

Sin($2\beta_s$) at CDF: Updated measurement of the CP violating phase in the B_s - \overline{B}_s system

Louise Oakes, for the CDF collaboration University of Oxford

FPCP2010 25th May 2010



Search for New Physics in B_s mixing



New particles could enter weak mixing box diagrams and enhance CP violation
 Time evolution of flavour tagged B_s→J/ψφ decays is very sensitive to New Physics

 $\hfill\square$ Decay width difference, $\Delta\Gamma$ and mixing phase would be effected by additional NP phase

Analysis overview

Reconstruct $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \phi(\rightarrow K^+K^-)$

25th May 2010

5.36 5.38 5.4 5.42 5.44 5.4 Mass(J/ψ φ) [GeV/c²]



Recap of previous results



CDF: 2.8fb⁻¹ result P-value for SM point =7% -> significance 1.8σ

25th May 2010



Tevatron combination: probability of observed deviation from SM = 3.4% (2.12 σ)

CDF Public Note 9787

New CDF sin(2β_s) *results for FPCP 2010*

Data sample and selection for update

- Statistically limited analysis high quality selection is essential:
- □ Key role of particle ID
 - recalibrated for this result
- Neural network selection
 - optimised on pseudo experiments to minimise statistical errors on β_s
- Fully data-driven recalibration of Btagging
 - SSKT updated for this measurement
 - Integrated luminosity: 5.2fb⁻¹
 Signal events: ~6500 (c.f. 2.8fb⁻¹ with ~3150 signal events)





Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

Flavour tagging

- Flavour tagging Opposite side tag (OST) and same side kaon tag (SSKT) - important component of the measurement
- Fit without flavour tagging, has four fold ambiguity:
 - **a** β_s and $\Delta\Gamma$ symmetric
 - strong phases symmetric about pi

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \beta_s & \rightarrow & \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta_s \\ \Delta \Gamma & \rightarrow & -\Delta \Gamma \\ \phi_{\parallel} & \rightarrow & 2\pi - \phi_{\parallel} \\ \phi_{\perp} & \rightarrow & \pi - \phi_{\perp} \end{array} \text{ and } \begin{array}{c} \beta_s \rightarrow -\beta_s \\ \Delta \Gamma \rightarrow -\Delta \Gamma \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.8 \text{ CDF pseudo experiments} \\ \hline 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ \hline 0.4 \\$$

B flavour tagging: SSKT calibration

- SSKT updated for this analysis
- calibrated on B_s mixing measurement
- B_s mixing measured with 5.2fb⁻¹
- First CDF calibration of a SSKT on data
- Uses several decay modes:

 $\begin{array}{l} B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+, \ D^-_s \to \phi^0 \pi^-, \ \phi^0 \to K^+ K^- \\ B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+, \ D^-_s \to K^* K^-, \ K^* \to K^+ \pi^- \\ B^0_s \to D^-_s \pi^+, \ D^-_s \to (3\pi)^- \\ B^0_s \to D^-_s (3\pi)^+, \ D^-_s \to \phi^0 \pi^-, \ \phi^0 \to K^+ K^- \end{array}$



golden mode

http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/bottom/100204.blessed-sskt-calibration/index.html

Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

8

B flavour tagging: SSKT calibration

- Mixing amplitude ≈ 1 :
 - tagger assesses its performance accurately
- Amplitude > 1
 - tagger underestimates its power
- Amplitude < 1
 - tagger overestimates performance
- Measured amplitude used to scale event by event tagging dilution

Agreement between this and the published CDF measurement is very good



$$\mathcal{A}=0.94\pm0.15$$
 (stat.) \pm 0.13 (syst.)

 $\Delta m_s = 17.79 \pm 0.07 \ ps^{-1}$ (stat. only) $\epsilon A^2 D^2 \approx 3.2 \pm 1.4 \%$

S-wave contamination

- Potential contamination of $B_s -> J/\psi \phi$ signal by: $B_s -> J/\psi$ KK (KK non-resonant) and $B_s -> J/\psi$ f⁰ where KK and f⁰ are S-wave states
- Predicted up to 15%
 contamination of total sample
 (~6% of signal)
 could bias towards SM value of β_s





Invariant KK mass (above)

- combinatorial background from B_s sidebands
- B⁰ reflections modelled from MC
- □ Fractions fixed from B_s mass fit (left)

Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

Inclusion of S-wave KK component

- S-wave KK component has been added to full angular, time-dependent likelihood fit.
- Both f^0 and non-resonant KK are considered flat in mass within the small selection window, ϕ meson mass is modelled by asymmetric, relativistic Breit Wigner.
- J/ψ KK (f⁰) is pure CP odd state
- KK mass is NOT a fit parameter

The fitted fraction of KK S-wave contamination in the signal is < 6.7% at the 95% CL



Fit projections on physical parameters such as B_s lifetime used to check performance of the likelihood fit



B_s lifetime distribution consisting of:

- **B** B_s^{H} (short lived)
- \square B_s^L (long lived) -

Flavour tagged fit with $\beta_s = 0.0$

- □ Tagged $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ likelihood fit
- CP violating phase, $\beta_s = 0$, set to SM prediction

CDF II Preliminary 5.2fb -1

 $au_s = 1.53 \pm 0.025 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.012 \text{ (syst.) ps}$ $\Delta \Gamma = 0.075 \pm 0.035 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.01 \text{ (syst.) } ps^{-1}$ $|A_{\parallel}(0)|^2 = 0.231 \pm 0.014 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.015 \text{ (syst.)}$

$$|A_0(0)|^2 = 0.524 \pm 0.013 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.015 \text{ (syst.)}$$

 $\phi_{\perp} = 2.95 \pm 0.64 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (syst.)}$

World's most precise single measurement of B_s lifetime and decay width difference PDG value:

$$\tau_s = 1.47^{+0.026}_{-0.027} \text{ ps}$$

New CDF measurement of β_s



Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

New CDF measurement of β_s

1D likelihood profile for β_{s}



P-value for SM point: 31% (1.0 σ deviation)

0

 β_s (rad)

2

0

-1

Comparisons



Summary

• First showing of updated CDF search for NP in $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$

Tightened constraints on CP violating phase β_s
 [0.0, 0.5] U [1.1, 1.5] (68% CL)
 [-0.1, 0.7] U [0.9, pi/2] U [-pi/2, -1.5] (95% CL)

- **D** P-value for SM point: 44% (0.8σ)
- World's best measurement of B_s lifetime and decay width difference in hypothesis of no CP violation
- Not only doubled the sample size many improvements to analysis:
 - Included contribution from S-wave KK final state
 - measured contamination of <6.7% at 95% CL</p>
 - More powerful NN selection
 - Fully calibrated B flavour tagging and PID

Future prospects

- Tevatron delivering record luminosity, CDF records
 ~60pb⁻¹ per week
- By end of 2011 will have doubled again the dataset, and made further improvements to analysis
- Search for NP in B_s mixing at CDF has potential to observe/exclude wide range of non-SM mixing phase values





Back up



Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

Inclusion of S-wave KK component

S-wave KK component included in decay rate:



20

Integrate out KK mass dependence:

$$\begin{split} \rho_B(\theta,\psi,\phi,t) &= (1-F_s) \cdot P_B(\theta,\psi,\phi,t) + F_s Q_B(\theta,\psi,\phi,t) \\ &+ 2 \frac{\sqrt{27}}{16\pi} Re \left[\mathcal{I}_{\mu} \left((\mathbf{A}_- \times \hat{n}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \hat{n}) \cdot |f_-(t)|^2 + (\mathbf{A}_+ \times \hat{n}) \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \hat{n}) \cdot f_+(t) \cdot f_-^*(t) \right) \right] \end{split}$$

• where:

- I(mu) is an integrated mass and relative phase interference term
- P_B and Q_B are the decay rates for the P-wave phi and S-wave KK states

25th May 2010

Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

Fit function

Use a multivariate fit combining angular analysis and time dependence

• Fit without flavour tagging:

• Flavour tagging added:

signal $\mathcal{L}_{i} = f_{s} \cdot P_{s}(m) \cdot \frac{P_{s}(\xi)}{P_{b}(m)} \cdot \frac{T(t,\psi,\theta,\phi,\mathcal{D},\xi)}{P_{b}(\xi) \cdot P_{b}(t,\sigma_{t})} \cdot \frac{P_{s}(\sigma_{t})}{P_{b}(\psi)} \cdot \frac{P_{s}(\mathcal{D})}{P_{b}(\phi)} + \frac{P_{s}(\mathcal{D})}{P_{b}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{P_{b}(\sigma_{t})}{P_{b}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{P_{b}(\sigma_{t})}{P_{b}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{P_{b}(\sigma_{t})}{P_{b}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{P_{b}(\sigma_{t})}{P_{b}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{P_{b}(\mathcal{D})}{P_{b}(\phi)}$

terms altered or added by tag decision or tagging dilution

Potential NP contributions

- 4th generation could enhance the weak mixing diagram in the neutral B_s system
- George W.S. Hou suggests the t' as a possible contribution to the mixing box diagrams
- SM contains the ingredients to generate the 100% Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe (BAU)
- Predicted CP violation from
 3 generations is negligible
 compared to what is observed in
 BAU
- 4th generation of quarks would lead to "unitarity quadrangle"
 - -> enhances SM CP violation by 10 orders of magnitude!



arXiv:0803.1234v3 George W.S. Hou

Systematic errors

- Systematic study for point estimates uses pseudo experiments to estimate potential effects of any mis-parameterisations in the fitter.
- 2 techniques used:
 - Generating pseudo experiments using an altered parameterisation, fitting with default model
 - Generating pseudo experiments according to histograms of real data distribution

| Systematic | ΔΓ | <u>ст</u> | $ A_{u}(0) ^{2}$ | $ 4(0) ^2$ | <i>.</i> |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| G: 1 m : | | CIS | A (0) | A ₀ (0) | ψ_{\perp} |
| Signal efficiency: | | - | 2 2222 | | 2020.2 |
| Parameterisation | 0.0024 | 0.96 | 0.0076 | 0.008 | 0.016 |
| MC reweighting | 0.0008 | 0.94 | 0.0129 | 0.0129 | 0.022 |
| Signal mass model | 0.0013 | 0.26 | 0.0009 | 0.0011 | 0.009 |
| Background mass model | 0.0009 | 1.4 | 0.0004 | 0.0005 | 0.004 |
| Resolution model | 0.0004 | 0.69 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.022 |
| Background lifetime model | 0.0036 | 2.0 | 0.0007 | 0.0011 | 0.058 |
| Background angular distribution: | | | | | |
| Parameterisation | 0.0002 | 0.02 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.001 |
| $\sigma(c\tau)$ correlation | 0.0002 | 0.14 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.006 |
| Non-factorisation | 0.0001 | 0.06 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.003 |
| $B^0 \to J \psi K^*$ crossfeed | 0.0014 | 0.24 | 0.0007 | 0.0010 | 0.006 |
| SVX alignment | 0.0006 | 2.0 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.002 |
| Mass error | 0.0001 | 0.58 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.002 |
| $c\tau$ error | 0.0012 | 0.17 | 0.0005 | 0.0007 | 0.013 |
| Pull bias | 0.0028 | | 0.0013 | 0.0021 | |
| Totals | 0.01 | 3.6 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.07 |

Point estimates: results comparison

$$egin{array}{rcl} c au &=& 458.64 \pm 7.54 \ {
m (stat.)} \ \mu m \ \Delta \Gamma &=& 0.075 \pm 0.035 \ {
m (stat.)} \ ps^{-1} \ |A_{\parallel}|^2 &=& 0.231 \pm 0.014 \ {
m (stat.)} \ |A_0|^2 &=& 0.524 \pm 0.013 \ {
m (stat.)} \ \phi_{\perp} &=& 2.95 \pm 0.64 \ {
m (stat.)} \end{array}$$

```
Tagged, with S-wave
```

```
c\tau = 459.1 \pm 7.7 \text{ (stat.) } \mu m
  \Delta \Gamma = 0.073 \pm 0.03 (stat.) ps^{-1}
|A_{\parallel}|^2 = 0.232 \pm 0.014 \text{ (stat.)}
|A_0|^2 = 0.523 \pm 0.012 (stat.)
             \phi_{\perp} = 2.80 \pm 0.56
```

24

Tagged, no S-wave

Untagged, with S-wave

Untagged, no S-wave

 $c au ~=~ 456.93 \pm 7.69 ~{
m (stat.)} ~\mu m$ $\Delta \Gamma = 0.071 \pm 0.036 \text{ (stat.) } ps^{-1}$ $\Delta \Gamma = 0.070 \pm 0.04 \text{ (stat.) } ps^{-1}$ $|A_{\parallel}|^2 = 0.233 \pm 0.015 \text{ (stat.)}$ $|A_0|^2 = 0.521 \pm 0.013 \text{ (stat.)}$

 $c\tau = 457.2 \pm 7.9 \text{ (stat.) } \mu m$ $|A_{\parallel}|^2 = 0.233 \pm 0.016 \text{ (stat.)}$ $|A_0|^2 = 0.520 \pm 0.013$ (stat.)

Comparisons

new CDF result



2009 Tevatron combined result

2D likelihood contours for β_{s} and $\Delta\Gamma$ without coverage adjustment



Inclusion in the fit of S-wave KK (f⁰) contamination to phi meson signal has small effect on likelihood contours

Measurement of β_s : coverage adjustment

26

Use likelihood ratio ordering technique to account for non-Gaussian behaviour (ensure confidence regions not under-covered) and to include effect of systematics on the errors:

- Generate pseudo experiments at the SM point in the $\Delta\Gamma$ - β_s plane.
- Fit with all parameters floating
- **•** Fit again with $\Delta\Gamma$ and β_s fixed to the SM point
- Form a likelihood ratio:

$$\mathcal{LR} = 2\log rac{\mathcal{L}(eta_s^{J/\psi\phi}, \Delta\Gamma, \vec{\xi})}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{\xi})}$$

Measurement of β_s

- Ideal case: produce fit value of β_s as we do for lifetime, etc.
- $\hfill\square$ At current statistical level, fit shows some bias for β_s
- Instead, produce 2D likelihood contours in $β_s - ΔΓ$ space
 - Perform fits on data with $β_s$ and ΔΓ fixed at 400 points on 20x20 grid
 - Ratio of log likelihood value for fit at each point to the global minimum used to construct likelihood contour plots
- Use profile-likelihood ratio ordering technique to ensure coverage



CDF detector



- Particle ID: dE/dx and TOF
- Excellent vertex resolution ~23 μ m and p_T resolution: $\sigma(p_T)/p_T^2 \sim 0.1 \text{ (GeV/c)}^{-1}$
- Di-muon trigger (this analysis)
- Displaced vertex trigger: trigger level silicon tracking



Tevatron performance



- High luminosity is a benefit but also a challenge for B physics
- Expect almost twice the current sample by end of run-II

- p-pbar collisions at 1.96TeV
- Constantly improving luminosity performance
 - peak instantaneous
 luminosity >3x10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹

29

~7fb⁻¹ delivered to the experiments



25th May 2010

CP violation in neutral B_s system

Flavour eigenstates:

$$egin{aligned} B^0_s
angle &= (ar{b}s)\ |\ ar{B}^0_s
angle &= (bar{s}) \end{aligned}$$

Mixing of flavour eigenstates is governed by:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\left(\begin{array}{c}B_{s}^{0}(t)\\\overline{B}_{s}^{0}(t)\end{array}\right) = H\left(\begin{array}{c}B_{s}^{0}(t)\\\overline{B}_{s}^{0}(t)\end{array}\right) \equiv \underbrace{\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}M_{0} & M_{12}\\M_{12}^{*} & M_{0}\end{array}\right)_{\text{mass matrix}} - \frac{i}{2}\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c}\Gamma_{0} & \Gamma_{12}\\\Gamma_{12}^{*} & \Gamma_{0}\end{array}\right)_{\text{decay matrix}}\right] \left(\begin{array}{c}B_{s}^{0}(t)\\\overline{B}_{s}^{0}(t)\end{array}\right)$$



Flavour eigenstates are not mass eigenstates:

 $\frac{|B_s^H\rangle = p |B_s^0\rangle - q |\bar{B}_s^0\rangle}{|B_s^L\rangle = p |B_s^0\rangle + q |\bar{B}_s^0\rangle}$

Different masses -> mixing frequency:

-> phase:

Different decay widths:

$$\begin{split} \Delta m_{s} &= m_{H} - m_{L} \approx 2 I M_{12} I \\ \phi_{s}^{SM} &= arg(-M_{12}/\Gamma_{12}) \sim 0.004 \\ \Delta \Gamma &= \Gamma_{L} - \Gamma_{H} \approx 2 I \Gamma_{12} I \cos(2\phi_{s}^{SM}) \end{split}$$

Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

Search for New Physics in B_s mixing



A New Physics effect would contribute to both the phases ϕ_s and β_s by introducing a new physics phase:

$$\phi_{s}=\phi_{s}{}^{SM}$$
 + $\phi_{s}{}^{NP}$ and $2\beta_{s}=2\beta_{s}{}^{SM}$ - $\phi_{s}{}^{NP}$

So, if NP phase dominates we measure $2\beta_s \approx -\phi_s \approx \phi_s^{NP}$

Fit function: angular separation

Final state is a mixture of CP even (~75%) and odd (~25%) states.



 $|A_0|^2$: polarisation longitudinal, parallel $|A_{//}|^2$: polarisation transverse, parallel $|A_{perp}|^2$: polarisation transverse, perpendicular Three angular momentum states of J/ψ phi:

32

| L=0 | S-wave | СР | even |
|-----|--------|----|------|
| | | | |

L=1 P-wave CP odd

L=2 D-wave **CP even**

Can separate final CP states using angular variables

Transversity basis describes these contributions as: A₀, A_{//} (CP even), A_{perp} (CP odd) according to their polarisation. Can be separated using the angular distributions of the final state particles

Transversity basis

Polarisation of vector mesons w.r.t direction of motion:

- $|A_0|^2$: polarisation longitudinal, parallel
- $|A_{//}|^2$: polarisation transverse, parallel
- |A_{perp}|² : polarisation transverse, perpendicular

We let the A's be normalized such that $|A_0|^2 + |A_{\parallel}|^2 + |A_{\perp}|^2 = 1$.

The predicted angular distributions can be found from the following prescription Let \hat{n} be the unit vector in the direction of the l^+ (J/ψ rest frame),

 $\hat{n} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta),$

and let A be a complex vector defined as

$$\mathbf{A} = (A_0\cos\psi, -rac{A_{\parallel}\sin\psi}{\sqrt{2}}, irac{A_{\perp}\sin\psi}{\sqrt{2}}).$$

The angular distributions are governed by the probability density

$$P(heta,\phi,\psi)=rac{9}{16\pi}|\mathbf{A} imes\hat{n}|^2.$$

This is normalized

such that

$$\int \int \int \frac{9}{16\pi} |\mathbf{A} \times \hat{n}|^2 \sin \theta d\theta \, d\phi \sin \psi \, d\psi = 1.$$

25th May 2010

Louise Oakes ~ CDF ~ FPCP2010

Checking the fitter: projections

- Angular distributions are used to separate CP odd and even final states in both the tagged and untagged fit
- The signal fit projections for these parameters are shown below
- Used to check our parameterisation of the angular distributions



NN selection

- For final selection use
 Artificial Neural Network
 (ANN)
- Trained on realistic MC
- Most significant inputs are
 - Kaon momenta
 - vertex probabilities for the $B_{s'}$ J/ψ and ϕ
- 2.8fb⁻¹ update optimised
 NN cut value by maximising
 S/√(S+B)
- New result optimises by selecting NN value which minimises β_s errors



Distribution of signal and background ANN output (MC)

Inclusion of S-wave KK component

S-wave KK component has been added to full angular, time-dependent likelihood fit.

36

- Both f^0 and non-resonant KK are considered flat in mass within the small selection window, ϕ meson mass is modelled by asymmetric, relativistic Breit Wigner.
- J/ψ KK (f⁰) is pure CP odd state
- KK mass is NOT a fit parameter



Comparison of data periods

