### **Inspiral, merge, repeat:** hierarchical black-hole mergers and their gravitational-wave signatures

### Davide Gerosa

University of Milan-Bicocca

September 7, 2021 XXIV SIGRAV Conference

Urbino, Italy

davide.gerosa@unimib.it www.davidegerosa.com





European Research Council



# Outline

- 1. How do two black holes get together?
- 2. Gravitational-wave observables
- 3. Repeated black-hole mergers for LIGO and Virgo

All in a new Nature Astronomy review: DG Fishbach 2021 (arXiv:2105.03439)



### **Can BHs really make it?**

At lowest order, GW emission causes the orbit to shrink: Peters and Mathews 1963

separation 
$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{64}{5} \frac{G^3 M^3}{c^5 a^3} \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} (1-e^2)^{-7/2} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4\right)$$

eccentricity  $\frac{de}{dt} = -\frac{304}{15}e\frac{G^3M^3}{c^5a^4}\frac{q}{(1+q)^2}(1-e^2)^{-5/2}\left(1+\frac{121}{304}e^2\right)$ 

#### Inspiral timescale

$$t_{\rm GW} \sim a \frac{dt}{da} \sim a^4$$

**Gravitational waves** are efficient below  

$$a_{\rm GW} = 1.2 \times 10^{11} \left(\frac{t_{\rm GW}}{1.4 \times 10^{10} {\rm yr}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{3/4} {\rm cm}$$

$$\sim 10 R_{\odot} \text{ for stellar-mass BHs}$$

**Relativity** alone is great to model the LIGO signals... ...but some **astrophysics** is needed to explain them

### Have we been together for so long?



Yes! I've known you since you were a star

Nah, we just met in cluster





Our neighbour is so messy, we should move

# Mass predictions: the gaps

- Lower mass gap: between BHs and NSs
- Upper mass gap: supernova instabilities



# Pair-instability supernovae

As the mass of the core increases:

- 1. Electron-positron production
- 2. Radiation pressure drops
- **3.** Core contracts
- 4. Temperature goes up
- 5. Explosive oxygen burning
- 6. Entire star is gone (PISN)
- 7. Repeated pulsations (PPISN)

Heger Woosley 2002, Belczynski+ 2016, Woosley+ 2017, Spera Mapelli 2017, Marchant+ 2018, Stevenson+ 2019

BH forbidden for  $M\gtrsim 50 M_{\odot}$ 

This limit is very solid... Farmer+ 2019, Renzo+ 2020 ...maybe

Belczynski+ 2019, 2020, Farmer+ 2020, Costa+ 2021



# Can we bypass stars and use black holes?

# **Spin predictions**

#### Extremely sensitive to details

- Core-envelope interactions in massive stars Fuller Ma 2019
- Tidal realignment in the Wolf-Rayet phase Zaldarriaga+ 2017
- Supernova kicks Kalogera 2000
- Mass transfer Stegmann+ 2020
- Relativistic precession till merger DG+ 2013, 2018



$$\chi_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_1/m_1 + \mathbf{S}_2/m_2}{m_1 + m_2}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}$$
  
Sets the lenght of the waveform



### **Black hole generations**



**Orthogonal**, but complementary, direction to the usual field vs. cluster debate

### Spins: the magic number

DG Berti 2017, Fishbach+ 2017, Berti Volonteri 2008



Peculiar spin distribution peaked at **0.7** 



# An explosion of new predictions



New review DG Fishbach 2021

- Masses in the pair-instability mass gap Heger+ 2003, Woosley+ 2007
- Peculiar spin distribution peaked at 0.7
   DG Berti 2017, Fishbach+ 2017
- But GW kicks impose large escape speed
   DG Berti 2019
- Very frequent in AGNs Yang+ 2019, Tagawa+ 2020
- Promising for GW190412
   DG Vitale Berti 2020
- Leading explanation for GW190521

LIGO/Virgo 2020

Perhaps a several events in the new LIGO catalog?

#### Kimball+ 2020

Inferring the properties of the parents

#### Baibhav, **DG**+ 2021

- A model that can be ruled out!
   DG Giacobbo Vecchio 2021
- Parametric inference with deep learning Mould, DG+ (soon!)

### More spin means more kick

• Non spinning BHs: up to ~160 Km/s

Gonzales+ 2007



#### Misaligned spins:

"Superkick" up to ~5000 Km/s Gonzales+ 2007; Campanelli+ 2007, Lousto Zlochower 2011,2013 Enhanced by ~25% for eccentric binaries Sopuerta+ 2007, Sperhake, **DG**+ 2020





Large spins have a critical impact on the kick: can black holes really merge again?

### The role of the escape speed

An escape speed of ~50 km/s is necessary to populate the mass gap **DG** Berti 2019



# ~50 km/s is more than most globular clusters.



- Nuclear star cluster Antonini+ 2016
- Triples Antonini+ 2017, Bin+ 2019
- Disc-assisted migration
   Stone+ 2017, Bartos+ 2017

# High mass but low spin?

#### DG, Giacobbo, Vecchio 2021



### Hierarchical mergers cannot do it

(even if you try hard)

If a future event is there... we need something else!

- Lowered CO reaction rate e.g Farmer+ 2020, Costa+ 2021
- Weaker stellar winds
   e.g. Leung+ 2019, Belczynski+ 2020
- Rotation
   e.g Marchant Moriya 2020, Woosley Heger 2021
- Stellar collisions

e.g. Di Carlo+ 2019, Renzo+ 2020

• Accretion

e.g. van Son et al. 2020, Natarajan 2021

• Pop III stars

e.g. Farrell et al. 2020, Kinugawa et al. 2021

### The gaps are precious

Baibhav, **DG**+ 2020

- Two channels "field" and "cluster":  $N = N_{\text{field}} + N_{\text{cluster}}$
- Some are in the gap:  $N = N_{no gap} + N_{gap}$
- The gap is exclusive:  $N_{\text{field,gap}} = 0$   $N_{\text{cluster,gap}} = N_{\text{gap}}$
- A predicted efficiency:  $\lambda \equiv \frac{N_{\text{cluster,gap}}}{N_{\text{cluster}}}$
- Individual contributions:

$$N_{\text{cluster}} = \frac{N_{\text{gap}}}{\lambda}$$
  $N_{\text{field}} = N - \frac{N_{\text{gap}}}{\lambda}$ 

# Where do hierarchical BH mergers come from?

DG Fishbach (2021)



# Outline

- 1. How do two black holes get together?
- 2. Gravitational-wave observables
- 3. Repeated black-hole mergers for LIGO and Virgo

All in a new Nature Astronomy review: DG Fishbach 2021 (arXiv:2105.03439)



### **Inspiral, merge, repeat:** hierarchical black-hole mergers and their gravitational-wave signatures

### Davide Gerosa

University of Milan-Bicocca

September 7, 2021 XXIV SIGRAV Conference

Urbino, Italy

davide.gerosa@unimib.it www.davidegerosa.com





European Research Council

