Outline of cryogenic payload compliance with Einstein Telescope LF

E. Majorana ^{Univ. Sapienza}, P. Puppo ^{INFN Roma}, P. Rapagnani ^{Univ. Sapienza}, P. Ruggi ^{EGO} on behalf of the payload group in Rome

GWADW2021 May-18-2021

Room-temperature and Low-temperature ET interferometers



A sustainable approach



Analyse carefully the target

Experience with present detectors

Widening the collaboration and gathering financial resources

Develop experimental facilities and identify the know-how

Aligning priorities and wisely schedule viable R&D milestones

a meaningful TD ?

Test mass suspensions and seismic isolator in Virgo: overall system



In AdV the first 5 stages of the Super-attenuator (horizontal and vertical) are roughly the same **designed 20 years ago** !!





The last filter of the Super-attenuator, prolonged downwards, is in the same vacuum environment of the payload and surrounds it: the "actuation cage".





AdV+: payloads with Large Mass

The marionette is conceptually simple but it serves for variuous functions:

- Mechancial: from single point to four point suspension
- Orientate the mirror in DC, motors
- Controlled orientation in AC and FabryPerot lock

The mirror a bulky cylinder suspended through four silica fibres to the marionette lock

Actuation cage, rigidly fixed at the last filter of the seismic attenuation chain, surrounds the payload and carries aux optical elements Does LM payload project go in the direction of ET ? YES:

- Handling/assembing heavy bodies
- Clearance into the cryostat to integrate and inner size
- Mechanical modelling OK
 NO:
- For ET the payload is a part of a cooling system

we must include cooling
 system features in the payload

PERCI_VIRGO_

Prototype Readiness Milestones OK







AdV marionette is a rather complex body and this may make the fulfilment of intrinsic-noise performance for ET difficult. We consider two options as seed.

Split in two parts

Single body made of different materials

We study the problem at three levels

- Mechanical controllability
- Heat extraction
- Thermal noise
- Technical noise

➔ Inner Cryostat environment

AdV+: payloads with Large Mass

Physical (MKS) (using NE as ref.)	Adv	LM Hea
Mirror mass	42	104.4
Mirror moment of inertia Ixx	0.46	2.34
Mirror moment of inertia lyy	0.463	2.34
Mirror moment of inertia Izz	0.64	3.97
Marionette mass	103.7	182.6
Mario moment of inertia lxx	2.06	3.80
Mario moment of inertia lyy	3.65	6.33
Mario moment of inertia Izz	1.88	4.01
F7 mass (total)	315	341.3
 Body+interface 	120	120
 Actuation_cage 	195	221.3
F3 mass	140	140
F2 mass	165	165
Mirror wire diameter	400e-6	640e-6
Marionette wire diameter	1.85e-3	2.4e-3
F7 wire diameter	2.7e-3	3e-3
IP flex joint diameter	32.65e-3	34.06e-3
Mirror wire distance X	0.178	0.278
Mirror wire distance Z	0.02	0.02
Marionette CM	8.35e-3	1.06e-3
Total mass below F7 blades	145.7	287
Total mass below F4 blades	460.7	628.1





- we enter in the vacuum chamber from the bottom, possible but non-trivial in a cryostat
- Clean rooms or clean booths close to each SA?









From Room Temperature prospective: A) two issues TN (intrinsic),
B) Driving technical noise (electromechanical design a study is possible at RT) → Let's track the intrinsic LM DARM displacement





Seed modelling for a "good payload"

- Analytical Modelling¹ now includes soft heat Links²
- Structural modelling and TN in interfaces requires FEM

Good to attenuate cryostat vibration injection

Bad concerning violin modes and related thermal noise

¹P. Ruggi, Thesis VIR-0020A-21 (2003), ²T. Yamada, "High Performance Heat Conductor with Small Spring Constant for Cryogenic Applications", arXiv:2003.13457 (2020); ²Gabriela I. Gonzalez and Peter R. Saulson, "Brownian motion of a mass suspended by an anelastic wire", J. Acoust. Soc Am 96 (1) (1994)

Seed modelling of cryo-payload

Case study: let's suppose a simple marionette suspension (e.g. Virgo maraging)

concept: 1mm dia Al wire (braid)

 Low tension thermal conductors attenuate the drag but are not OK for thermal noise

 \rightarrow HL yields a very high TN as the suspension penultimate suspension wire had a very low Q

Seed modelling for a "good payload": height needed

- TN projection of lumped bodies not so bad ! Seed parameters of Inertia found
- ✓ 2.8 m preferable (for both installing and accessorial instrumentation, optical baffles etc..)
- ✓ Reaction cage (RC) suspended using 3 metal wires
- ✓ Simple enough to be effective
- ✓ Height, enough to host an alternative configurations (also under study)
- ✓ Single massage to Room T (20 mm dia pipe ?)
- Auxiliary attenuator for the HL surely needed
- Thermal extraction rescaled (TBC): Al6N HL, capability of building 80-90-cm-long Al₂O₃
- Similar case Silicon_{MA} /Sapphire_{Mi} to allow larger yield and safer torsion ?

Using sapphire rods

- Si Test Mass: 140 kg (Sapphire 150 mm thick also tested)
- TM Sapphire wires: 2.2 mm Ø Length: 0.8 m + $2\lambda_{bending}$
- Marionette Wire: Sapphire Diam 5.4 mm (safe strength)
- Upper point: 8K
- Radiation component not included so far

00 mW

Steady State Thermal

Using sapphire rods

- Si Test Mass: 140 kg (Sapphire 150 mm thick also tested)
- TM Sapphire wires: 2.2 mm \emptyset Length: 0.8 m + $2\lambda_{bending}$
- Marionette Wire: Sapphire Diam 5.0 mm
- Upper point: I4K
- Radiation component not included so far
 - > The system can be cooled down.
 - Notice the realtively massive marionette (for AdV+ we adopt 180 kg).
 - Consistent with the cryostat room
 - The system works for both Si and Sapphire
 - > Al6N HL, capability of building 80-90-cm-long Al_2O_3

Heat conductivity [W/(mK)] ²01 ²

10

Requir

- No HL included
- No radiation included, so far

Thermal noise increase due to cryogenic link

Link RM - PF: AL E=6.9*10¹⁰; p=2700 L=1m; d=2mm; N=8;

Seismic bkg drag_l through cryogenic link

Need of a massive marionette for the cryogenic payload (seed case)

- Not so critical
- Safety factor in breaking strength can be increased
- We could equalize Mi and Ma at 130 kg

The effort is productive, as both TN and seismic bkg related to cryogenics drag are no longer dominant and reach close to nominal pure simple pendulum (TN below 5-7 Hz

BUT

> Further improve is needed, due to another source of technical noise (actuation, not object of this talk)

> Motivated by this issue, a different concept, based upon considerations made in this talk is being studied

Going ahead: ET Phase2 ;-)

An alternative design is being considered (very preliminary)

- 1. RM cage suspended to RoomT filter (outside the cryostat)
- 2. Lower seismic reinjection (without adding aux attenuators)
- 3. No need of developing cryogenic vertical antispring filter
- 4. Separation motor/active alignment control

Relies on:

- the feasibility of using 3 Tconductive wires (Al2O3)
- More careful design of the cage (mass and CoM)
- **Four** feedthroughs RoomT/Cryostat (upper stages)

Not used

Link **ground - RM**: AL E=6.9*10¹⁰; ρ =2700; Q=2 L=1m; d=0.15mm; N=49*28; s_in=0.7; s_out=-0.2;

Link **RM - PF**: AL E=6.9*10¹⁰; ρ =2700; Q=100 L=1m; d=2mm; N=8; s_in=-0.2; s_out=-0.2;

Seed modelling for a "good payload": seismic check (SoS Enattos)

- ✓ Seismic check OK (!!!) (background noise drag)
- ✓ We must carefully study the overall mass to be cooled down: *heavy* means PF+RC+MA+MI = 600-800 kg.

We have another configuration, in the simulation (PF at room T), 4 passages through the cryostat, other constraints
 so far, the room required would be similar to the one presented.

Link ground - RM: AL E=6.9*10¹⁰; ρ =2700 L=1m; d=0.15mm; N=49*28; s_in=0.7; s_out=-0.2;

frequency [Hz]