DCH full simulation and full IDEA description integration



G.F. Tassielli, F. Cuna, N. De Filippis, W. Elmetenawee, L. Lavezzi





Outline

- Drift Chamber simulation
 - Review geometry and reconstruction status
 - Cluster Counting/Timing simulation
- Integration with the Calorimeter
- Summary and Plans





- A full geant4 simulation of the IDEA tracking system was developed to test the tracking performance
- The **DCH** is simulated at a good level of geometry details, including detailed description of the endcaps;
- SVX and Si wrapper and PSHW are simulated as simple layer or overall equivalent material;
- KF with simple track selection criteria was used: only a quality cut on Chi2/nDof < 25 was applied;</p>
- A preliminary SVX and DCH description inside the FCC-sw was implemented

More details in: G. Tassielli: "Tracking performance with the updated geometry of the IDEA detector ", 11th FCC-ee workshop, CERN, January 2019"

N. A. Tehrani: "Simulation and tracking studies for a drift chamber at the FCC-ee experiment", CERN-ACC-2019-0043









assumed: $\sigma_d = 100 \,\mu\text{m}$ and (conservative for Si) $\sigma_{\text{Si}} = \text{pitch}/\sqrt{12 \,\mu\text{m}}$



Transverse Momentum Resolution

N good Hit DCH vs Theta

1 GeV

10 GeV

30 GeV

100 GeV

0.5

 $\cos(\theta)$

4/20

1

cos theta

0.5

0

Transparency more relevant than asymptotic resolution, the particle range is far from the asymptotic limit where MS is negligible.



Transverse Momentum Resolution

CLD: a detector concept for FCC-ee with a full Si-tracker system, inspired by CLIC detector.





Preliminary study of the machine background induced occupancy on the DCH, indicate that, it will be not an issue

e ⁺ e ⁻ Pairs	Background	Average occupancy			
www		$\sqrt{s} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$	$\sqrt{s} = 365 \text{ GeV}$		
	e^+e^- pair background	1.1%	2.9%		
martin	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{hadrons}$	0.001%	0.035%		
Beamstrahlung	Synchrotron radiation	negligible	0.2%		







To investigate the potential of the Cluster Counting technique (for He based drift chamber) on physics events a reasonable simulation/parameterization of the ionization clusters generation in Geant4 is needed.

Garfield/Garfield++:

- (Heed) simulates the ionization process in the gasses (not only) in a detailed way.
- (Magboltz) computes the gas properties (drift and diffusion coefficients as function of the fields value)
- solves the electrostatic planar configuration and simulates the free charges movements and collections on the electrodes.

So Garfield can study and characterize the properties and performance of single cell or drift chamber with simple geometry, but is not designed to simulate a full detector neither study collider events.

Geant4:

- Simulates the elementary particle interaction with material of a full detector
- Studies colliders events
- It doesn't simulate (normally) the ionization clustering process
- It doesn't simulate (normally) the free charges movements and collections on the electrodes.

It is very useful to simulate a the elementary particle interaction with the material of a full (complex) detector and to study collider events. The fundamental properties and performance of the sensible elements (drift cells) have to be parametrized or ad-hoc physics models have to be defined.













We are simulating 2m long tracks which pass through a 1 cm long side box of 90% He and 10% iC4H10 , with Garfield++ and Geant4

$$n_{\sigma} = \frac{\Delta_A - \Delta_B}{\langle \sigma_{A,B} \rangle} \qquad <\sigma_{A,B} > \text{ is the average of the two resolutions.}$$

Cluster counting leads to an **improvement** on particle separation power.

As example, around 5 GeV the power separation of a pion from kaon obtained with traditional method is about 4, the one obtained with cluster counting is around 8.



8/20



Studying the results from Garfield++ simulations, we can interpret correctly the results obtained from Geant4 simulations with the goal of reconstruct the number of clusters and the cluster size generated from different particles with different momenta passing through the tracker detector.

The goal is to extract from Garfield++ the relevant parameters to create models to convert the energy loss to cluster and then extract them as function of the primary particle βy .



Number of cluster from Garfield++

Here the distribution of number of cluster produced by different particle at different momenta, obtained with Garfield++



RD_FCC collaboration meeting



Kinetic energy distribution for cluster with cluster size equal to 1. The fit is the sum of an exponential function plus a Gaussian function.



Kinetic energy distribution for cluster with cluster size higher than 1 (left) and up to 1keV cut (right). The fits are performed with a Landau functions.













We implemented seven different algorithms trying to reproduce the number of cluster and the cluster size. The first step common to all algorithm is the evaluation of the total kinetic energy for cluster with cluster size higher than one (maxExEcl) event by event.

1) The first algorithm uses a reference value of the ratio between clusters containing a single electron and clusters containing more than one electron (Rt). Using the Rt value, the algorithm chooses to create cluster with cluster size one or higher. Then, it assigns the kinetic energy to each cluster by using the proper distributions. If the cluster has more than one electron, a check on the total kinetic energy is performed and its cluster size is evaluated. The procedure is repeated until the sum of primary ionization energy and kinetic energy per cluster saturate the energy loss of the event.

2) The second algorithm, if maxExEcl is higher than zero, generates the kinetic energy for clusters with cluster size higher than one by using its distribution and evaluates cluster size. This procedure is repeated until the sum of primary ionization energy and kinetic energy per cluster saturate the maxExEcl of the event. Then, using the remaining energy (Eloss-maxExEcl), the algorithm creates clusters with cluster size equal to one by assigning their kinetic energy according to the proper distribution. The reconstruction of clusters with cluster size equal to one remains the same for all next algorithms.

3) The third algorithm (similar to the previous), during the generation of cluster with cluster size higher than one, assigns the kinetic energy to them, choosing the best over five extractions that makes the total kinetic energy for cluster with cluster size higher than one approximating better the maxExEcl. To correct a systematic underestimation of the mean number of clusters, an additional correction to the residual energy for generating cluster with cluster size equal to one can be used.





4) The fourth algorithm (similar to the previous), during the generation of cluster with cluster size higher than one, assigns (by extracting from the proper distribution) the kinetic energy to them, until the total kinetic energy better approximates the maxExEcl.

5)The fifth algorithm is similar to the fourth with almost differences in the technical implementation.

6)The sixth algorithm follows a different methodology. Indeed it uses the total kinetic energy of the event to evaluate a priori the number of cluster, applying the most likelihood criterium.

7)The last algorithm is similar to the second algorithm but generates the kinetic energy for cluster with cluster size higher than one by using the fit of kinetic energy distribution.

List of variables maxExEcl : total kinetic energy spent to create clusters with cluster size higher than 1 ExECl : kinetic energy generated per cluster Ncl1 : number of clusters with cluster size equal to one Nclp : number of clusters with cluster size higher than one maxCut : energy value equivalent to the range cut set in Geant4 totExECl : total kinetic energy reconstructed to create clusters with cluster size higher than one Eloss : energy loss from a track passing through the cell ClSz : cluster size Eizp : primary ionization energy, 15.8 eV Eizs : secondary ionization energy, 25.6 eV









	Ncl	σNcl	Ncl1	σNcl1	Nclp	σNclp	maxNclp	eff. Nclp	ClSz	σClSz
MC. T.	11.96	3.458	10.44	3.228	1.912	1.04	10.05		1.705	6.498
1	14.69	6.959	12.85	6.426	2.157	1.25	13.5	1.082	1.424	5.569
2	11.53	3.612	9.225	3.633	3.448	2.602	25.5	0.899	1.775	6.483
3 (no corr.)	10.99	3.72	9.339	3.608	2.428	1.321	14.5	0.886	1.828	6.695
3 (+ corr.)	11.94	3.758	10.25	3.69	2.429	1.317	12.5	0.889	1.762	6.367
4	11.63	3.642	9.388	3.633	3.349	2.675	24.5	0.889	1.753	6.434
5	12.11	3.808	9.533	3.935	4.186	2.972	24.5	0.820	1.698	6.231
6	11.36	3.525	9.501	3.511	2.724	1.311	12.5	0.886	1.787	6.67
7	7.012	4.026	7.593	3.862	2.286	1.258	12.5	1.295	2.485	9.012

The **second** and **third** algorithms produce a number of cluster distribution, which follows the Poissonian shape and gives a mean value compatible with the one expected.

The **sixth** algorithm produces a number of cluster distribution, which follows the Poissonian shape and gives a mean value compatible with the one expected and also reconstructs a cluster size distribution whose shape is similar to the one expected.

The other algorithms do not well reproduce the Poissonian shape expected for number of clusters distribution.

Case of study: muon at 300 MeV

Geant4 result

The algorithm is tested with Geant4 simulations and the results obtained are compatible with the ones obtained with Garfield++.

02/17/2021

Integration with the Calorimeter

The integration of the Calorimeter geometry description was performed

Summary

- A Geant4 simulation of the Drift Chamber and tracking system is set and working.
- Reasonable algorithms to simulate the Ionization Clusters by using the Geant4 data are developed
- The DR calorimeter geometry description is integrated with the other detectors.

https://github.com/welmeten/DriftChamberPLUSVertex/tree/master

To do and Plans

- Import the DR calorimeter step/hit creation (Walaa is working)
- Update the code to use the key4hep stack environment to run and migration form Make to Cmake (*Lia is working*)
- Convert the data output to EDM4hep formats (*Lia is working*)
- Finalize the Cluster simulation algorithms (*Federica is working*) and implement it in the DCH hit creation (*To be assigned*)
- Integrate the "correct" preshower description (geometry and hit creation) (*To be assigned*)
- Studies on C.C., improvements on track reconstruction, P.R.
- improve Clustering algorithm validation
- improve synergies with BINP colleges

To speed up the processes more people are needed!!!

Backup

Expected performance

Machine background will be not an issue

- average machine background occupancy of the DCH is ~ 0.3% (3%) per bunch crossing at 91:2 (365) GeV, in the innermost layers.
- The maximum drift time (400ns) will impose an overlap of some (20 at Z pole) bunch crossings bringing the hit occupancy to ~ 10% in the inner-most drift cells. Based on MEG-II experience, this occupancy, which allows over 100 hits to be recorded per track on average in the DCH, is deemed manageable.
- However, signals from photons can therefore be effectively suppressed at the data acquisition level by requiring that at least three ionization clusters appear within a time window of 50 ns.
- In addition, cluster signals separated by more than 100 ns are not from the same signals, this effectively bring the BXs pile-up from 20 to 4

Expected simulated performance

Analytic model to evaluate full

black point: Full simulation red line: analytic model with Si resolution

blue line: analytic model with improved Si

- inner 3x3 µm
- outer/forward 7x7 µm Si wrapper: 7x90 µm

More details in F. Bedeschi: "Fast Simulation Tracking", Workshop on the Circular Electron-Positron Collider, Oxford, UK, April 2019"

Cluster Counting/Timing and P.Id. expected performance

- Expected excellent K/π separation over the entire range except 0.85<p<1.05 GeV (blue lines)
- Could recover with timing layer

analytic evaluation, to be checked with detailed simulations and test beams

The MEG-II Drift Chamber Performance

The IDEA drift chamber

- He based gas mixture (90% He - 10% i-C₄H₁₀)
- **Full stereo configuration** with alternating sign stereo angles ranging from 50 to 250 mrad
- 12÷14.5 mm wide square cells 5 : 1 field to sense wires ratio
- **56,448 cells**
- 14 co-axial super-layers, 8 layers each (112 total) in 24 equal azimuthal (15°) sectors
 - $(N_i = 192 + (i 1) \times 48)$

Novel approach at construction technique of high granularity and high transparency Drift Chambers

Based on the MEG-II DCH new construction technique the IDEA DCH can meet these goals:

- Gas containment wire support functions separation: allows to reduce material to ≈ 10⁻³ X₀ for the inner cylinder and to a few x 10⁻² X₀ for the end-plates, including FEE, HV supply and signal cables
- Feed-through-less wiring:

allows to increase chamber granularity and field/sense wire ratio to reduce multiple scattering and total tension on end plates due to wires by using thinner wires

Cluster timing:

allows to reach spatial resolution $< 100 \,\mu m$ for 8 mm drift cells in He based gas mixtures (such a technique is going to be implemented in the MEG-II drift chamber under commissioning)

Cluster counting:

allows to reach dN_{cl}/dx resolution < 3% for particle identification (a factor 2 better than dE/dx as measured in a beam test)

Cluster Counting/Timing and P.Id. expected performance

From the ordered sequence of the electrons arrival times, considering the average time separation between clusters and their time spread due to diffusion, reconstruct the most probable sequence of clusters drift times:

$$\begin{cases} t_i^{cl} \\ i = 1, N_{cl} \\ \frac{dE/dx}{} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{dE/dx}}{(dE/dx)} = 0.41 \cdot n^{-0.43} \cdot \left(L_{track}[m] \cdot P[atm]\right)^{-0.32}$$

from Walenta parameterization (1980)

truncated mean cut (70-80%) reduces the amount of collected information n = 112 and a 2m track at 1 atm give

$\sigma \approx 4.3\%$

Increasing P to 2 atm improves resolution by 20% ($\sigma \approx 3.4\%$) but at a considerable cost of multiple scattering contribution to momentum and angular resolutions.

from Poisson distribution

 δ_{d} = 12.5/cm for He/iC₄H₁₀=90/10 and a 2m track give

$$\sigma \approx 2.0\%$$

A small increment of iC_4H_{10} from 10% to 20% ($\delta_{cl} = 20$ /cm) improves resolution by 20% ($\sigma \approx 1.6\%$) at only a reasonable cost of multiple scattering contribution to momentum and angular resolutions.

Moreover, C.C. allows can improve the spatial resolution < 100 µm for 8 mm drift cells in He based gas mixtures

29/20

IDEA DCH geometry (simulation)

The wire anchoring system:

- Field wire board: 4 mm x 200 μm G10(FR4);
- Spacer: made of polycarbonate, instead of holes it is drawn with spokes but with the same area ratio.
- Sense wire board: 1 cm x 200 µm G10(FR4) plus components:

1) termination resistance: 1.6 mm x 800 μm x 450 μm Aluminum;

2) HV Capacitance: 3.17 mm x 1.57 mm x 1.7 mm Aluminum;

3) HV resistance (only downstream): 5 mm x 2.5 mm x 550 μm Aluminum.

IDEA tacking system – ly1 - SVX

Layer	R [mm]	L [mm]	Si eq. thick. [µm]	X ₀ [%]	pixel size [mm²]	area [cm²]	# of channels
1	17	±110	300	0.3	0.02×0.02	235	60M
2	23	±150	300	0.3	0.02 × 0.02	434	110M
3	31	±200	300	0.3	0.02 × 0.02	780	200M
4	320	±2110	450	0.5	0.05 × 1.0	85K	170M
5	340	±2245	450	0.5	0.05×1.0	96K	190M

Disks	R _{in} [mm]	R _{out} [mm]	z [mm]	Si eq. thick. [µm]	X ₀ [%]	pixel size [mm²]	area [cm²]	# of channels
1	62	300	±400	300	0.3	0.05 × 0.05	5.4K	220M
2	65	300	±420	300	0.3	0.05×0.05	5.4K	220M
3	138	300	±900	300	0.3	0.05×0.05	4.4K	180M
4	141	300	±920	300	0.3	0.05 × 0.05	4.4K	180M

IDEA tacking system – ly1 - DCH

		R	n	R	ut	Z					
		[mr	n]	[mr	m]	[mm]			active volume	50 m ³	
drift chambe	r	35	0	200	00	±200	0		readout	112 806	r.o. from both
service area	a	35	0	200	. 00	±(2000÷:	2250)		channels	112,090	ends
	inr	ner all	ga	as	wires	outer	servi	ce	max drift time	400 ns	800 × 8 bit at 2 GHz
thickness [mm]	0	.2	10	00	1000	20	250)			
X ₀ [%]	0.	08	0.	07	0.13	1.2	4.5	;			

# of layers	112	min 11.8 mm – max 14.9 mm
# of cells	56448	192 at 1 st – 816 at last layer
average cell size	13.9 mm	min 11.8 mm – max 14.9 mm
average stereo angle	134 mrad	min 43 mrad – max 223 mrad
transverse resolution	100 µm	80 µm with cluster timing
longitudinal resolution	750 µm	600 µm with cluster timing

IDEA tacking system - tentative layout

		Base Line	Option 1	Option 2	
		value	value	value	dim.
	R _{in}	345	200*	250	mm
	R _{out}	2000	2150	2000	mm
	active area length	4000 4000		4000	mm
Geometry is not	total length	4500 4500		4500	mm
yet optimized:	total cells	56448	34560	52704	n.
	layers	112	96	112	n.
	Superlayers	14	12	14	n.
	Layers per Superlay.	8	8	8	n.
	phi sector	12	12	12	n.
	smaller cell	11.85	14.2	11.65	mm
	larger cell	14.7	22.5	15.25	mm
	min. stereo angle	48	25	35	mrad
	max. stereo angle	250	240	245	mrad

* not over the entire length, to avoid overlap with beam pipe etc. A possible construction strategy is available.

BARREL:

FORWARD:

34/20

BARREL:

35/20

FORWARD:

BARREL:

FORWARD:

IDEA tracking system – Expected tracking performance (single muon as function of **9**)

IDEA tracking system – Expected tracking performance (single pi+ at fixed θ =65deg)

Note: DCH layout differs a bit from the final IDEA one, only to compare the relative effects

Transverse Momentum Resolution

Cluster Counting/Timing and P.Id. expected performance

In He based gas mixtures the signals from each ionization act can be spread in time to few ns. With the help of a fast read-out electronics they can be efficiently identify.

Counting the number of ionization acts per unit length (dN/dx) is possible to identify the particles (P.Id.) with a better resolution than dE/dx method.

truncated mean cut (70-80%) reduces the amount of collected information. n = 112 and a 2m track at 1 atm give $\sigma \approx 4.3\%$

 $\delta_{cl}{=}$ 12.5/cm for He/iC_4H_{10}{=}90/10 and a 2m track give

 $\sigma \approx 2.0\%$

Moreover, C.C. may improve the spatial resolution < 100 µm for 8 mm drift cells in He based gas mixtures

