

Lead-based Supernova Detectors

C.J. Virtue for the HALO Collaboration







Outline

- Basics what?
- Rationale / Drawbacks why?
- Lead-based Supernova detection
 - Cross sections / Flavour sensitivity
- HALO at SNOLAB
 - Neutron Detection
 - Backgrounds
 - SNEWS
 - Performance
- Future
 - HALO
 - HALO-1kT?

Basics

- A lead-based supernova detector is a volume of target lead, some neutron moderating material, instrumented with neutron detectors, and shielded from external background neutrons
- Supernova neutrinos can excite, through Charged-Current (CC) and Neutral-Current (NC) interactions, states in Pb above the 1-neutron and 2neutron separation energies
- These neutrons have MeV energies and are thermalized and detected with some efficiency
- A near-enough supernova would produce a burst of detected neutrons and result in a very low-latency alarm to SNEWS
- Flavour sensitivity implies contributions to global SN data analyses (A. Gallo Rosso – yesterday)

Rationale

In Summary

- Complementarity of neutrino flavour sensitivity vis-à-vis water Čerenkov and liquid scintillator detectors
- Robust technology, low-cost, low-maintenance, high-livetime
- Simple \rightarrow low latency signal to SNEWS

Merits for a dedicated SN detector!

Drawbacks

- Cross section uncertainties
- No CC / NC separation
- No directional information
- T₀ precision limited by statistics
- Lacking technical challenge to attract and retain talent new projects or for long-term operation?
- <u>Laurentian Insolvency</u> has forced / accelerated a change from a Laurentian-centric operation of HALO to a SNOLAB-centric one

Cross sections

• The following reactions can occur for neutrinos of supernova energies

 $\begin{array}{rcl} {\rm CC}: & \nu_e \,+\, {}^{208}{\rm Pb} & \to & {}^{207}{\rm Bi} \,+\, n \,+\, e^- \,-\, 9.8 \,{\rm MeV} \\ & & \nu_e \,+\, {}^{208}{\rm Pb} & \to & {}^{206}{\rm Bi} \,+\, 2n \,+\, e^- \,-\, 17.9 \,{\rm MeV} \end{array}$ ${\rm NC}: & \nu_x \,+\, {}^{208}{\rm Pb} & \to & {}^{207}{\rm Pb} \,+\, n \,-\, 7.4 \,{\rm MeV} \end{array}$

 $\nu_x + {}^{208}\text{Pb} \rightarrow {}^{206}\text{Pb} + 2n - 14.1 \,\text{MeV}$

- electrons carry energy information and could be used to tag CC reactions, however
 - requires lead in solution was explored and abandoned, or
 - requires fine-grained lead-scintillator also abandoned
 - so no CC tagging or energy measurement
- neutrons detected through capture on ³He after thermalization (200 μ s)
 - no energy measurement, though some sensitivity through 2n / 1n ratio
 - no direction measurement
 - only counting as a function of time, single (1n) and double (2n) events

Note: no $\overline{\nu_e}$ CC Pb has a very low n-capture cross section (α ,n) on Pb has threshold above U/Th α 's



Available Cross Sections for Lead



Only calculation that includes 1n, 2n separately; also conservative compared to others

See talk Y. Efremenko this afternoon

Flavour sensitivity

- the nuclear physics of lead strongly affects the interaction rates
 - the neutron excess in Pb Pauli blocks $\overline{\nu}_e$ CC reactions
 - the high Z further Coulomb suppresses $\overline{\nu_{e}}$ CC and enhances ν_{e} CC
- the response remains an unresolved mixture of v_e CC and v_x NC but is largely orthogonal to \overline{v}_e CC (IBD) sensitivity of LS and WC detectors
- part of the merit of a lead-based supernova detector rests on its complementary flavour sensitivity wrt other SN detectors and the power it brings to joint analyses



Neutrino-Induced Neutrons (NINs)



FIG. 6. Neutron energy spectrum produced by the chargedcurrent (ν_e, e^-) reaction on ²⁰⁸Pb. The calculation has been performed for different supernova neutrino spectra characterized by the parameters (T, α). Note that the cross sections for (T, α) = (4,0) and (3,3) neutrinos have been scaled by a factor of 5.

Thermalization and capture time $\sim 200 \mu s$



FIG. 7. Neutron energy spectrum produced by the neutralcurrent (ν, ν') reaction on ²⁰⁸Pb. The calculation has been performed for different supernova neutrino spectra characterized by the parameters (T, α) .

Kolbe and Langanke, PRC 63 (2001), 025802

Sensitivity to NIN energy and angular distribution

- Tested in Monte Carlo by scanning neutron energy with random position / direction
- For 2n did both isotropic and collinear
- No sensitivity found
- Monte Carlo samples the Kolbe / Langanke distributions nonetheless
- Plot done with earlier version with slightly higher neutron capture efficiency



HALO at SNOLAB





- "Helium And Lead Observatory"
- SNO's ³He counters
 - 128 excellent low background neutron detectors (368 m containing ~1465 litre.atm ³He)
- 79 tonnes of Pb
 - 864 91kg blocks, non-optimum lead geometry
- 8mm HDPE moderator sleeves

31/5/2023

Shielding

- Modest requirements
- Stacked cubic foot "water boxes" on 5 sides
- 20 cm plastic lumber underneath
- Provision for 24 calibration tubes in front
- Adequate to reduce detected neutrons to 15 mHz (1 per minute)





Full detector being read-out since May 8th 2012

Status today

- Entering 12th year of continuous high livetime operation
- Daily shift-taking since July 27th 2012.
- Burst trigger implemented and connected to SNEWS since October 8, 2015
- Full calibration done with and without front shielding wall April 2016
- simulated / calibrated / understood
- many redundant systems for reliability





HALO

Redundancy

- the basics
 - power
 - UPS with ~2 hours runtime; automated shutdown and restart around extended power failures
 - Recently SNOLAB has added a 3 MW diesel generator to supply entire lab
 - network
 - two switches stacked with multiple uplinks and spanning tree to manage multiple single points of failure
 - GPS
 - two units surface and underground
 - Oven-ized oscillator in underground one in case fiber to surface lost

Redundancy



128³He neutron detectors

- paired \rightarrow 64 channels

Required (one of):

- LV preamp power supply
- HV supply
- ADCs
- DAQ computer

But multiple single points of failure, so:

- divide readout left / right
- double-up on components including DAQ computer

Most single point failures leave 50% of readout functioning



SNvD 2023 - LNGS

Simulation

- Initial design optimization done with simplified Geant4 geometry
- Fully detailed "as built" geometry added later
- Emphasis on simulating / optimizing neutron capture efficiency and detector calibration
- Later studied SN "light curves" and t₀ extraction for SNEWS





Calibration

- used a low activity (~20 SF/s) ²⁵²Cf source
- with very low backgrounds were able to measure the neutron multiplicity distribution which is a strong function of the neutron capture efficiency at 192 points
- extend time window to ensure that all neutrons from an integral number of fissions were counted
- fitting simultaneously gives efficiency at a point and the source strength
- rely on Monte Carlo simulation to extrapolate from 192 discrete calibration points to a volume-averaged efficiency for distributed supernova neutrino neutron production → 28% volume-averaged
- Caveat: ²⁵²Cf neutron multiplicity distribution assumed.... Evaluating systematic associated with other isotopes present









Neutron detection

- Re-using SNO's "NCD" ³He proportional counters
- 5 cm diameter x 3 m and 2.5 m in length, ultra-pure CVD Ni tube (600 micron wall thickness)
- 2.5 atm (85% ³He, 15% CF₄, by pressure)
- New endcaps and HV connection designed for HALO
- Four detectors with HDPE moderator tubes in each of 32 columns of lead rings
- 128 counters (368 m) paired for 64 channels of readout





Neutron detection

 $n + {}^{3}He \rightarrow {}^{3}H + p + 764 \text{ keV}$

- Energy divided
 - Proton 573 keV
 - Triton 191 keV
- FEP tuned to ADC channel 1200
- Reduced integration time wrt SNO
 - Degraded energy resolution at FEP
 - Less efficient charge collection for longer Compton / β tracks
- For SN trigger we define a "neutron" as > ADC channel 400, < 1350



Backgrounds



- Cosmic muons (< 2 / day) \rightarrow spallation
- Spontaneous fission of built-in ²³⁸U
 - Have seen 398 Spallation / SF bursts (> 3 "neutrons" in 2 s window) in last 444 days
- Environmental neutrons (α , n) in surrounding rock
 - 4000 thermal / m^2 / day
 - 4000 fast / m² / day
 - Responsible for bulk of 15 mHz of "neutrons"
- Proportional tube wall alphas
 - ~ 1 / m / day but only ~20% fall in neutron window
- Compton / β
 - Set channel thresholds around ~50 keV for flat rate across the 64 channels and total DAQ rate of 5 Hz

Supernova signal in HALO



- $\mathcal{CC}: \quad \nu_e + {}^{208}\mathrm{Pb} \quad \rightarrow \quad {}^{207}\mathrm{Bi} + n + e^-$
 - ν_e + ²⁰⁸Pb \rightarrow ²⁰⁶Bi + 2n + e⁻
- **NC:** $\nu_x + {}^{208}\text{Pb} \rightarrow {}^{207}\text{Pb} + n$
 - $\nu_x + {}^{208}\text{Pb} \rightarrow {}^{206}\text{Pb} + 2n$



In 79 tonnes of lead for a SN @ 10kpc⁺,

- Assuming FD distribution with T=8 MeV for V_{μ} 's, V_{τ} 's.
- - 30 single neutrons
 - 19 double neutrons (38 total)
- 20 neutrons through v_x neutral current channels
 - 8 single neutrons
 - 6 double neutrons (12 total)
- ~ 88 neutrons liberated; ie. ~1.1 n/tonne of Pb

+- cross-sections from Engel, McLaughlin, Volpe, Phys. Rev. D 67, 013005 (2003)

For HALO neutron detection efficiencies of 28% have been obtained in MC studies optimizing the detector geometry, the mass and location of neutron moderator, and enveloping the detector in a neutron reflector.

SNEWS



- Simple burst trigger
 - N events, above an ADC threshold, within a time window (N, E, Δt)
 - For (N, E, Δt) = (3, 400, 2)
 - Classify burst and send email to burst subscribers (< 2 emails per day on average)
 - Spallation or SF if burst duration < 1 ms and energy distribution compatible with expectations
 - Coincidence if compatible with random coincidence of neutron-like events
 - Occurred 180 times in last 444 days
 - Other if energy distribution incompatible with expectations for neutrons
 - Infrequent, often associated with activity near detector
 - For (N, E, Δt) = (4, 400, 2)
 - Classified as SN Candidate and SNEWS alert sent with seconds of latency
 - Roughly 1 / yr, last one 198 days ago
 - HALO, through SNEWS, able to provide very low latency "save your data" alert to any interested detector
- Connected to SNEWS since October 8, 2015 and active in SNEWS 2.0



Performance



Monitoring

- Well developed monitoring of everything
- Surveyed twice daily by one of group of 15 shift-takers
- Status emails plus alert emails to expert sub-group



Future - HALO

- Continue operations, SNOLAB support minimizing impact of "Laurentian Insolvency"
- Recently renewed computers and UPS, hardware in great shape
- Transitioning to SNEWS 2.0
- Have SNOLAB space allocation until 2028
 - Space renewable but may eventually be limited by lab expansion plans
- Transitioning from single spokesperson to two co-spokespeople
- Real effort going into continuous documentation / knowledge transfer



Lab in future (unfunded) expansion scenario

Future – HALO-1kT?

• For HALO at SNOLAB \rightarrow HALO-1kT at LNGS

- 79 \rightarrow 1000 tonnes Pb (OPERA lead)
- 1465 \rightarrow 10,000 litre.atm of ³He (DOE?)
- 28% \rightarrow >50% n capture eff. (from optimization studies)
- ~23 times the event statistics of HALO

HALO-1kT Base Design

- lead core 4.33 x 4.33 x 5.5 m³ with 28 x 28 x 5.5 m array of ³He neutron counters at 1.16 atm pressure (4300 m total)
- 8 mm thick PS moderator
- up to 30 cm graphite reflector
- up to 30 cm HDPE shielding
- reflector and shielding require further optimization once we have conceptual mechanical design for superstructure
- Control of background in neutron counters
 - Only significant technical question
 - Likely path forward identified



Simulation Studies

- Focus on optimizing neutron capture efficiency
- constrain to 10,000 litre.atm of ³He; 1000 tonnes of lead; 5.5 m depth of lead volume
- explore various geometrical effects
 - overall shape
 - number of detectors (³He pressure varies inversely)
 - proportional tube wall materials / thicknesses
 - moderator materials / thicknesses
 - presence / absence / thickness / composition of reflector layer
 - thickness of HDPE shielding
 - More
- Achieve 50-55% neutron capture efficiency for range of parameters

But...

- ~2 year delay in funding in Canada due to change of government and delayed commitment to matching funds
- Then was being seconded to an administrative position when Laurentian Insolvency "advanced my retirement" when the Physics Department was closed
- HALO-1kT at LNGS lost steam through these past 3-4 years...
- Need for new blood and fresh leadership is clear
- More HALO-1kT R&D has been done
- I would be thrilled to assist significantly in discussions and efforts to push forward with HALO-1kT at LNGS

Fine / Grazie