# Neutron-proton exchanges in dissipative collisions

(a letter of intent for SPES)

exotic beams for scie

G. Casini, G. Verde for the FAZIA collaboration subscribed by 53 members from INFN (Bo, Ct, Fi, LNL, LNS), Italy ; LPC and GANIL, France; Daresbury, United Kingdom; NIPNE, Romania; Institute of Physics, Slovakia; Nevsheir University, Turkey; IFJ PAN Krakow , Jagellonian Univ., Warsaw Univ., Silesian Univ., Poland; Athens University, Greece; Ohio University, USA

#### SPES International WorkShop LNL, 15-17 november 2010

# Isospin and reaction mechanisms at SPES

Radioactive beams can help in studying not only exotic nuclear structure but also charge-mass transport in nuclear reactions.

LIMITATIONS

 moderate SPES bombarding energies: no high excitations; no big variations of nuclear density (light compressionexpansion); low emission yields

#### **ADVANTAGES**

• neutron richness: exotic g.s. nuclear structure (n-skin, more extended surfaces) can affect more the dynamics

• fragments formed in collisions are not very hot: they keep better memory of their original 'isospin' content (with respect to decay)

link to the LOI on dynamical dipole resonance

#### Neutron-proton exchanges in Dissipative Collisions and energy damping

#### An Example case: <sup>37,35</sup> CI+<sup>209</sup>Bi at 7.3,15 AMeV





First attempts with the **nucleon exchange** model (NEM), were not fully satisfactory: -the model predicts a strong neutron flow from T to P (N/Z equil and mass symmetry) -data show a clear proton drift from P to T (N/Z equil and mass asymmetry)







Refined NEM model calculations give a general better agreement, but still: Discrepancy for mass-asymmetric systems: - variances of A,Z distributions -stronger proton transfer in the data

red dashed: NEM model (Randrup: one Lagrangian for the whole system) red contin.: NEM model (Tassan-Got: MC code with two separate nuclei)



Madani PRC 1995 <sup>56</sup>Fe+<sup>165</sup>Ho 12AMeV;

<sup>56</sup>Fe+<sup>238</sup>U 15AMeV



Again the NEM (Tassan-Got version) gives a good overall description. BUT -the N/Z equilibration goes on very slow -in some cases the model fails at all -variances of distributions badly reproduced

green line: EXP DATA red dashed: NEM model (Randrup) red contin.: NEM model (Tassan-Got)





Marchetti PRC 1993 <sup>37</sup>Cl+<sup>209</sup>Bi 7.3AMeV;

<sup>35</sup>Cl+<sup>209</sup>Bi 15AMeV



Recently the Nucleon Exchange Model has been used with an *extended barion density* to take into account n-skin effects

M.Veselsky and G.Souliotis, NP A 765 2006 M.Veselsky and G.Souliotis, submitted to EPJ 2010

Reanalysis of the QP-mass distributions for several reactions, with NEM



<sup>58</sup>Ni+<sup>208</sup>Pb 5.7AMeV
<sup>64</sup>Ni+<sup>208</sup>Pb 5.5, 25 AMeV
<sup>58</sup>Ni+<sup>238</sup>U 6.1AMeV
<sup>22</sup>Ne+<sup>232</sup>Th 7.9AMeV
<sup>22</sup>Ne+<sup>90</sup>Zr 7.9AMeV

-extension of the window region opened during interaction
 -neck region important to control the p,n fluxes
 -need of experimental data from 10 to 20 AMeV

The modified NEM with larger diffuseness better reproduces isotopic distributions of QP

points: EXP DATA (secondary quantities) red dashed: NEM (Tassan-Got, standard) + evaporation Black cont.: NEM (Veselsky, extended) + evaporation





N/Z=1.2, 1.58 Isospin ASYMMETRIC

# What's the message?

A microscopic correction to NEM describes the effect of isospin asymmetry at nuclear periphery

This can produce an inversion of the bulkisospin flow due to microscopic structureat nuclear peripheryVeselsky and Souliotis NPA 2010Betak and VeselskyECT\* Tn 2006

At SPES energies surface effects can be important in governing the dynamics in semiperipheral collisions

### Stochastic Mean Field models: EOS, isospin equilibration and reaction mechanisms

132 Sn+<sup>64</sup>Ni at 10 AMeV 132 Sn+<sup>58</sup>Ni at 10 AMeV 132 Sn+<sup>58</sup>Ni at 10 AMeV SEE <sup>talk of M.Colonna</sup>

E<sub>sym</sub> Stochastic Mean Field model: behavior is modeled as ASYstiff or ASYsoft.

**STIFF** produces a more dissipative neck corresponding to more elongated configurations with larger octupole deformations):

- -larger sequential fission yield?
- -larger neck emission

#### **SOFT** less repulsive potential for **neutrons over** p0: -larger fusion probability

-more compact shapes



Very large diffuseness in macroscopic fusion models needed to reproduce the SMF fusion cross-section



Neck ZONE: density behaviour vs. time

# Summarizing, to be done at SPES

- Mean-field dissipation: role of extended surfaces (n-rich skin) on proton-neutron exchanges
- Neck fragments: some neutron-rich emission favoured by extended surfaces?
- Surface instabilities vs. sequential fission, competition among reaction channels
  - **Evaporation from exotic nuclei (stringent test for well aknowledged statistical models) --> see LOI S.Pirrone et al.**
- Possible emission of fast (preequilibrium) neutrons even at moderate energies

Interesting beams from SPES (with *currents* >10<sup>7</sup> pps): alkalines: Rb, Cs; noble gases: Kr, Xe; halogens: Br metals: Y,Ag, Sn -even the maximum Alpi energies are mandatory -Important and continuous support from theorists !

# **Observables and Detectors**

- **Binary (and Ternary) event reconstruction**
- Isospin-related variables (A,Z distribution of as many ejectiles as possible)
- Interplay of one-body (Fusion) binary (DIC) and three body channels



PHASE II bis, 0.2 by 2013 (192 telescopes) Lab polar angle

Lab polar projection



#### FAZIA 2016: 1180 detectors (?)



### **Challenge:**

-recostruct at best the QP properties;
-measure the light species, identified in A,Z

preliminary evaluations....

QT detected to constrain the kinematics





FAZIA plans: talk by Remi Bougault (LEA)



#### FAZIA 2016; 1180 detectors (?)

#### Preliminary simulations of dissipative binary events QP correlations ( in geometry)



Z-identification thresholds partially affect the detection



no relevant threshold effects introduced for light particles and fragments



SPES-2016 ? FAZIA config. 1180 detectors



#### **3-body channel: the split of the QP**

-uniform angular distribution-wide mass asymmetry distr.-Viola systematics for FFrel.velocity

- probability increasing with decreasing imp.parameter

good Z-identification of F.F.



SPES-2016 ? FAZIA config. 1180 detectors

# **3-body channel: the split of the QP**

-"aligned" angular distribution

-only large mass asymmetry distr.

-Viola systematics for FF rel.velocity

 probability increasing with decreasing imp.parameter

good Z-identification of F.F.



### Estimate of rate and experiment duration (based on <sup>132</sup>Sn+<sup>58</sup>Ni 10.5AMeV)

#### SPES-2016 FAZIA config. 1180 detectors

Beam current: <sup>132</sup>Sn 3\*10<sup>7</sup> pps target thickness: 0.5 mg/cm2 **Reaction Xsection 3.2 barn; DIC Xsection 2.2 barn** DIC total rate: a bout 300 Hz **2-body channel:** MC estimated eff. for QP & M>=1 LCP: 20-30% **Request:** 10<sup>7</sup> dissipative events for the most central impact parameters (b/b<sub>ar</sub><0.5) **Rate for wanted events 15-23Hz --> about 5-8days** (this gives 63 million implanted elastic-Sn on the 3deg forward **Telescope**, 91Hz elastic rate) **3-body channel (the split of the QP)** MC estimated eff. for (FF1& FF2 & M>=1 LCP): 10%

**3body probab. assumed to be 20% of DIC** 

**Request:** the previous 10<sup>7</sup> binary events

**Rate for 3-body events 6Hz --> 4 million 3body events in 8 days** 

## **IT SEEMS FEASIBLE at**



**Ε**our-π

dentification

Arrav