### Nuclear Structure and Reaction Mechanism Studies with Radioactive Ion Beams Produced in Batch-Mode

F. Recchia<sup>1)</sup>, D. Bazzacco<sup>2)</sup>, M. Cinausero<sup>3)</sup>, L. Corradi<sup>3)</sup>,
 G. De Angelis<sup>3)</sup>, E. Farnea<sup>2)</sup>, E. Fioretto<sup>3)</sup>, S.M. Lenzi<sup>1)</sup>, S. Lunardi<sup>1)</sup>,
 M. Mazzocco<sup>1)</sup>, G. Montagnoli<sup>1)</sup>, D. Napoli<sup>3)</sup>, F. Scarlassara<sup>1)</sup>,
 C. Signorini<sup>1)</sup>, A.M. Stefanini<sup>3)</sup>, C.A., Ur<sup>3)</sup>

1) Università di Padova
 2) INFN sez. di Padova
 3) INFN sez. di Legnaro

### Batch-mode produced ribs





- Irradiation
- Transport
- Re-acceleration

### **Possible isotopes**



### Possible isotopes

- <sup>46</sup>Ti (p,p2n) <sup>44</sup>Ti
- <sup>58</sup>Ni (p,p2n) <sup>56</sup>Ni
- <sup>70</sup>Ge(p,p2n) <sup>68</sup>Ge
- <sup>74</sup>Se (p,p2n) <sup>72</sup>Se
- <sup>84</sup>Sr (p,p2n) <sup>82</sup>Sr
- <sup>88</sup>Zr (p,p2n) <sup>88</sup>Zr
- T  $\frac{1}{2} = 60.0y$ T  $\frac{1}{2} = 6.1d$ T  $\frac{1}{2} = 288d$ T  $\frac{1}{2} = 8.5d$ 
  - T ½ = 25.5d T ½ = 83.4d

 $T\frac{1}{2} = 9.13h$ 



58Ni(p,p2n)56Ni

45Sc(p,2n)44Ti

- <sup>45</sup>Sc (p,2n) <sup>44</sup>Ti T <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> = 47.3y
- <sup>63</sup>Cu (p,2n) <sup>62</sup>Zn
- <sup>69</sup>Ga(p,2n) <sup>68</sup>Ge T <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> = 288d



### Target manufacturing

- Commercially available
- Production of <sup>124</sup>I, <sup>76</sup>Br, <sup>60</sup>Cu, <sup>110</sup>In, etc.
- Modification to the target part
- Simpler process
- Minimum exposure



 ...but limited in the radioisotope concentration







### A possible target geometry



# Power dissipation - preliminary calculations

Power: 60 W Contact resistance: 2000 W/(K\*m2)

Power dissipation bottle-neck: small surface between material and cone



# Electroplated targets for radiopharmaceuticals



Distance

# Power dissipation - preliminary calculations

- Various options considered:
  - Electroplating
  - Welding
  - Only one piece

Power: 750 W (upper limit) Low contact resistance



### Our goal, the best case

- Irradiation:
  - $T_{irr} = T_{fus} 100 K$
  - For 10 days

	Primary Nuclei		Secondary	
	current	produced	beam	
<sup>44</sup> Ti	15 µA	6 10 <sup>16</sup>	2.5 10 <sup>7</sup> pps	
<sup>56</sup> Ni	35 µA	3.5 10 <sup>16</sup>	2 10 <sup>7</sup> pps	
<sup>68</sup> Ge	12 µA	1.5 10 <sup>17</sup>	1.5 10 <sup>8</sup> pps	

### Some topics

- Near-barrier fusion
- Isospin symmetry ( $T_z = 1/2$ )
- Isospin mixing isospin-forbidden or mirror E1 decays
- p-n pairing (N=Z, A>80)
- single-particle character in <sup>56</sup>Ni region
- (d,p) (p,d) (d,<sup>3</sup>He) ... reactions for astrophysics





### Near barrier fusion

 Generally speaking, heavy-ion fusion near the Coulomb barrier is governed by channel coupling effects

 Medium-mass systems are best suited to studying such effects, since, on one side, the couplings are strong enough and, on the other side, fusionevaporation is the only significant reaction channel following capture inside the Coulomb barrier

 However, from the experimental point of view, measurements at 0<sup>0</sup> and near-by angles may not be simple

## Early studies of sub-barrier fusion in medium-mass systems taught us that cross sections strongly depend on the structure of colliding nuclei ...

M. Beckerman, Subbarrier fusion of atomic nuclei



W.Reisdorf et al., NPA 438 (1985) 212

## ... and that sub-barrier fusion cross sections may also depend on couplings to transfer channels (?)

Dynamic Influence of Valence Neutrons upon the Complete Fusion of Massive Nuclei

M. Beckerman, M. Salomaa, A. Sperduto,<sup>(a)</sup> H. Enge, J. Ball, A. DiRienzo, S. Gazes, Yan Chen,<sup>(b)</sup> J. D. Molitoris, and Mao Nai-feng<sup>(b)</sup>

Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139



M. Beckerman Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1472 (1980)

System	+1n	+2n	+3n	+4n	+5n	+6n
<sup>58</sup> Ni + <sup>64</sup> Ni	-0.65	3.89	1.11	3.89	-0.65	0

More recent measurements exploited the concept of a "fusion barrier distribution": its sensitivity to the static nuclear deformation was evidenced ...



J.Leigh et al., Phys. Rev. C 52, 3151 (1995)

... and the experimental study of <sup>58</sup>Ni+<sup>60</sup>Ni revealed for the first time the existence of a barrier distribution with several well-defined peaks that could only be explained by multiphonon couplings.



A.M.Stefanini et al., PRL 74, 864 (1995)

#### The fusion barrier distributions of <sup>40,48</sup>Ca + <sup>96</sup>Zr



### Ground state Q-values for neutron pick-up channels in <sup>40,48</sup>Ca + <sup>90,96</sup>Zr and <sup>56</sup>Ni+ <sup>90,96</sup>Zr

System	+1n	+2n	+3n	+4n	+5n	+6n
<sup>40</sup> Ca + <sup>90</sup> Zr	-3.61	-1.44	-5.86	-4.17	-9.65	-9.05
<sup>40</sup> Ca + <sup>94</sup> Zr	0.14	4.89	4.19	8.12	3.57	4.65
<sup>40</sup> Ca + <sup>96</sup> Zr	0.51	5.53	5.24	9.64	8.42	11.62
<sup>48</sup> Ca + <sup>90</sup> Zr	-6.82	-9.79	-17.73	-22.67	-31.93	-37.60
<sup>48</sup> Ca + <sup>96</sup> Zr	-2.71	-2.82	-6.63	-8.69	-13.87	-17.00
<sup>56</sup> Ni + <sup>96</sup> Zr	2.39	8.15	8.93	13.58	12.76	16.17
<sup>56</sup> Ni + <sup>90</sup> Zr	-1.72	1.18	-2.17	-0.39	-5.30	-4.43



Chemical separation provided by the production of radioisotopes



PET-isotope production at the IBA 30 MeV cyclotron:

> Target station at the end of one beam line equipped with 5 target ports

<sup>18</sup>F: H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O target
<sup>11</sup>C: N<sub>2</sub>-target
<sup>15</sup>O: N<sub>2</sub>-target
2 positions free

### State of the Knowledge of N ≈ Z Nuclei Above Mass 80



### MED and INC nuclear forces



of nuclear structure properties

Evolution of the deformation along a rotational band

- Isospin non-conserving terms in the nuclear interaction
- Learn about the configuration of the states

### Test E1 selection rules

- Consider B(E1)  ${}^{67}As_{34}$  and  ${}^{67}Se_{33}$  mirror nuclei (T<sub>z</sub> = ±<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>)
- Isospin formalism leads to:

$$B(E1)\left(T_{z} = \pm \frac{1}{2}\right) \approx \left\langle J_{i}; T_{i}T_{z} \right\| M(E1)_{IS} \pm M(E1)_{IV} \left\| J_{i}; T_{i}T_{z} \right\rangle^{2}$$

- Selection Rules for charge-symmetric nuclear interaction
  - In  $T_z = \frac{1}{2}$  nuclei, IS = 0 in long wavelength approximation (kR << 1)
  - E1 pure isovector character (but different sign in mirror nuclei)
  - E1 transitions in  $T_z = \frac{1}{2}$  nuclei should exhibit same strength
- If differences, may arise from interference between IV and nonzero IS term

### Measured B(E1)



 $^{32}S+^{40}Ca \rightarrow \alpha p$  channel



\_\_\_\_5/2-

5/2-

Energy (KeV)	B(E1) (10⁻ <sup>6</sup> wu)	B(E1) (10 <sup>-6</sup> wu)	Energy (KeV)
717	0.4(4)	1.4(4)	725
303	<1.4(9)	8.3(2.4)	319

Both transitions consistent with large isoscalar/isovector ratio:

IS/IV~ 0.35(20)

### Reactions for Tz=-1/2



### Reactions for $T_z = -1/2$



### Reactions for $T_z=-1/2$ and $T_z=0$

