Pair transfer processes in n-rich nuclei

L.Corradi

Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro – INFN, Italy

for the PRISMA collaboration



SPES2010 Int. Workshop, LNL, November 15-17, 2010

Letter of Intent for Spes

Pair transfer processes in n-rich nuclei

L.Corradi, E.Fioretto, P.Singh, A.M.Stefanini, J.J.Valiente-Dobon INFN – Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro (Italy)

G.Montagnoli, D.Montanari, F.Scarlassara, E.Farnea, D.Mengoni, C.Michelagnoli, C.Ur Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita' di Padova and INFN (Italy)

> S.Szilner, D.Jelavic-Malenica, T.Mijatovic, M.Milin, N.Soic Ruder Boskovic Institute, Zagreb (Croatia)

G.Pollarolo Dipartimento di Fisica teorica, Universita' di Torino and INFN Torino, (Italy)

> G.Benzoni, A.Bracco, F.Camera, S.Leoni, B.Million Universita' di Milano and INFN Milano (Italy)

S.Courtin, A.Goasduff, F.Haas IPHC, CNRS/IN2P3 and Universite' de Strasbourg, Strasbourg (France)

> D.Lebhertz GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3 (France)

M.-D.Salsac SEA, Centre d'Etudes Nucleaires de Saclay, IRFU/SPhN (France)

> D.Ackermann GSI Darmstadt (Germany)

I (LNL, PD, TO, MI), HR (RBI), F (STR., GANIL, SACLAY), D (GSI)



J.Phys. G36(2009)113101 (Topical Review)



Multinucleon transfer reactions with radioactive beams

One needs to learn whether and to what extent the degrees of freedom and the corresponding matrix elements tested with stable beams can hold with radioactive beams. In particular whether the form factors for one and two particle transfer and their strength need to be modified

neutron-proton correlations (np channel)



onset of supercurrents (neutron rich nuclei)



np correlations

np correlations

nuclear binding energies



R.B.Cakirli et al, PRL94,092501(2005)

deuteron transfer (light ions)



P.Van Isacker et al, PRL94,162502(2005)

about T=0 and T=1 transfer : not really much has been learned so far

T=1 transfer has been interpreted within pair models, but T=0 (np) transfer has not been clearly identified yet from light ion reactions [Bes et al., Phys.Rep. 34(1977)1]

calculations show an "enhancement" of 2.44 for deuteron transfer which involves both T=0 and T=1 channels

[P.Frobrich, Phys.Lett. B37(1971)4]

Quasi-elastic regime in multinucleon transfer reactions : A,Z yields



S.Szilner et al, Phys.Rev.C71(2005)044610

Quasi elastic processes : optimum Q-value

cut-off function

transfer probability

$$g(Q) = \exp\left(-\frac{(Q - Q_{\text{opt}})^2}{\hbar^2 \ddot{r}_0 \kappa_{a_1'}}\right)$$

$$P_{\beta\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{16\pi\hbar^2 |\ddot{r}_0|\kappa_{a_1'}}} |f_{\beta\alpha}(0, r_0)|^2 g(Q_{\beta\alpha})$$



open reaction channels are those compatible with the optimum Qvalue window (kinematical condition). This window has its origin in the matching of the orbits before and after the transfer process

G.Pollarolo et al, NPA406 (1983)369

Change of population pattern in going from neutron poor to neutron rich nuclei (theoretical)



C.H.Dasso, G.Pollarolo and A.Winther, Phys.Rev.Lett.73, 1907 (1994)

Multinucleon transfer reactions : from neutron poor to neutron rich nuclei







MASS

Some proposed beams for SPES : ^{92,94}Sr, ^{90,92}Kr, ^{88,90}Se

90Zr	91Zr	92Zr	93Zr	94Zr	952r	962r	97Zr	98Zr	99Zr	100Zr
89Y	90¥	91¥	92¥	9 ³ Y	94Y	95	ΔZ=2	(-2p f	rom Z	r)
88\$r	89Sr	90Sr	91Sr	92Sr	93Sr	94Sr	95Sr	96Sr	97Sr	98Sr
87Rb	88Rb	89Rb	90Rb	91 Rb	92Rb	9:F	ΔZ=2	(- 4p f	rom Z	(r)
86Kr	87Kr	88Kr	89Kr	90Kr	91Kr	92Kr	93Kr	94Kr	95Kr	96Kr
85Br	86Br	87Br	88Br	89 Br	90Br	9: I	ΔZ=2	(- 6p f	rom Z	(r)
84Se	85Se	86Se	87Se	88Se	89Se	90Se	91Se	92Se	93Se	94Se

Strong population close to the pairing vibrational region in ⁴⁰Ca+²⁰⁸Pb



Sub-Coulomb transfer

Transfer studies at energies below the Coulomb barrier

$$\sigma_{tr} \sim e^{-\frac{2}{\hbar}\int W(r(t))dt} \sum \left| \int F_{if}(r(t))e^{i\omega_{if}}dt \right|^2$$

few reaction channels are opened



W(r) is small

F(r)_{inel} has a decay length ~ 0.65 fm F(r)_{tr} has a decay length ~ 1.3 fm

Q-value distributions get much narrower



nuclear couplings are dominated by transfer processes

one can probe nucleon correlation close to the ground states

Absolute cross sections for one and two-nucleon transfer reactions



one+two step calculations undepredict the data by a factor ~ 2

one+two step calculations undepredict the data by 25-30%

B.F.Bayman and J.Chen, PRC26(1982)1509



Detection of (light) target like ions in inverse kinematics with PRISMA





MNT channels have been measured down to 25 % below the Coulomb barrier



Mass vs Q-value matrix for (-1p) channels channels (E_{lab}=315 MeV)



background free spectra with transfer products at very low excitation energy : no evaporation effects and cleanest conditions for comparison with theory

Transfer probabilities for multineutron transfer in ⁹⁶Zr+⁴⁰Ca



Probing pairing rotational effects in heavy ion transfer reactions

²⁰⁶Pb+¹¹⁶Sn E=5.14,5.32 MeV/A particle-γ data (crystal ball)



I.Peter et al., EPJ A16(2003)509

Excitation energies of 2⁺ states and other excitations in Sn isotopes



F.lachello, NPA 570(1994)145

Requests

Beams : ⁴⁴Ar, ^{92,94}Sr, ^{90,92}Kr, ^{88,90}Se, ¹²⁶⁻¹³²Sn, Mo, Pd **Energies** close to the Coulomb barrier (well matching ALPI range) Beam I on target : 10^{6 -} 10⁸ ions/sec Set-up : PRISMA (100 msr) + Gamma detectors ²⁰⁸Pb, ^{40,48}Ca, ^{58,64}Ni target thickness : 100-300 µg/cm² Goals : measurements of multinucleon transfer channels (+1n, +2n, -1n, -2n, +1p, +2p, -1p, -2p + mixing of them)To be measured : $d\sigma/d\Omega$, σ_{tot} , TKEL, gamma decay properties

selection rules for (one step) two nucleon transfer reactions

				J^{a}		
Reaction	Sa	Ta	$\Delta T^{\mathbf{b}}$	j^2	$j_1 j_2$	
(α,d)	1	0	0	odd	• • •	
(t,p) or (He^{3},n)	0	1	1 or 0 if $T_1 \neq 0$	even	$J + \Delta \pi$ even	
(t,n) or (He^{3},p)	1	0	0	odd		
	0	1	1 or 0 if $T_1 \neq 0$	even	$J + \Delta \pi$ even	

^a Belongs to transferred pair.
^b Isospin change of nucleus.

In (³He,p) reactions p-n pairs may be transferred either with S=0,T=1 or with S=1,T=0. In (α ,d) reactions only S=1,T=0 is possible.

Pairing vibration model



mass number

How the residual interaction acts in transfer processes



Two nucleon transfer : simultaneous vs successive contributions

 $a(l) = [a(l)]_{(1)} + [a(l)]_{succ} + [a(l)]_{orth}$

$$[a(l)]_{(1)} = -i \sum_{a_1 a_1'} B^{(A)}(a_1 a_1; 0) B^{(b)}(a_1' a_1'; 0) \left(\frac{2j_1' + 1}{2j_1 + 1}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\lambda \mu'} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda + \mu'}}{2\lambda + 1}$$

 $\times 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\hbar} \tilde{f}_{\lambda \mu'}^{a_1 a_1'}(k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} r) \tilde{g}_{\lambda - \mu'}^{a_1 a_1'}(k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} r) \exp\{(i/\hbar)[(E_{\beta} - E_{\alpha})t + \gamma_{\beta \alpha}(t)]\}$

$$\begin{split} & [a(l)]_{\text{succ}} = -\sum_{\substack{a_1 a_1' \\ \gamma I_{\text{f}} I_{\text{F}}}} B^{(\text{A})}(a_1 a_1; 0) B^{(\text{b})}(a_1' a_1'; 0) |C^{(\text{A})}(0a_1; I_{\text{F}})|^2 |C^{(\text{b})}(0a_1'; I_{\text{f}})|^2 \left(\frac{2j_1' + 1}{2j_1 + 1}\right)^{1/2} \\ & \times \sum_{\lambda \mu \mu' \mu''} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda + \mu}}{2\lambda + 1} D^{\lambda}_{\mu \mu'}(0\frac{1}{2}\pi \ 0) D^{\lambda}_{-\mu \mu''}(0\frac{1}{2}\pi \ 0) \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\hbar} \ \tilde{f}^{a_1 a_1'}_{\lambda \mu'}(k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} r) \exp\{(i/\hbar) \left[(E_{\beta} - E_{\gamma}) t + \gamma_{\beta \gamma}(t) + i\mu \phi(t) \right] \} \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{dt'}{\hbar} \ \tilde{f}^{a_1 a_1'}_{\lambda \mu''}(k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} r) \exp\{(i/\hbar) \left[(E_{\gamma} - E_{\alpha}) t' + \gamma_{\gamma \alpha}(t') - i\mu \phi(t') \right] \} \ . \end{split}$$