

LIME background simulation

CYGNO general meeting – 3/06/2021

F. Di Giambattista

LIME background rate calculation

- Simulation of LIME external background underground at LNGS (gamma and neutron external flux)
- Internal background was already *partially* simulated – we assume a rate of $0.5\text{-}1 \times 10^5$ events/yr
- Different shielding configurations:
 - No shield (air)
 - 5cm Cu
 - 10cm Cu / 5cm Pb + 5cm Cu
 - 40cm water + 10cm Cu / 40cm water + 5cm Pb + 5cm Cu
- Motivation:
 - To assess the best shielding configuration for underground operation (Lead vs Copper)
 - To verify the feasibility of the use of the new camera acquisition mode for the DAQ

5cm Cu vs no shield

- No shield

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(9.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^8$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(3.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^8$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (4088 ± 130) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (2616 ± 105) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (1480 ± 80) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (460 ± 50) events/yr (0-20 keV)

- 5cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(5.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^7$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (7180 ± 170) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (3180 ± 110) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (1300 ± 70) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (680 ± 50) events/yr (0-20 keV)

5cm (Pb/Cu) + 5cm Cu

- 10cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(4.05 \pm 0.07) \times 10^6$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(8.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (2850 ± 45) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (1590 ± 30) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (895 ± 20) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (509 ± 15) events/yr (0-20 keV)

With Cu: 8.0×10^5 ER/yr (0-20keV)

With Pb: 2.3×10^5 ER/yr (0-20keV)

Internal background:

$0.5\text{-}1.0 \times 10^5$ ER/yr (0-20keV)

- 5cm lead + 5cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(1.15 \pm 0.05) \times 10^6$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(2.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (6000 ± 160) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (2610 ± 104) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (1180 ± 70) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (580 ± 50) events/yr (0-20 keV)

Radioactivity from $^{210}\text{Bi}^*$:

(ER): $(6.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^4$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

OPERA lead: * $(80\text{Bq/kg} \times 5658.7\text{kg of lead} = 452693 \text{ Bq})$

40cm Water + 5cm (Pb/Cu) + 5cm Cu

- 40cm water + 10cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(4.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(9.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (18.5 ± 1.0) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (5.3 ± 0.5) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (1.9 ± 0.2) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (1.1 ± 0.1) events/yr (0-20 keV)

With Cu: 9.1×10^4 ER/yr (0-20keV)

With Pb: 3.7×10^4 ER/yr (0-20keV)

Internal background:

$0.5-1.0 \times 10^5$ ER/yr (0-20keV)

- 40cm water + 5cm lead + 5cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (13.2 ± 0.2) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (5.6 ± 0.1) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (2.73 ± 0.07) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (1.50 ± 0.05) events/yr (0-20 keV)

Radioactivity from $^{210}\text{Bi}^*$:

(ER): $(6.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^4$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

OPERA lead: * $(80\text{Bq/kg} \times 5658.7\text{kg} = 452693 \text{ Bq})$

40cm Water + 5cm Pb + 5cm Cu

Giulia did a cross-check on my simulation of this shielding configuration and found a bug – now it's fixed

CYGNNO-MC-Analysis run on Giulia's sim:

- Equivalent time of this simulation:
t_eq = 0.116643 days
Flux after 40cm water: $0.0407 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
(ER): $1.4 \times 10^5 \text{ evts/yr}$ (total)
(ER): $(2.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^4 \text{ evts/yr}$ (0-20 keV)

- **40cm water + 5cm lead + 5cm copper**

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

Time equivalent **0.112269 days**

Flux after 40cm of water: $0.04075 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$

(ER): $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5 \text{ events/yr}$ (total)

(ER): $(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4 \text{ events/yr}$ (0-20 keV)

The results are consistent

40cm Water + 5cm (Pb/Cu) + 5cm Cu

- 40cm water + 10cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(4.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(9.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (18.5 ± 1.0) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (5.3 ± 0.5) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (1.9 ± 0.2) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (1.1 ± 0.1) events/yr (0-20 keV)

With Cu: 9.1×10^4 ER/yr (0-20keV)

With Pb: 9.6×10^4 ER/yr (0-20keV)

Internal background:

$0.5\text{-}1.0 \times 10^5$ ER/yr (0-20keV)

- 40cm water + 5cm lead + 5cm copper

External gammas ($0.56 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER): $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

External neutrons ($2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

(ER+NR): (13.2 ± 0.2) events/yr (total)

(ER+NR): (5.6 ± 0.1) events/yr (0-20 keV)

(Only NR): (2.73 ± 0.07) events/yr (total)

(Only NR): (1.50 ± 0.05) events/yr (0-20 keV)

Radioactivity from $^{210}\text{Bi}^*$:

(ER): $(2.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ events/yr (total)

(ER): $(8 \pm 1) \times 10^4$ events/yr (0-20 keV)

*($300 \text{Bq/kg} \times 5658.7 \text{kg of lead} = 1697610 \text{ Bq}$)

Summary

*Internal background (ER) is set to 1×10^5 ER/yr = 0.00317 ER/s

**Internal background (NR) is set to 282 NR/yr = 8.9×10^{-6} NR/s

<u>Shielding</u>	<u>Rate (external)</u>				<u>Total rate (ext + int)</u>	
	[ER/s]	[ER/yr]	[NR/s]	[NR/yr]	[ER+NR/s]	[ER+NR/yr]
No shield	28.9	9×10^8	4.7×10^{-5}	1480	28.9	9×10^8
5cm Cu	1.6	5×10^7	4.1×10^{-5}	1300	1.6	5×10^7
10cm Cu	0.13	4×10^6	2.8×10^{-5}	895	0.13	4.1×10^6
5cm Pb+5cm Cu	0.039	1.2×10^6	3.7×10^{-5}	1180	0.042	1.3×10^6
40cm water+10cm Cu	0.013	4×10^5	6.0×10^{-8}	1.9	0.016	5×10^5
40cm water+5cm Pb+5cm Cu	0.007	2×10^5	8.7×10^{-8}	2.7	0.01	3×10^5

*calculated in 0-20 keV – total rate could be higher
 **from CYGNO simulations, scaled to 1/18

Conclusions

- The (OPERA) **lead** shield seems to lead to a lower background rate (unlike the case of CYGNO, where radioactivity is more significant)
 - With *higher* radioactivity lead, the background rate can reach the same order of magnitude obtained with copper (same order of the internal background, 10^5 events/yr)
- The event rate without shielding is 30 events/second, down to 1-2 events/second with 5cm copper, and lower than 1 event/second with any other additional shielding
- *To do:* full internal background simulation, including the field cage resistors