First Look at Babar data to tune dE/dxand track hit efficiency in FastSim

Matteo Rama Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN FastSim meeting, 13 May 2010

goal

- Replace the Babar PID tables with PID selectors built with basic ingredients simulated in FastSim: dE/dx (DCH+SVT), DIRC, TOF, etc.
 - It requires a precise enough description of the basic ingredients
- The current SuperB drift chamber baseline configuration is similar to Babar for what the measurement of dE/dx is concerned
 - Use Babar data to study the performance of dE/dx (DCH) and tune a realistic simulation

current status

- $= \langle dE/dx \rangle_{hit} \text{ of the single hit is evaluated using the Bethe Bloch formula}$ $= \sigma(\langle dE/dx \rangle_{hit}) = \alpha \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{\beta} dx^{\gamma}$
 - α , β , γ tuned based on BAD1500 and some generic assumptions: α = so that the K- π separation consistent with two plots in BAD1500 β = 1 γ = -0.5
- □ $<dE/dx>_{track}$ of the track is measured as a 'random' truncated average of $<dE/dx>_{hit}$ of the track's hits
- dE/dx pulls are OK (μ=0, σ=1 by construction).
 See Leonid's talk at FastSim meeting 29 Apr 2010)

Selection of pure pions and kaons samples in Babar data

```
□ Selection of D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+, D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ (+ c.c.)
```

```
|mD<sup>0</sup>-<mD<sup>0</sup>>|<1.5 σ
144.45<∆m<146.45 MeV
```



DCH hits as a function of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$



$\sigma(dE/dx) vs \theta$ (pions)



The result in the next slide

$\sigma(dE/dx) vs \theta$ (pions)



$\sigma(dE/dx) vs \theta$ (kaons)



$\sigma(dE/dx) vs \theta$ (kaons)



good agreement between pions and kaons

$\sigma(dE/dx)$ vs dE/dx (pions)

Now I want to "measure" α and β :

$$\sigma\left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right) = \alpha \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{\beta} dx^{\gamma}$$

I take $\sigma(dE/dx)$ vs dE/dx after having normalized $\sigma(dE/dx)$ by sqrt(N_hit)/dx^{γ}



Not a real fit: I consider $y(x)=par0^*x$ and find the value of par0 that 'fits' reasonably well. If such value exists, then it means that $\alpha=par0$ and $\beta=1$ is a reasonable assumption

In the plot on the left: α =0.085 β =1

 $\sigma(dE/dx)$ vs dE/dx (kaons)

same for kaons

 $\sigma\left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right) = \alpha \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{\beta} dx^{\gamma}$

In the plot below: α =0.085 β =1



good agreement between pions and kaons

$\sigma(dE/dx)$ vs dE/dx (kaons)



Kaon-pion separation with dE/dx

 $\theta = 90^{\circ}$



separation = $[dE/dx_(exp pion)-dE/dx_(exp kaon)]/\sigma(dE/dx)$

Kaon-pion separation with dE/dx

 $\theta = 30^{\circ}$



separation = $[dE/dx_(exp pion)-dE/dx_(exp kaon)]/\sigma(dE/dx)$

Kaon-pion separation with dE/dx

θ in [20°,24°]



separation = $[dE/dx_(exp pion)-dE/dx_(exp kaon)]/\sigma(dE/dx)$

Summary

- First look at babar data seems promising to improve the FastSim dE/dx (DCH)
- Need to understand better some aspects observed on data
- Next step is to change FastSim accordingly and perform a Babar-data vs FastSim comparison
- \square Babar data may also be useful to tune dE/dx (SVT)

BACKUP

DCH and SVT hits - pions -



p vs theta (pions)

