The NA62 experiment at CERN: present and future

Cristina Lazzeroni

(Royal Society University Fellow, University of Birmingham)



for the NA62 collaboration

(Bern ITP, Birmingham, CERN, Dubna, Fairfax, Ferrara, Florence, Frascati, IHEP Protvino, INR Moscow, Louvain, Mainz, Merced, Naples, Perugia, Pisa, Rome I, Rome II, Saclay, San Luis Potosí, SLAC, Sofia, TRIUMF, Turin)

> Outline: Lepton Flavour Universality, Ultra-rare decays



FPCP2010 Turin, Italy • 19 May 2010



$R_{K} = K_{e2}/K_{\mu 2}$ in the SM

Observable sensitive to lepton flavour violation and its SM expectation:



- <u>SM prediction</u>: excellent <u>sub-permille</u> accuracy due to cancellation of hadronic uncertainties.
- Measurements of R_K and R_π have long been considered as tests of lepton universality.
- Recently understood: helicity suppression of R_{K} might enhance sensitivity to non-SM effects to an experimentally accessible level.

$$K^{+} \qquad W^{+} \qquad V_{e}, V_{\mu}$$

$$R_{K}^{SM} = (2.477 \pm 0.001) \times 10^{-5}$$
$$R_{\pi}^{SM} = (12.352 \pm 0.001) \times 10^{-5}$$
Phys. Lett. 99 (2007) 231801

2

$R_{K} = K_{e2}/K_{\mu 2}$ beyond the SM

<u>2HDM – tree level</u> (including SUSY) K_{12} can proceed via exchange of charged Higgs H[±] instead of W[±] \rightarrow Does not affect the ratio R_K

<u> 2HDM – one-loop level</u>

Dominant contribution to ΔR_{K} : H[±] mediated LFV (rather than LFC) with emission of v_{τ} $\rightarrow R_{K}$ enhancement can be experimentally accessible

$$\mathbf{R}_{K}^{\text{LFV}}\approx\mathbf{R}_{K}^{\text{SM}}\left[1+\left(\frac{\mathbf{m}_{K}^{4}}{\mathbf{M}_{H^{\pm}}^{4}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\tau}^{2}}{\mathbf{M}_{e}^{2}}\right)|\boldsymbol{\Delta_{13}}|^{2}\text{tan}^{6}\,\beta\right]$$

Up to ~1% effect in large (but not extreme) $tan\beta$ regime with a massive H[±]

Example: $(\Delta_{13}=5\times10^{-4}, \tan\beta=40, M_{H}=500 \text{ GeV/c}^{2})$ lead to $R_{K}^{MSSM} = R_{K}^{SM}(1+0.013)$.



Analogous SUSY effect in pion decay is suppressed by a factor $(M_{\pi}/M_{K})^{4} \approx 6 \times 10^{-3}$ (see also PRD76 (007) 095017)

Large effects in B decays due to $(M_B/M_K)^4 \sim 10^4$: $B_{\mu\nu}/B_{\tau\nu} \rightarrow \sim 50\%$ enhancement; $B_{e\nu}/B_{\tau\nu} \rightarrow$ enhanced by ~one order of magnitude. Out of reach: $Br^{SM}(B_{e\nu}) \approx 10^{-11}$

R_K: experimental status

Kaon experiments:

- → PDG'08 average (1970s measurements): $R_{K}=(2.45\pm0.11)\times10^{-5}$ ($\delta R_{K}/R_{K}=4.5\%$)
- → Recent improvement: KLOE (Frascati). Data collected in 2001–2005, 13.8K K_{e2} candidates, 16% background. R_{K} =(2.493±0.031)×10⁻⁵ ($\delta R_{K}/R_{K}$ =1.3%) (EPJ C64 (2009) 627)
- → NA62 (phase I) goal: dedicated data taking strategy, ~150K K_{e2} candidates, <10% background, $\delta R_K/R_K < 0.5\%$: a stringent SM test.



R_κ world average (March 2009)



Data taking:

- Four months in 2007 (23/06–22/10): ~400K SPS spills, 300TB of raw data
- Two weeks in 2008 (11/09–24/09): special data sets allowing reduction of the systematic uncertainties.
 4

Measurement strategy

- (1) $K_{e2}/K_{\mu 2}$ candidates are collected <u>simultaneously</u>:
 - the result does not rely on kaon flux measurement;
 - several systematic effects cancel at first order (e.g. reconstruction/trigger efficiencies, time-dependent effects).

(2) counting experiment, independently in <u>10 lepton momentum bins</u> (owing to strong momentum dependence of backgrounds and event topology)

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{K}} = \frac{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{K}_{e2}) - \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{e2})}{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) - \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2})} \cdot \frac{\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) \times \mathsf{f}_{\mu} \times \varepsilon(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2})}{\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{K}_{e2}) \times \mathsf{f}_{e} \times \varepsilon(\mathsf{K}_{e2})} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathsf{f}_{\mathsf{LKr}}}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{K}_{e2}), \, \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) & \text{numbers of selected } \mathsf{K}_{l2} \text{ candidates;} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{e2}), \, \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) & \text{numbers of background events;} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{e2}), \, \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) & \text{numbers of background events;} \\ \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{e2}), \, \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) & \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{B}}(\mathsf{K}_{\mu2}) & \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{$

(3) MC simulations used to a limited extent only:

- Geometrical part of the acceptance correction (not for particle ID);
- simulation of "catastrophic" bremsstrahlung by muons.

K_{e2} vs $K_{\mu 2}$ selection



$K_{\mu 2}$ background in K_{e2} sample

Main background source

Muon "catastrophic" energy loss in LKr by emission of energetic bremsstrahlung photons. $P(\mu \rightarrow e) \sim 3 \times 10^{-6}$ (and momentum-dependent).

 $P(\mu \rightarrow e)/R_{K} \sim 10\%$: K_{u2} decays represent a major background

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \hline \mbox{Theoretical bremsstrahlung cross-section} \\ \mbox{[Phys. Atom. Nucl. 60 (1997) 576]} \\ \mbox{must be validated in the region } (E_{\gamma}/E_{\mu}) > 0.9 \\ \mbox{by a direct measurement} of P(\mu \rightarrow e) \\ \mbox{to \sim10^{-2}$ relative precision.} \end{array}$

Obtaining pure muon samples

Electron contamination due to $\mu \rightarrow e$ decay: ~10⁻⁴. Pb wall (~10X₀) placed between the HOD planes: tracks traversing the wall and having E/p>0.95 are sufficiently pure muon samples (electron contamination <10⁻⁷).



$K_{\mu 2}$ background (2)

 $P(\mu \rightarrow e)$: measurement (2007 special muon run) vs Geant4-based simulation



K^+ → e^+ νγ (SD) background

• Background by definition of R_{K} , no helicity suppression.

• Rate similar to that of K_{e2} , limited precision: BR=(1.52±0.23)×10⁻⁵.





~90% electron ID efficiency, 16% background

NA62 estimated total K_{e2} sample: ~120K K⁺ & ~15K K⁻ candidates



KLOE K_{e2} analysis: decays at rest

DA Φ NE: an e⁺e⁻ collider at LNF Frascati

- CM energy ~ m_φ = 1019.4 MeV;
 BR(φ→K⁺K⁻) = 49.2%;
- ϕ production cross-section $\sigma_{\phi} = 1.3 \mu b$;
- Data sample (2001–05): 2.5 fb⁻¹.



 $K_{e2}/K_{\mu 2}$ selection technique (vs NA62):

- Kinematics: by M^2_{lep} (equivalent to M_{miss}^2);
- PID: neural network with 12 input parameters (vs E/p for NA62).





R_K: sensitivity to new physics



 R_{K} measurements are currently in agreement with the SM expectation at ~1.5 σ . Any significant enhancement with respect to the SM would be evidence of new physics. For non-tiny values of the LFV slepton mixing Δ_{13} , sensitivity to H[±] in $R_K = K_{e2}/K_{\mu 2}$ is better than in $B \rightarrow \tau v$

NA62 phase II: $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$

 $K \rightarrow \pi v v$: theoretically clean, sensitive to NP, almost unexplored

Branching ratio ×10¹⁰

	Theory (SM)	Experiment
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu (\gamma)$	0.82±0.08	$1.73^{+1.15}_{-1.05}$
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \nu$	0.28±0.04	<670 (90% CL)

 $\mathsf{BR}(\mathsf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) \sim |\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{ts}}^* \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{td}}|^2$

• Ultra-rare FCNC processes, proceed via Z-penguin and W-box diagrams.

• Hadronic matrix element extracted from precise $K \rightarrow \pi ev$ measurements.

• Exceptional SM precision not matched by any other loop-induced meson decay.

• Uncertainties mainly come from charm contributions.



E787/E949: $BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) = 1.73^{+1.15}_{-1.05} \times 10^{-10}$



Sensitivity of new physics



- Large variations in predictions for new physics.
- A 10% precision measurement will provide a stringent SM test.

The NA62 collaboration aims to measure O(100) $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ vv$ candidates with ~10% background in 2-3 years of data taking

NA62 guidance principles

O(100) K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+ v \bar{v}$ events, ~10% background @BR(SM) = 8×10⁻¹¹

N(K decays) $\sim 10^{13}$ Acceptance = 10%





Single track signature: $m_{miss}^2 = (P_K - P_\pi)^2$

Particle ID and veto in addition to kinematical rejection

- Kaon decay in flight technique;
- 400 GeV proton beam from SPS;
- Unseparated high energy K⁺ beam (P_K=75 GeV/c);
- Kaon momentum: beam tracker;
- Pion momentum: spectrometer;
- Charged track veto: spectrometer;
- Photon veto: calorimeters;
- Beam kaon identification: CEDAR;
- **\pi/\mu/e** separation: RICH;

NA62 (phase II) layout



- Record K⁺ decay SES of ~10⁻¹²;
- Hermetic veto & redundant measurements;
- R&D finishing, subdetectors construction has started.
- Approved by the CERN research board in December 2008.

Kinematics and backgrounds



NOT kinematically constrained



Other NA62 (phase II) goals

The First NA62 Physics Handbook 2009



Other physics goals

- Lepton Flavour Violation: measurement of $R_{\rm K}$ to ${\sim}0.1\%$ precision.
- LFV in forbidden decays: searches for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^- |+|^+$, $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ |_1 |_2$.
- Heavy neutrinos (~100MeV), light sgoldstinos (K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+S$, K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0P$).
- Hadronic K decays and final-state $\pi\pi$ interactions in $K_{3\pi}$ and K_{e4} decays.
- ChPT tests with rare kaon/pion decays.

1st Physics Handbook workshop: CERN, 10-11 December 2009 Handbook in preparation

http://indico.cern.ch/ conferenceDisplay.py?confId=65927

Summary

• Due to the suppression of the K_{e2} decay in the SM, the measurement of R_{K} is well-suited for a stringent SM test.

- $P^+ \rightarrow l^+\nu$: active developments of experiment and theory. After recent precise R_K measurements, the R_K world average has a 0.6% precision
- •NA62 is a key player: the 2007/08 data taking was optimised for R_K measurement, and increased the world K_{e2} sample by an order of magnitude. Excellent $K_{e2}/K_{\mu 2}$ separation (>99% electron ID efficiency and ~10⁶ μ suppression) leads to a low ~8% background. Preliminary result based on ~40% of the NA62 K_{e2} sample: $R_K = (2.500 \pm 0.016) \times 10^{-5}$, reaching a record 0.7% accuracy. With the full NA62 data sample of 2007/08, the precision is expected to be improved to better than $\delta R_K/R_K = 0.5\%$.
- NA62 phase II: stringent SM test by measurement of the ultra rare decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\nu\nu$ with 10% precision, R_{K} measurement with ~0.1% precision, and much more.

Spares

Leptonic meson decays: $P^+ \rightarrow I^+ v$



Obstructed by hadronic uncertainties 23

CERN NA48/NA62



NA62 data taking 2007/08

Data taking:

- Four months in 2007 (23/06–22/10): ~400K SPS spills, 300TB of raw data (90TB recorded); reprocessing & data preparation finished.
- Two weeks in 2008 (11/09–24/09): special data sets allowing reduction of the systematic uncertainties.

Principal subdetectors for R_K:

• Magnetic spectrometer (4 DCHs): 4 views/DCH: redundancy \Rightarrow efficiency; $\Delta p/p = 0.47\% + 0.020\%*p$ [GeV/c]

• Hodoscope

fast trigger, precise t measurement (150ps).

• Liquid Krypton EM calorimeter (LKr) High granularity, quasi-homogeneous; $\sigma_E/E = 3.2\%/E^{1/2} + 9\%/E + 0.42\%$ [GeV]; $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 0.42/E^{1/2} + 0.6mm$ (1.5mm@10GeV).



• $E_{I Kr}$ inefficiency for electrons measured to be $(0.05\pm0.01)\%$ for $p_{track} > 15$ GeV/c.

 Different trigger conditions for signal 26

$K_{\mu 2}$ with $\mu \rightarrow e$ decay in flight

For NA62 conditions (74 GeV/c beam, ~100 m decay volume), $N(K_{\mu 2}, \mu \rightarrow e \text{ decay})/N(K_{e2}) \sim 10$ $K_{\mu 2} (\mu \rightarrow e)$ naïvely seems a huge background

Muons from $K_{\mu 2}$ decay are fully polarized: Michel electron distribution

 $d^{2}\Gamma/dxd(\cos\Theta) \sim x^{2}[(3-2x) - \cos\Theta(1-2x)]$

 $x = E_e/E_{max} \approx 2E_e/M_{\mu}$

 Θ is the angle between p_e and the muon spin (all quantities are defined in muon rest frame).

Result: $B/(S+B) = (0.23 \pm 0.01)\%$

Important but not dominant background



Only energetic forward electrons (passing M_{miss} , E/p, vertex CDA cuts) are selected as K_{e2} candidates: (high x, low cos Θ).

They are naturally suppressed by the muon polarisation

Beam halo background

Electrons produced by beam halo muons via $\mu \rightarrow e$ decay can be kinematically and geometrically compatible to genuine K_{e2} decays

Background measurement:

- Halo background much higher for K_{e2}^{-} (~20%) than for K_{e2}^{+} (~1%).
- Halo background in the $K_{\mu 2}$ sample is considerably lower.
- ~90% of the data sample is K^+ only, ~10% is K^- only.
- K^+ halo component is measured directly with the K^- sample and vice versa.



Backgrounds: summary





$K_{\mu 2}$: 40% of data set



15.56M candidates with low background B/(S+B) = 0.25%

($K_{\mu 2}$ trigger was pre-scaled by D=150)

The only significant background source is the beam halo.

physics

 R_{κ} measurements are currently in agreement with the SM expectation at ~1.5 σ . Any significant enhancement with respect to the SM value would be an evidence of new physics.

For non-tiny values of the LFV slepton mixing Δ_{13} , R_K sensitivity to H[±] is competitive to the B factories and the LHC

"Maybe NA62 will find the first evidence for a charged Higgs exchange?" -- John Ellis (arXiv:0901.1120)



NA62 phase II: $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$

 $K \rightarrow \pi v v$: theoretically clean, sensitive to NP, almost unexplored

Branching ratio ×10 ¹⁰		
	Theory (SM)	Experiment
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu (\gamma)$	0.82±0.08	$1.73^{+1.15}_{-1.05}$
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \nu$	0.28±0.04	<670 (90% CL)

 $\mathsf{BR}(\mathsf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu) \sim |\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{ts}}^* \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{td}}|^2$

- Ultra-rare FCNC processes, proceed via Z-penguin and W-box diagrams.
- Hadronic matrix element extracted from precise $K \rightarrow \pi e_{\nu}$ measurements.
- Exceptional SM precision not matched by any other loop-induced meson decay.
- Uncertainties mainly come from charm contributions.

