

SIGRAV, Urbino, September 7, 2021

GINGER

Angela D. V. Di Virgilio for the GINGER Collaboration

September 7, 2021

Angela D. V. Di Virgilio for the GINGER Collaboration

Urbino, September 7 2021

< A > <

프 + - 프 +

E



- Absolute Rotational degree of freedom
- ring laser gyroscope General Relativity and Lorentz Violation
- Earth based experiment

as a tool to investigate Nature

time difference and interferometry -> long term and fast response, huge sensitivity and dynamic range

Repeatability and focus on physical laws: 'Valid here and now' not isolated and very rare natural phenomena far from the observer (in distance and time)



- unattended continuous operation for months
- typically sub-prad/s sensitivity in 1 second of measurement
- very large bandwidth, fast response, in principle as fast as milli-seconds
- very large dynamic range. Since it is based on frequency measurement, the same device can record sub-prad/s variations and strong signals from near by earthquakes
- they can be oriented at will in order to reconstruct in 3D the angular rotation vector



- The key point is the sensitivity
- the watershed to be useful to fundamental physics is to reach and go below 10^{-14} rad/s (measure Ω_{\oplus} down to 1 part 10^9).

GINGERINO has recently demonstrated a noise floor compatible with 0.1prad/s

NEWS INFN

🗂 03 GIUGNO 2021

GINGERINO MISURA LA VELOCITA' DI ROTAZIONE E IL CAMPO GRAVITAZIONALE DELLA TERRA



- GINGER is a project based on a RLG array, underground, isolated from external disturbances, to directly measure the Lense Thirring effect on the Earth
- Lense Thirring (and de Sitter) acts on the RLG as angular rotation vector summed to the Earth rotation rate.
- kinematic component independently measured by IERS with very high accuracy
- Main difference with existing measurements: fixed latitude, not averaged. Not required: synchronization of different clocks and independent gravity map.
- discriminate among different theories, minimising modeling

프 네 프 네 프



- de Sitter and Lense Thirring effects, function of the latitude (multisite approach would be advantageous), independent from the gravity map of the Earth.
- Lorentz Violation, in the SME framework
- Gravitational waves exciting the Earth quadrupole moment
- space-time structure in the noise spectral density

Salvatore Capozziello

sensitivity windows $10^9 - 10^{13}$, low rate, DC and accurate, IERS required.

Jay Tasson

1 part $10^9 \mbox{ or better, fractions of hours}$

...here sensitivity is never enough.. sensitivity better than 1 part 10¹² at 1 hour frequency.very high frequency, MHz and high accuracy.

《日》 《國》 《臣》 《臣》

E

Compare sensitivity and physics





Urbino, September 7 2021

E

=



$$f_S = \frac{4A}{P\lambda} \hat{n} \cdot \overrightarrow{\Omega}$$

- A area, and P perimeter of the ring, λ wavelength
- output: scalar product between
 Ω and the ring area versor
- accuracy depends on geometry, which can be monitored by standard optical techniques

main difficulty is the subtraction of the kinematic component (9 orders of magnitude higher than the signals we are looking for)

-control/monitor the geometry -ring shape close to regular polygon with error of fractions of micrometer

-RLG at maXimum signal to recover the angle of the other rings -alignment of RLX close to ideal down to fractions of micro-rad

(日) (四) (王) (王)



GINGER is not specialised to some specific feature of physics, it monitors the absolute rotational degree of freedom of the Earth crust in one point, as a consequence it provides data useful for geophysical and geodesic investigation.

In turn we can use those signal to investigate the apparatus.

We have prepared and addendum to the TDR, to summarize the impact in fundamental physics, geophysics and geodesy, a 'living summary'.



Large-frame optical gyroscopes in the world



In Germany are operative: G, which has been already productive for geodesy and ROMY (ERC) of the geophysical observatory of Baviera, a 4 RLG array with 36m perimeter.

Angela D. V. Di Virgilio for the GINGER Collaboration

GINGER: the Collaboration



INFN Pisa

Angela D.V. Di Virgilio Fabio Morsani Giuseppe Terreni

IINIPI

Andrea Basti Nicolò Beverini

Giorgio Carelli

Donatella Ciampini

Francesco Euso

Enrico Maccioni

Paolo Marsili

GSSI Umberto Giacomelli



INFN Napoli CNR-SPIN Alberto Porzio

Carlo Altucci Francesco Bajardi Salvatore Capozziello Raffaele Velotta



Gaetano Lambiase



Matteo Luca Ruggiero









Thomas Braun Gaetano De Luca Giuseppe Di Stefano Roberto Devoti Aladino Govoni

UNIVAQ

Francesco Dell'Isola Ivan Giorgio Marco Tallini

UNISS Emilio Barchiesi

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Emilio Turco





S

G

3

GINGER: the apparatus





Experimental setup contained inside a closed box

- thermal stability and avoid gradients
- rigid
- homogeneous materials and cylindrical symmetry
- minimize the points in which seismic motion impacts
- reduce coupling between mirrors

underground location provides excellent thermal stability with reduced day night effects

- to push above 50Hz the proper mode of the structure
- unattended operation and far from anthropogenic noise
- proper selection of components
- utilize the symmetry of the system to reduce to second order the typical causes of instability

Angela D. V. Di Virgilio for the GINGER Collaboration

Urbino, September 7 2021







- RLX is oriented at maximum Sagnac signal, insensitive to local tilts and provides the absolute value of Ω_T , necessary to reconstruct the orientation of the other RLG
- the misalignment of RLX with respect to the rotation axis determines the sensitivity limit.

GINGER: steps toward final sensitivity



- step 0, 1 part in 10⁹ (7x10⁻¹³ rad/s);
- step 1, 1 part in 10¹⁰ (7x10⁻¹⁴ rad/s);
- step 2, 1 part in 10¹¹ (7x10⁻¹⁵ rad/s);
- step 3, 1 part in 10¹² (7x10⁻¹⁶ rad/s);
- step 4, 1 part in 10¹³ (7x10⁻¹⁷ rad/s), this amounts to the 0.1% test of GM effects.

```
step 0 alignment at 310<sup>-5</sup>rad
step 1 .....10<sup>-5</sup>rad
```

. . . .

step 4310⁻⁷rad

NOTE: data suitable for geophysical and geodesic investigation since the beginning

The use of the active cavity is extremely advantageous, but has the drawback that the response is affected by the non linear laser dynamic. We have elaborated an original analysis technique which takes into account the laser dynamic, and reconstruct ω_s using the available signals of the laser: the beat note ω_m , the intensities of the two laser modes (DC and at ω_m) and their relative phase.

Figure: Distribution of the signal of GP2,

Figure: Distribution of the signal of GP2, with and without taking into account the dynamic of the laser

- Þ-

Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79: 573. Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80: 163

Urbino, September 7 2021





Signals:

Gyroscope signals: beat note ω_m , amplitude of the two modes I_1 and I_2 , amplitude of the two modes at the beat note frequency IS_1 and IS_2 , relative phase ϵ , power at the discharge GM

Environmental signals: Temperature, Pressure, Tiltmeter ζ_1 and ζ_2





- RLGs are sensitive to the Earth global rotation (Ω_{geo}) and its variations: polar motion, Annual and Chandler wobbles, tides, crust deformations etc, all measured with very high accuracy by the international system IERS.
- This provides a "natural test beam" to investigate the characteristics and the sensitivity of GINGERINO.
- The true Sagnac signal ω_s is recovered taking into account the laser dynamic, and assuming that it is $\omega_s = \omega_{geo} + \omega_{local}$, where ω_{geo} indicates the scalar product of the total variations of the Earth with the RLG area vector, ω_{local} the signals of local origin, related to temperature fluctuations and local tilts.
- ω_s , ω_{geo} and ω_{local} are identified using linear regression and standard statistical means based on minimum square
- weak points of the apparatus and the sensitivity are investigated.

(四) (종) (종)



GINGERINO is running in a continuous basis, unattended and free running since 2017. We know that inside its data the global signal Ω_{\oplus} (independently measured by IERS, F_{IFRS}) is contained. It contains also local disturbances ω_{local} , in principle due to geophysical phenomena or instrumental. Aim is to identify ω_{local} with a linear regression using the laser dynamic, the available environmental signals, temperature, pressure and tiltmeters signals $\zeta_{1,2}$ to reconstruct the global signal FIFRS.

$$\begin{split} \omega_{s} &= \textit{CAL} \cdot \textit{F}_{\textit{IERS}} + \textit{LD}_{T} \mu + \omega_{\textit{local}} \\ \textit{F}_{\textit{IERS}} \propto \vec{\textit{A}} \cdot < \vec{\Omega}_{\oplus} > + \textit{CW} + \textit{PM} \\ \Omega_{\oplus} &= < \Omega_{\oplus} > + \Delta \omega_{3} \end{split}$$

CW and PM indicate the effect of the Annual and Chandler wobble and the daily polar motion. IERS data are used to cross calibrate and evaluate the absolute orientation and the effective scale factor SF in an arbitrary point T_0 , to evaluate CAL.

(日) (四) (王) (王)

Phys. Rev. Research 2, 032069(R) – 2020



sensitivity limit 40 frad/s after 3.5 integration days: meaningful for GR test and Lorentz violation study. Please note that MAD is still decreasing.

 F_{geo} is F_{IERS} reconstructed by the linear regression.





 $\zeta_{1,2}$ plays a dominant role in the identification of ω_{local} . More explanatory variables are added to the linear regression, obtaining:residuals gaussian distributed, typically STD 10 – 4nHz (below frad/s)

estimation of the sensitivity limits using two sinusoidal signals added to the signal and to the LR: period 40 and 0.5 days, sensitivity of the order of 0.3nHz (0.01frad/s).





Typical residuals, STD 4nHz.



 ω_{local} is instrumental, when the monument tilts the mechanical structure of GINGERINO rotates. Improvement of the heterolithic mechanical design required.





- IERS provides the local angular rotation with wobblers, tides and deformations. The analysis method is not predictive, but it is good to investigate the apparatus.
- The level of precision with which the different terms of the linear regression, and the residuals indicate 0.1frad/s sensitivity.
- my main concern about our result is that: it looks too good....



The debate around RLG sensitivity is still active, mainly focusing to very small RLGs; so far the limit for large frame, high sensitivity RLGs is considered to be the shot noise due to spontaneous emission of laser atoms. The sensitivity we observe is a factor 1000 below the shot noise due to spontaneous emission of the laser atoms. This noise is phase noise, but the Sagnac signal is the frequency. At present we are studying the problem.



- We have concluded our preliminary work toward GINGER and submitted the GINGER proposal to the CSN2.
- The first target was 1% of the Lense Thirring, the speculation about the sensitivity indicates the feasibility of 0.1%, a factor 10 improvement.
- The main difficulty is to subtract the Earth kinematic components, this implies to constantly have the absolute orientation of the RLG.
- The RLG at maximum signal has the advantage to be insensitive to small orientation changes, and using its data it is possible to monitor the orientation of the other RLG of the array with respect to the absolute orientation of the total angular rotation vector.



The RLG at the maximum signals provides $|\Omega_{\oplus}|$ and the relative orientation of the other two RLG with the Earth rotation axis.



Angela D. V. Di Virgilio for the GINGER Collaboration

Urbino, September 7 2021