

## Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

with light *n*-poor beams provided by SPES (*targets different from UC<sub>x</sub>*) A GARFIELD +RCo Letter of Intents

### G.Baiocco<sup>1,2</sup> for the NUCL-EX collaboration

 $^1$  Univ. and INFN, Bologna, Italy ;  $^2{\sf LPC}$  Caen IN2P3-CNRS/EnsiCaen et Univ., Caen, France

F. Gulminelli, A. Bonaccorso, M. Bruno, M. D'Agostino, L. Morelli, A. Bracco, L. Bardelli, S. Barlini, M. Bini, A. Brondi, F. Camera, F. Cannata, L. Campajola, S. Carboni, G. Casini, M. Cinausero,
M. Degerlier, A. Di Nitto, S. Dogan, N. Gelli, F. Gramegna, G. Guastalla,
V. L. Kravchuk, G. La Rana, T. Marchi, R. Moro, A. Olmi, A. Ordine,
G. Pasquali, G. Poggi, G. Prete, Ad. Raduta, G. Vannini, E. Vardaci, O. Wieland
SPES 2010 International Workshop - 16<sup>th</sup>, November 2010



Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

### Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

・ロト 《母 》 《 母 》 《 母 》 《 母 》

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

- $\checkmark$  Physical ingredients:
  - $\cdot$  available energy
    - $\rightarrow$  Coulomb barrier
  - initial state separation energy
    - $\rightarrow$  structure effects (cluster, halo (RAD.))
  - size of the system, *e.g.* charge state of TARGET
    - $\rightarrow$  nature of the interaction with the TG (Coul/nucl)

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

- ✓ Physical ingredients:
  - · available energy
    - $\rightarrow$  Coulomb barrier
  - initial state separation energy
    - $\rightarrow$  structure effects (cluster, halo (RAD.))
  - size of the system, *e.g.* charge state of TARGET
    - ightarrow nature of the interaction with the TG (Coul/nucl)
- dynamical effects to reproduce the behavior of σ<sub>reaction</sub>:

A. Di Pietro, Journ. of Phys.: Conference Series 205 (2010) 012042 F.A. Souza *et al.*, Brazilian Journ. of Phys., vol.35, no.3B, (Sept. 2005)

coupling to bound states

no or at most one bound excited state coupling to the CONTINUUM, inelastic channel (th. & exp.)  $\rightarrow$  enhancement of  $\sigma_{FUS}$  for  $E \leq E_{barrier}$ · coupling to Break-Up states gs close to particle emission threshold BU, BU + Incomplete Fusion, Complete Fusion (th. & exp.)  $\rightarrow$  reduction of  $\sigma_{CF}$  for  $E \geq E_{barrier}$ (heavy TG) Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

- ✓ Physical ingredients:
  - · available energy
    - $\rightarrow$  Coulomb barrier
  - initial state separation energy
    - $\rightarrow$  structure effects (cluster, halo (RAD.))
  - size of the system, *e.g.* charge state of TARGET
    - ightarrow nature of the interaction with the TG (Coul/nucl)
- dynamical effects to reproduce the behavior of σ<sub>reaction</sub>:

A. Di Pietro, Journ. of Phys.: Conference Series 205 (2010) 012042 F.A. Souza *et al.*, Brazilian Journ. of Phys., vol.35, no.3B, (Sept. 2005)

coupling to bound states

no or at most one bound excited state coupling to the CONTINUUM, inelastic channel (th. & exp.)  $\rightarrow$  enhancement of  $\sigma_{FUS}$  for  $E \leq E_{barrier}$ • coupling to Break-Up states gs close to particle emission threshold BU, BU + Incomplete Fusion, Complete Fusion (th. & exp.)  $\rightarrow$  reduction of  $\sigma_{CF}$  for  $E \geq E_{barrier}$ (heavy TG)  $\blacktriangleright$  (medium) and light  $\exists G ? \land \circlearrowright$  Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

### Break-Up reactions with radioactive nuclei

### short lifetime $\iff$ small binding energy

A.Bonaccorso, "Reazioni nucleari con fasci radioattivi", 2010 Congress of the Italian Physics Society.

(peripheral)

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

## Break-Up reactions with radioactive nuclei



Projectile

Break-Up and

## Break-Up reactions with radioactive nuclei



Projectile

Break-Up and

focus on light systems (A  $\sim$  20), exclusive measurements ~ (central)  $\rightarrow$  access to the nuclear level density

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

・ロト < 母 > < 目 > < 目 > < 日 > < の < の</p>

focus on light systems ( $A \sim 20$ ), exclusive measurements (central)  $\rightarrow$  access to the nuclear level density

• entrance channel dependence

for FE react. with weakly bound nuclei

S.Adhikari et al., Phys.Rev. C74 (2006) 024602

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

focus on light systems ( $A \sim 20$ ), exclusive measurements (central)  $\rightarrow$  access to the nuclear level density

• entrance channel dependence

for FE react. with weakly bound nuclei

- S.Adhikari et al., Phys.Rev. C74 (2006) 024602
- cluster structure of some excited states in this mass region
  - $\rightarrow~$  exotic non-statistical decay
  - $\rightarrow \alpha$ -clustered excited states close to multi- $\alpha$  decay thresholds in all even-even N = Z nuclei
  - → modification of clustering along the isotopic chain (N/Z) for **N**· $\alpha$  nuclei (Ne, Mg)

T.Neff, H.Feldmeier, Nucl.Phys. A738 (2004) 357 M. Freer, Rep. on Prog. in Phys. 70 (2007) 2149 Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

focus on light systems ( $A \sim 20$ ), exclusive measurements (central)  $\rightarrow$  access to the nuclear level density

• entrance channel dependence

for FE react. with weakly bound nuclei

S.Adhikari et al., Phys.Rev. C74 (2006) 024602

- cluster structure of some excited states in this mass region
  - $\rightarrow~$  exotic non-statistical decay
  - $\rightarrow \alpha$ -clustered excited states close to multi- $\alpha$  decay thresholds in all even-even N = Z nuclei
  - → modification of clustering along the isotopic chain (N/Z) for **N**· $\alpha$  nuclei (Ne, Mg)

T.Neff, H.Feldmeier, Nucl.Phys. A738 (2004) 357 M. Freer, Rep. on Prog. in Phys. 70 (2007) 2149

◆□▶ ◆母▶ ◆ヨ▶ ◆ヨ▶ = ● ● ●

- hot light nuclei, in this A region and excitation energy  $\epsilon^* \sim 3 \ A.MeV$  are produced in multifragmentation in a wide range of N/Z (excited unstable nuclei) understanding of their statistical behaviour
  - → properties of heavy excited sources at break-up time M.D'Agostino et al., CSYM experiment, LNL, February 2010

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

## $^{7}Be$ - Features

SPES beam  $\cdot B_4 C$  target oxides

- gs unstable against  $\beta^+$  decay  $(T_{1/2} = 53.22 \ d);$
- 1 bound state at  $E^* = 429.08 \ keV;$
- low  $\alpha$  separation threshold:  $S_{\alpha} = 1.587 < S_{p}, S_{n};$
- $\rightarrow$  cluster structure and interaction







Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G Bajocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Physics Framework & Cases

### SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHE

Conclusion &

SQR

### Reactions · Fusion-evaporation

Reaction	$E_{Beam}$	$\theta_{gr}$	A <sub>CN</sub>	Z <sub>CN</sub>	VCN	$E_{CN}^{*}$	$\sigma_{CN}$
	MeV	deg			cm/ns	A.MeV	mb
$^{7}Be+ {}^{12}C$	67.9	4.6	19	10	1.6	3	348
$^{7}Be+ {}^{13}C$	51.8	6.0	20	10	1.33	3	356

and  $^{7}Be(@60 MeV) + ^{179}Au$ reference reaction to isolate the contribution of Coulomb BU Charge Distribution of Decay Products Multiplicity Distribution of Alpha particles per event 20Ne CN source 20Ne CN source Y(Z)N - 19Ne CN source - 19Ne CN source -25% 0.25 ~10% <sup>19</sup>Ne: <sup>3</sup>He,  $\alpha$  clustering  $^{20-22}$ Ne:  $\alpha$  clustering 19Ne 19Ne 20Ne 21Ne 22Ne 10 10 10 10 10 10 x [fm] x [fm] x [fm] x [fm] x [fm]

Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

### Reactions · Projectile Fragmentation



binary decay of <sup>7</sup>Be<sup>\*</sup> QP source, peripheral collisions:  $\epsilon^* = gauss(\epsilon^*_{med} = 1, \sigma_{\epsilon^*} = 0.5)$  A.MeV,  $v_{QP} = v_{proj} - 1 \text{ cm/ns}$ 



Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

#### Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

non=physical condition= $\sigma_{CN} \equiv \sigma_{BU} \circ \circ$ 

## Experimental Set-Up

### $\mathsf{GARFIELD} \ (\mu \mathsf{strip} \ \mathsf{G.C.-} \mathit{Csl}(\mathit{Tl})) \ + \\$

### Ring-Counter (RCo) (I.C.-Si(nTd) reverse mounted-CsI(TI)

nearly-4 $\pi$  coverage, now fully equipped with digital electronics

- · high granularity and  $\theta$ -resolution:  $\Delta \theta \approx 1^{\circ}$  for  $5^{\circ} \leq \theta_{RCo} \leq 18^{\circ}$ ;
- ·  $\Delta E/E$  of Si-strips and new CsI(TI) detectors given by 0.3% and 2-3%;
- · low *E* detection thresholds, (RCo) *A* id. up to Z = 14 with digital psa!

Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

## Experimental Set-Up

### $\mathsf{GARFIELD} \ (\mu \mathsf{strip} \ \mathsf{G.C.-} \mathit{Csl}(\mathit{Tl})) \ + \\$

### Ring-Counter (RCo) (I.C.-Si(nTd) reverse mounted-CsI(TI)

nearly-4 $\pi$  coverage, now fully equipped with digital electronics

- · high granularity and  $\theta$ -resolution:  $\Delta \theta \approx 1^{\circ}$  for  $5^{\circ} \leq \theta_{RCo} \leq 18^{\circ}$ ;
- ·  $\Delta E/E$  of Si-strips and new CsI(TI) detectors given by 0.3% and 2-3%;
- · low *E* detection thresholds, (RCo) *A* id. up to Z = 14 with digital psa!
- $\rightarrow$  up to 20% efficiency for the detection of  $Z_{CN}$ !!

Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

## Experimental Set-Up

### $\mathsf{GARFIELD} \ (\mu \mathsf{strip} \ \mathsf{G.C.-} \mathit{Csl}(\mathit{Tl})) \ + \\$

### Ring-Counter (RCo) (I.C.-Si(nTd) reverse mounted-CsI(TI)

nearly-4 $\pi$  coverage, now fully equipped with digital electronics

- · high granularity and  $\theta$ -resolution:  $\Delta \theta \approx 1^{\circ}$  for  $5^{\circ} \leq \theta_{RCo} \leq 18^{\circ}$ ;
- ·  $\Delta E/E$  of Si-strips and new CsI(TI) detectors given by 0.3% and 2-3%;
- · low *E* detection thresholds, (RCo) *A* id. up to Z = 14 with digital psa!
- $\rightarrow$  up to 20% efficiency for the detection of  $Z_{CN}$ !!
- $\rightarrow$  up to 22% efficiency for the detection of resonant ( $\alpha$ ,<sup>3</sup> He)!!



Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

SQC.

## Letter of Intents - Summary

We propose to measure:

Reaction	E <sub>Beam</sub>	$\theta_{gr}$	A <sub>CN</sub>	Z <sub>CN</sub>	VCN	$E_{CN}^{*}$	$\sigma_{CN}$
	MeV	deg			cm/ns	A.MeV	mb
$^{7}Be+ {}^{12}C$	67.9	4.6	19	10	1.6	3	348
<sup>7</sup> Be+ <sup>13</sup> C	51.8	6.0	20	10	1.33	3	356
<sup>17</sup> F+ <sup>7</sup> Li	100.0	3.4	24	12	2.4	2.5	417
$^{12}C + ^{12}C$	95	4	24	12	2.0	2.6	430

+ reference reactions on  $^{179}Au$ 

 $E_{beam} = (6 - 10 \text{ A.MeV})$  $i_{beam} > 10^7 \text{ pps}$ 

to study:

 the competition of different reaction mechanisms, projectile Break-Up and Fusion-Evaporation

← radioactive (weakly bound) projectiles

 $\cdot\,$  the statistical behaviour of hot light nuclei

feasible with our present set-up  $\mathsf{GARFIELD}{+}\mathsf{RCo}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  possible upgrades of the set-up!

 $\rightarrow$  preparatory experiments on the decay of light sistems could be of great help!!

...thank you for your attention!

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

### Back-slides

▲ロト ▲□ ト ▲目 ト ▲目 - シタル

## <sup>17</sup>*F* lightest particle-stable fluorine isotope;

S<sub>p</sub> = 0.6 MeV;
only one bound excited state (1/2<sup>+</sup>) at E\* = 0.5 MeV I = 0, → 5/2<sup>+</sup> gs via γ, large B(E2) = 66.4 e<sup>2</sup> fm;
good proton halo candidate: study of <sup>16</sup>O(p, γ): spatial extension of 5.33 fm for 1/2<sup>+</sup> compared to 3.7 fm for 5/2<sup>+</sup> gs!
astrophysical interest: σ<sub>coul</sub> <sub>BU</sub> ∝ σ<sub>(p,γ)</sub> radiative capture <sup>14</sup>O(α, p)<sup>17</sup>F(p, γ)<sup>18</sup>Ne(α, p)<sup>21</sup>Na explosive nucleosyinthesis in x-ray bursts and novae
increase of σ<sub>cou</sub> because of the lowering of the Coulomb barrier (la

- $\rightarrow$  increase of  $\sigma_{FUS}$  because of the lowering of the Coulomb barrier (larger radius of the excited state);
- $\rightarrow$  BU effects.

Reaction	E <sub>Beam</sub> MeV	θ <sub>gr</sub> deg	A <sub>CN</sub>	Z <sub>CN</sub>	v <sub>CN</sub> cm/ns	E <sub>CN</sub> A.MeV	σ <sub>CN</sub> mb
$^{17}F+^{7}Li$	100.0	3.4	24	12	2.4	2.5	417
$^{12}C + ^{12}C$	95	4	24	12	2.0	2.6	430



Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

## <sup>7</sup>Be\* QP Decay



#### Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

900



~ 60% efficiency for the detection of  $(p, {}^{6}Li)$  resonant couples!!

### Entrance channel dependence in FE reactions

S.Adhikari et al., Phys.Rev. C74 (2006) 024602



Inclusive  $\alpha\text{-spectrum}$  measured at 175° from the reaction  $^7Li(@14,16\ MeV)+^6Li\rightarrow^{13}C$ 

symmetric

· deformation effects (RLDM) included in the calculations NOT necessary in the case of  $n + {}^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{13}C$  asymmetric

- · shell effects in the level density parameter;
- $\rightarrow \sigma_{FUS}$  NOT suppressed in the above-barrier energy rangel

#### Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

## $\mathsf{FE}/\mathsf{BU}$ with weakly bound

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 74, 024602 (2006)

### Reaction mechanisms with loosely bound nuclei <sup>7</sup>Li+<sup>6</sup>Li at forward angles in the incident energy range 14–20 MeV

S. Adhikari,<sup>1\*</sup> C. Samanta,<sup>12</sup> C. Basu,<sup>1</sup> B. J. Roy,<sup>3</sup> S. Ray,<sup>4</sup> A. Srivastava,<sup>3</sup> K. Ramachandran,<sup>3</sup> V. Tripathi,<sup>3</sup> K. Mahata,<sup>3</sup> V. Jha,<sup>3</sup> P. Shukla,<sup>3</sup> S. Rathi,<sup>1</sup> M. Biswas,<sup>1</sup> P. Roy Chowdhury,<sup>1</sup> A. Chatterjee,<sup>2</sup> and S. Kailas<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Nuclear & Atomic Physics Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, I/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata-700064, India <sup>2</sup>Physics department, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23284-2000, USA <sup>3</sup>Nuclear Physics Division, BARC, Mumbai-400085, India <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Kalyani, Kestyani, West Bengal-741235, India (Received 8 March 2006; Dublished 14 August 2006)

Emission of charged particles from the <sup>7</sup>Li+<sup>6</sup>Li reaction at  $E(^{7}Li) = 14-20$  MeV is studied at forward angles. Analysis of the inclusive spectra are performed in terms of the statistical model for compound nuclear process and Serber model for inclusive breakup reactions. Compound nuclear calculations better reproduce the experimental data in comparison to the projectile breakup calculations even at extreme forward angles and above barrier energies.

"From the model analysis at least it may be concluded that the higher energy emissions are mainly from an equilibrated compound nucleus rather than from a fragmented projectile even at such forward angles. A detailed **exclusive** measurement is therefore required for a more conclusive picture." Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

## HF formalism and Level Density

$$\Gamma_{i} = \frac{1}{\rho_{parent}} \cdot \int_{K_{min}}^{K_{max}} dK$$

$$\sum_{J_d=J_{low}}^{J_{plus}} \sum_{j=|J-J_d|}^{J+J_d} \sum_{I=|j-s_p|}^{I+s_p} \cdot TC_{(A,Z,A_j,Z_j,K,I)}\rho(E^*-Q-K,Jd,A_{res},Z_{res})$$

 $+\sum_{[lev]=gs}^{Jev_{max}}\sum_{j=|J-J_d[lev]}^{J+J_d[lev]}\sum_{l=|j-s_p|}^{l+s_p} (2J_{d[lev]}+1)TC_{(A,Z,A_i,Z_j,K,l)}BW(K,E^*-Q-en_{[lev]}],\Gamma_{[lev]})$ 

Physical ingredients:

- Binding Energies (experimental)
- parametrization of Coulomb Barriers and Transmission Coefficients
- angular momentum (total conservation and changes due to evaporation)
- level density parametrization for the continuum and equivalent convolution of Breit Wigner distributions for the levels in the discrete

 $\rightarrow$  need of a Match!

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

### HF formalism and Level Density

$$\Gamma_{i} = \frac{1}{\rho_{parent}} \cdot \int_{K_{min}}^{K_{max}} dK$$

п

$$\sum_{J_d=J_{low}}^{J_{plus}} \sum_{j=|J-J_d|}^{J+J_d} \sum_{l=|j-s_p|}^{l+s_p} \cdot TC_{(A,Z,A_j,Z_j,K,l)}\rho(E^*-Q-K,Jd,A_{res},Z_{res})$$

$$+\sum_{[lev]=gs}^{lev_{max}} \sum_{j=J-J_{d[lev]}}^{J=J_{d[lev]}} \sum_{l=|j-s_{p}|}^{l+s_{p}} (2J_{d[lev]}+1)TC_{(A,Z,A_{j},Z_{j},K,l)}BW(K,E^{*}-Q-en_{[lev]}],\Gamma_{[lev]})$$



1.1.1

Populations of all the discrete levels are predicted by the code! ...and at the last but one step:

→ can be EXPERIMENTALLY reconstructed via correlation function

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

## <sup>20</sup>Ne PACE4

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Fusion xsection taken from Bass model Bass fusion xsection for E = 52.0 MeV is 599.3 mb Fusion radius = 3.65 fm. Barrier height is 4.25 MeV Transmission probability for a one-dimens.barrier: Quantum-Mechanical h\_omega (curvature parameter) = 5.0 MeV

#### Starting conditions

-	Z	Ν	А	Spin		
Projectile	4	3	7	0.0		
Target	6	7	13	0.0		
Compound nucleus	10	10	20			
Bombarding energy (MeV)			52.00			
Center of mass energy (MeV)			33.80			
Compound nucleus excitation energy (MeV)			59.737			
Q-value of reaction (MeV)			25.937			
Compound nucleus recoil energy	(MeV)		18.200			
Compound nucleus recoil velocity	y (cm/ns)	1	.326e+00			
Compound nucleus velocity/c		4	.420e-02			
Beam velocity (cm/ns)		3	.789e+00			
Beam velocity/c		1	.263e-01			
Experimental fusion cross section	on (mb)		599			
Yrast spin at maximum excitation	n energy		16			
Compound nucleus formation cross	s section	(mb)	356			

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

## <sup>19</sup>Ne PACE4

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Fusion xsection taken from Bass model Bass fusion xsection for E = 68.0 MeV is 525.6 mb Fusion radius = 3.55 fm. Barrier height is 4.32 MeV Transmission probability for a one-dimens.barrier: Quantum-Mechanical h\_omega (curvature parameter) = 5.0 MeV

#### Starting conditions

	Z	N	A	Spin
Projectile	4	3	7	0.0
Target	6	6	12	0.0
Compound nucleus	10	9	19	
Sombarding energy (MeV)			68.00	
Center of mass energy (MeV)			42.95	
Compound nucleus excitation ener	gy (MeV)	6.	56.966	
-value of reaction (MeV)			14.019	
Compound nucleus recoil energy (	(MeV)		25.053	
Compound nucleus recoil velocity	(cm/ns)	1	.596e+00	
Compound nucleus velocity/c		5	.321e-02	
Beam velocity (cm/ns)		4	.333e+00	
Beam velocity/c		1	.444e-01	
Experimental fusion cross section	on (mb)		526	
rast spin at maximum excitation	n energy		15	
Compound nucleus formation cross	section	(mb)	34	8

#### Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

#### G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

#### Conclusion & Perspectives

## Fermionic Molecular Dynamics · 1

from the presentation of T.Neff.

FMD

Fermionic

Molecular single-particle states

Slater determinant

Workshop "Limits of Existence of Light Nuclei, ECT\* Trento, October 2010

# **Fermionic Molecular Dynamics** Antisymmetrization $|Q\rangle = \mathcal{A}(|q_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |q_A\rangle)$ antisymmetrized A-body state

$$\langle \mathbf{x} | q \rangle = \sum_{i} c_{i} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_{i})^{2}}{2a_{i}}\right\} \otimes \left|\chi^{\dagger}_{i} \chi^{\downarrow}_{i}\right\rangle \otimes \left|\xi\right\rangle$$

- Gaussian wave-packets in phase-space (complex parameter b; encodes mean position and mean momentum), spin is free, isospin is fixed
- width *a<sub>i</sub>* is an independent variational parameter for each wave packet
- use one or two wave packets for each single particle state

Feldmeier, Schnack, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72 (2000) 655 Neff, Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. A738 (2004) 357

Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decav

G Bajocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHE

## Fermionic Molecular Dynamics · 2

### FMD

**Mean-Field Calculations** 

### Minimization

- minimize Hamiltonian expectation value with respect to all single-particle parameters  $q_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ 

$$\min_{\{q_k\}} \frac{\langle Q | \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{T}_{cm} | Q \rangle}{\langle Q | Q \rangle}$$

- this is a Hartree-Fock calculation in our particular single-particle basis
- the mean-field may break the symmetries of the Hamiltonian



spherical nuclei

intrinsically deformed nuclei

Thomas Neff - ECT. 10/25.

#### Projectile Break-Up <sub>and</sub> Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

### < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Thermodynamics of light systems



Projectile Break-Up and Compound Nucleus Decay

G.Baiocco for the NUCL-EX collaboration

Outline

Physics Framework & Cases

SPES Beams

Reactions - MCHF Simulations

Conclusion & Perspectives

Figure: Limiting Temperatures vs Mass. Limiting temperatures derived from double isotope yield ratio measurements are represented by solid diamonds. Temperatures derived from thermal bremsstrahlung measurements are represented by open squares. Lines represent limiting temperatures are calculated using interactions proposed by Gogny (dashed) and Furnstahl.

J. B. Natowitz et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 89 (2002) 212701