^{+2.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$1.51^{+1.9\%}_{-2.0\%}$	$0.99^{+4.1\%}_{-3.7\%}$	$0.60^{+6.9\%}_{-9.8\%}$	62.1



Overview


Higgs boson (main) production modes



**14 TeV: Future
[2022(?) - 2035]**

\sqrt{s} (TeV)	Production cross section (in pb) for $m_H = 125$ GeV					
	ggF	VBF	WH	ZH	$t\bar{t}H$	total
1.96	$0.95^{+17\%}_{-17\%}$	$0.065^{+8\%}_{-7\%}$	$0.13^{+8\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.079^{+8\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.004^{+10\%}_{-10\%}$	1.23
7	$16.9^{+4.4\%}_{-7.0\%}$	$1.24^{+2.1\%}_{-2.1\%}$	$0.58^{+2.2\%}_{-2.3\%}$	$0.34^{+3.1\%}_{-3.0\%}$	$0.09^{+5.6\%}_{-10.2\%}$	19.1
8	$21.4^{+4.4\%}_{-6.9\%}$	$1.60^{+2.3\%}_{-2.1\%}$	$0.70^{+2.1\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$0.42^{+3.4\%}_{-2.9\%}$	$0.13^{+5.9\%}_{-10.1\%}$	24.2
13	$48.6^{+4.6\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$3.78^{+2.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$1.37^{+2.6\%}_{-2.6\%}$	$0.88^{+4.1\%}_{-3.5\%}$	$0.50^{+6.8\%}_{-9.9\%}$	55.1
14	$54.7^{+4.6\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$4.28^{+2.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$1.51^{+1.9\%}_{-2.0\%}$	$0.99^{+4.1\%}_{-3.7\%}$	$0.60^{+6.9\%}_{-9.8\%}$	62.1


Higgs boson decay channels



H_{BR} @ $m_H = 125.09$ GeV

Decay channel	Branching ratio	Rel. uncertainty
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	2.27×10^{-3}	2.1%
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	2.62×10^{-2}	$\pm 1.5\%$
$H \rightarrow W^+W^-$	2.14×10^{-1}	$\pm 1.5\%$
$H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$	6.27×10^{-2}	$\pm 1.6\%$
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	5.82×10^{-1}	$+1.2\%$ -1.3%
$H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$	2.89×10^{-2}	$+5.5\%$ -2.0%
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	1.53×10^{-3}	$\pm 5.8\%$
$H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	2.18×10^{-4}	$\pm 1.7\%$

Higgs boson decay channels



H_{BR} @ $m_H = 125.09$ GeV

Decay channel	Branching ratio	Rel. uncertainty
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	2.27×10^{-3}	2.1%
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	2.62×10^{-2}	$\pm 1.5\%$
$H \rightarrow W^+W^-$	2.14×10^{-1}	$\pm 1.5\%$
$H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$	6.27×10^{-2}	$\pm 1.6\%$
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	5.82×10^{-1}	$+1.2\%$ -1.3%
$H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$	2.89×10^{-2}	$+5.5\%$ -2.0%
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	1.53×10^{-3}	$\pm 5.8\%$
$H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	2.18×10^{-4}	$\pm 1.7\%$

But are we sure that $m_H = 125.09$ GeV?



The Higgs boson mass

The Higgs boson mass is one of the most important free parameters of the Standard Model.



It is crucial to properly determine its value since it determines all the others Higgs boson properties (e.g cross section, branching ratio).

The Higgs boson mass is one of the most important free parameters of the Standard Model.

It is crucial to properly determine its value since it determines all the others Higgs boson properties (e.g cross section, branching ratio).

It is also gives information on the shape of the H potential.

Quote



Phys. Rev. D 97, 056006



The Higgs boson mass



Currently the **best** Higgs boson **mass measurement** was performed using data collected by the **CMS experiment** during 2016 ($\sim 36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$).



The Higgs boson mass

Currently the **best** Higgs boson **mass measurement** was performed using data collected by the **CMS experiment** during 2016 ($\sim 36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$).

This result has been obtained combining the two most sensitive channels: $H \rightarrow ZZ$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.



The Higgs boson mass

Currently the **best** Higgs boson **mass measurement** was performed using data collected by the **CMS experiment** during 2016 ($\sim 36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$).

This result has been obtained combining the two most sensitive channels: $H \rightarrow ZZ$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.

$$m_H = 125.38 \pm 0.14 [\pm 0.11(stat) \pm 0.08(syst)] \text{ GeV}$$

Currently most precise result in the world



The Higgs boson mass

Currently the **best** Higgs boson **mass measurement** was performed using data collected by the **CMS experiment** during 2016 ($\sim 36 \text{ fb}^{-1}$).

This result has been obtained combining the two most sensitive channels **$H \rightarrow ZZ$** and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.


**CMS Bari group gives its
contributions to the HZZ
channel**



The Higgs boson mass

Even it has a small BR ($\sim 2.6\%$), it is considered a **golden** channel thanks to:

- the full reconstruction of the final states (4μ , $4e$ or $2e2\mu$)
- very good background rejection (combining several approaches)
- good mass resolution (**$\sim 1\%$**)



The Higgs boson mass


Latest CMS result on the Higgs boson mass, in the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ channel, uses **2016 data**, (36/fb).

Final results have been extracted using a **3D likelihood**:

- four lepton mass
- kinematic discriminant
- event-by-event mass uncertainty



$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{kin}} = \left[1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{q}\bar{\text{q}}}(\vec{\Omega}^{H \rightarrow 4\ell} | m_{4\ell})}{\mathcal{P}_{\text{sig}}^{\text{gg}}(\vec{\Omega}^{H \rightarrow 4\ell} | m_{4\ell})} \right]^{-1}$$




- **Signal line shape:** double-sided Crystal Ball
- **ZZ^* backgrounds:** estimated from MC simulation
- **Z+X:** estimated from data



The Higgs boson mass

Latest CMS result on the Higgs boson mass, in the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ channel, uses **2016 data**, (36/fb).

No $m(Z_1)$ constraint	3D: $\mathcal{L}(m_{4\ell}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{mass}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{kin}})$	2D: $\mathcal{L}(m_{4\ell}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{mass}})$	1D: $\mathcal{L}(m_{4\ell})$
Expected m_H uncertainty change	+8.1%	+11%	+21%
Observed m_H (GeV)	125.28 ± 0.22	125.36 ± 0.24	125.39 ± 0.25
With $m(Z_1)$ constraint	3D: $\mathcal{L}(m'_{4\ell}, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{mass}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{bkg}}^{\text{kin}})$	2D: $\mathcal{L}(m'_{4\ell}, \mathcal{D}'_{\text{mass}})$	1D: $\mathcal{L}(m'_{4\ell})$
Expected m_H uncertainty change	—	+3.2%	+11%
Observed m_H (GeV)	125.26 ± 0.21	125.30 ± 0.21	125.34 ± 0.23



A **mass constraint on the intermediate on-shell Z resonance** has been exploited in order to **improve $m_{4\ell}$ resolution**

$$m_H = 125.26 \pm 0.21 \text{ [0.20(stat) } \pm 0.08(\text{syst})] \text{ GeV}$$





Higgs signal strength modifier

Signal strength modifier (μ) is defined as the ratio between the measured signal cross section and the SM expectation.

$$\mu = \mu_{\sigma} \mu_{BR} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{SM}} \frac{BR}{BR_{SM}}$$

Signal strength modifier (μ) is defined as the ratio between the measured signal cross section and the SM expectation.

$$\mu = \mu_{\sigma} \mu_{BR} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{SM}} \frac{BR}{BR_{SM}}$$



$$\mu_{HZZ} = 0.94 \pm 0.07 \text{ (stat)}^{+0.07}_{-0.06} \text{ (theo)}^{+0.06}_{-0.05} \text{ (exp)}$$



Simplified template cross sections

The simplified template cross section (STXS) tries to maximise the sensitivity of the measurement, minimising the dependence on the theory predictions, defining several kinematic regions using generator level information.

Different stages have been defined:


Stage 0: regions are equivalent to the different production modes

		$(\sigma\mathcal{B})_{\text{obs}}$ (fb)	$(\sigma\mathcal{B})_{\text{SM}}$ (fb)	$(\sigma\mathcal{B})_{\text{obs}} / (\sigma\mathcal{B})_{\text{SM}}$
Stage 1	ttH	3_{-3}^{+16}	15.9 ± 1.4	$0.16_{-0.16}^{+0.98}$
	VH-lep	41_{-35}^{+52}	25.9 ± 0.8	$1.56_{-1.34}^{+1.99}$
Stage 1.1	qqH	61_{-44}^{+53}	122 ± 6	$0.50_{-0.36}^{+0.44}$
	ggH	1214_{-125}^{+135}	1192 ± 95	$1.02_{-0.10}^{+0.11}$
	Inclusive	1318_{-122}^{+130}	1369 ± 164	$0.96_{-0.09}^{+0.10}$

Stage 1.2: other regions at high p_T or high mass have been introduced to study BSM physics.




Simplified template cross sections





Simplified template cross sections





Fiducial cross sections







- Cross section defined in a **fiducial phase space**.
- Volume defined by a set of **selection criteria at generator level** based on kinematic, geometrical variables and on the topology of the event.
- The idea is to **minimise the dependence on theoretical uncertainties**.

HZZ fiducial volume

unique definition independent from the observable under study.
Based on lepton kinematic cuts and isolation requirements with some restrictions on the dilepton and four-lepton system.




Fiducial cross sections




The Higgs boson self coupling (known as λ_{HHH} ; k_λ if compared to its SM value) is strictly connected to its mass.

One of the easiest way to measure this parameter is to look for di-Higgs production

di-Higgs (gluon-gluon) production has a XS of ~ 30 fb, 1000 times small than Higgs gluon-gluon fusion.





$$\sigma(k_t, k_\lambda) \approx k_t^4 |B|^2 + k_t^3 k_\lambda (BT + TB) + k_t^2 k_\lambda^2 |T|^2$$



Higgs self coupling

Bari studied k_λ in the $bbZZ$ final state.

Profit of the multivariate analysis (BDT) to extract final results.







Higgs self coupling

Bari studied k_λ in the $bbZZ$ final state.

Profit of the multivariate analysis (BDT) to extract final results.



$$\sigma/\sigma_{SM} < 30$$




$$-9 < k_\lambda < 14$$

Best results in this channel!


Higgs self coupling

Bari contributed to the k_λ and HH XS measurements also looking at the $bb\tau\tau$ final states




$$\sigma < 75.4(61) \text{ fb}$$


$$\sigma/\sigma_{SM} < 30(25)$$



Resonant double Higgs boson production has been also investigated still using $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau\tau$ channel



WED model



hMSSM model




“What next?”



Beyond HL-LHC


Muon collider is one of the apparatus under studies at CERN to further investigate the unknown question that LHC is not able to tackle

It could reach very high precision in the Higgs sector:

%		HL-LHC	CLIC (3 TeV)	FCC-ee (365 GeV)	MuonCollider (10 TeV)
	k_W	1.7	0.11	0.43	0.06
	k_Z	1.5	0.23	0.17	0.23
	k_t	3.3	2.7	-	6.0
	k_μ	4.6	5.8	8.9	2.0
	k_c	-	1.4	1.3	0.89




Bari group is contributing not only to the **FCC project**, but also to the **MuonCollider**

Signal process: H boson produced via **WW fusion** and **decaying into a pair of c quark**




Background process:

- $cc + 2$ leptons (charged or not)
- $bb + 2$ leptons (charged or not)



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$

Angular variables sensitive to **spin** and **parity** of a **resonance**:





$X \rightarrow V_1 V_2 \rightarrow f_{11} f_{12} f_{21} f_{22}$
 q_i : V_i momentum in X rest frame
 q_{ij} : f_{ij} momentum in V_i rest frame

Angles defined by:

- The directions of V_i in X rest frame;
- the directions of fermions in their parent boson rest frame.

- All these results have been extracted using simulated only events
- No impact from BIB has been taken into account





Summary

A brief summary on the precision measurement in the Higgs boson sector has been shown.

Bari group gave its contribution in the CMS experiment:

- looking at the golden channel $H \rightarrow ZZ$ to 4 leptons:
 - ✦ best result (in the world) for the **mass**
 - ✦ very precise **cross section** measurement, either within STXS framework either using fiducial volume
- exploit di-Higgs sector (looking at $bbZZ$ and $bb\tau\tau$ channels) setting limits on the **cross section** and on the trilinear couplings k_λ

Bari is also giving its contribution to the MuonCollider and to the FCC projects.






Backup



STXS



e





HZZ fiducial phase space

INFN
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Requirements for the $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ fiducial phase space

Lepton kinematics and isolation

Leading lepton p_T	$p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
Next-to-leading lepton p_T	$p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$
Additional electrons (muons) p_T	$p_T > 7 \text{ (5) GeV}$
Pseudorapidity of electrons (muons)	$ \eta < 2.5 \text{ (2.4)}$
Sum of scalar p_T of all stable particles within $\Delta R < 0.3$ from lepton	$< 0.35 p_T$

Event topology

Existence of at least two same-flavor OS lepton pairs, where leptons satisfy criteria above	
Inv. mass of the Z_1 candidate	$40 < m_{Z_1} < 120 \text{ GeV}$
Inv. mass of the Z_2 candidate	$12 < m_{Z_2} < 120 \text{ GeV}$
Distance between selected four leptons	$\Delta R(\ell_i, \ell_j) > 0.02$ for any $i \neq j$
Inv. mass of any opposite sign lepton pair	$m_{\ell^+\ell'^-} > 4 \text{ GeV}$
Inv. mass of the selected four leptons	$105 < m_{4\ell} < 140 \text{ GeV}$

Signal process	\mathcal{A}_{fid}	ϵ	f_{nonfid}	$(1 + f_{\text{nonfid}})\epsilon$
ggH (POWHEG)	0.402 ± 0.001	0.598 ± 0.002	0.054 ± 0.001	0.631 ± 0.002
VBF	0.445 ± 0.002	0.615 ± 0.002	0.043 ± 0.001	0.641 ± 0.003
WH	0.329 ± 0.002	0.604 ± 0.003	0.078 ± 0.002	0.651 ± 0.004
ZH	0.340 ± 0.003	0.613 ± 0.005	0.082 ± 0.004	0.663 ± 0.006
$t\bar{t}H$	0.315 ± 0.004	0.588 ± 0.007	0.181 ± 0.009	0.694 ± 0.010



$$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$$


The latest measurement of Higgs boson mass at CMS was done in the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel, analysing **2016 data** (36/fb).

Critical component → energy calibration of the response of the detector to photons. Correction are derived using a multivariate regression technique

Dedicated method developed → deals with residual differences between data and MC, after applying corrections

Events classification → according to their production mode, mass resolution and their predicted signal-to-background ratio; $S/(S+B)$ value is obtained using a multivariate discriminant (BDT).

The latest measurement of Higgs boson mass at CMS was done in the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel, analysing **2016 data** (36/fb).






- **Signal line shape:** sum of Gaussian functions
- **Background model:** obtained from data using the discrete profiling method (function families considered include exponential functions, Bernstein polynomials, Laurent series, and power law functions)

Latest result in $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

$$m_H = 125.78 \pm 0.26 [\pm 0.18(stat) \pm 0.18(syst)] \text{ GeV}$$


Currently most precise Higgs boson mass measurement was done using **CMS 2016 data** (36/fb), combining **$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$** and **$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$** channels, with **Run 1** results.







The Higgs boson width



Phys. Rev. D 99, 112003 (2019)

Difficulties in directly measuring the width (4.07 MeV) due to detector resolution.

Measured in the H to 4ℓ channel, combining 2016-2017 data with Run1, comparing on-shell and off-shell production:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{on-shell}}^{\text{gg} \rightarrow \text{H} \rightarrow \text{ZZ}^*}}{\sigma_{\text{off-shell}}^{\text{gg} \rightarrow \text{H}^* \rightarrow \text{ZZ}}} \sim \frac{g_{\text{ggH}}^2 g_{\text{HZZ}}^2}{m_{\text{H}} \Gamma_{\text{H}}}$$

$$\sim \frac{g_{\text{ggH}}^2 g_{\text{HZZ}}^2}{(2m_{\text{Z}})^2}$$



Set a lower
bound for
the first time

Best result up to now:

$$\Gamma_{\text{H}} < 9.16 \text{ (exp 13.7) MeV @ 95 \% C.L.}$$




H prospects





H prospects






Muon collider

Cons:


- muons are decaying particles ($2.2\mu\text{s}$) but a 5 TeV beam allows to properly treat the leptons in the lab system ($t_\mu \sim 100\text{ms}$)
- Intense and highly collimated neutrino beams, emerging on the earth surface even very far from the muon collider complex, may be responsible for a severe ionisation radiation hazard for the population and the environment \rightarrow 3 TeV is safe, 10 TeV could be safe with mitigation measures in place.
- Beam induced background (BIB)



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$


Developed a background discriminator (known as MELA in CMS), combining angular distributions into a single discriminator.

Angular variables sensitive to **spin** and **parity** of a **resonance**:



$X \rightarrow V_1 V_2 \rightarrow f_{11} f_{12} f_{21} f_{22}$
 q_i : V_i momentum in X rest frame
 q_{ij} : f_{ij} momentum in V_i rest frame

- Angles defined by:
- The directions of V_i in X rest frame;
 - the directions of fermions in their parent boson rest frame.





Signal efficiency 99% → background rejection 87%

	$\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$	$\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$
BDT cut	-0.036	-0.041
Σ	1.99	4.06

$$\Sigma = \frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}$$

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$



$\sqrt{s} = 1.5\text{ TeV} \quad L=500\text{fb}^{-1}$			$\sqrt{s} = 3\text{ TeV} \quad L=1300\text{fb}^{-1}$		
Z	$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} (\%)$	$\frac{\Delta g_{HZZ}}{g_{HZZ}}$	Z	$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} (\%)$	$\frac{\Delta g_{HZZ}}{g_{HZZ}}$
3.65	60.16	30.12	6.91	31.64	15.85

By including $4e$ and $2e2\mu$, the uncertainty on the HZZ coupling can be improved by a factor ~ 2



Muon collider

Direct searches

Pair production,
Resonances, VBF,
Dark Matter, ...

High-rate measurements

Single Higgs,
self coupling, rare and
exotic Higgs decays,
top quarks, ...

High-energy probes

Di-boson, di-fermion,
tri-boson, EFT,
compositeness, ...

Muon physics

Lepton Flavor
Universality, $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$,
muon $g-2$, ...