## Fastsim EMC Model Update

Chih-hsiang Cheng Caltech 2010/05/27 SuperB Fastsim Meeting

#### Old model



unit = <u>ns</u>	Fwd	Barrel	Bwd	
σ	100	500	10	
S_hi	100	500	10	$+1\sigma$
S_lo	-100	-500	-10	-1σ
T_lo	-250	-1250	-25	-2.5σ

#### New model, use more realistic waveform

• Use scintillating light decay time(s), and filter time constants.



- If  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ ,  $V_{out}$  reduces to  $V_{out} = (t/\tau)e^{-t/\tau}$
- In out case the light has an exponential decay time (or two)
- CsI: 64% @ 680 ns + 36% @ 3340 ns
- LYSO: 41 ns.

$$V_{\text{out}} = e^{-t/\tau} \frac{\tau_1(e^{-t/\tau_1} - e^{-t/\tau_2})}{\tau_1 - \tau_2}$$

# Shapes



- Barrel: CsI, Babar shaping:  $\tau_1$ =680 ns,  $\tau_2$ = 250 ns.
- Forward: LYSO: shaping:  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 40$  ns.
- Backward: lifetime 10 ns (?): shaping:  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 10$  ns (?).
- No attempt to model loss of light yet. Shape will be normalized. And peak is proportional to the energy.

## Selection criteria



contributing to it, either accept the full energy, or reject the crystal entirely.

In this graph: barrel,  $\Delta t = 120$  ns





- Left: reference, one 1-GeV  $\gamma$ .
- Right: a 1-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=0 and a 1-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=-800 ns.
  - result: in time, E= 1 + tail at expected t-peak.





- Left: reference, one 1-GeV  $\gamma$ .
- Right: a 1-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=0 and a 2.33-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=-600 ns.
  - result: out of time
    (background peak is higher)





- Left: reference, one 1-GeV  $\gamma$ .
- Right: a 1-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=0 and a 2.33-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=-1300 ns.
  - result: out of time
    (background max is higher)





- Left: reference, one 1-GeV  $\gamma$ .
- Right: a 1-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=0 and a 1.22-GeV  $\gamma$  at t=-1300 ns.
  - result: in time (background max is lower)

#### Parameters

unit = ns	Fwd	Barrel	Bwd
S_hi	50	120	10
S_lo	-50	-120	-10
Bkg region	-250	-1000	50
Simulate	-500	-2500	-100

• Background and simulate region may be too wide, given the narrow peak.

# Summary

- New waveform model uses physical parameters (scintillator decay time, preamp shaping time); can adjust according to the hardware.
- Assume we know the exact physics event time.
- Signal region is narrower than before.
- Timing model allows merging of different times.
- Assume we will look for background peak, and reject the digi if the background peak is high.
- The cost is that we need to simulate/reconstruct for a much wider time range than the signal selection region.