A plasma source for laser-plasma accelerators

N. C. Lopes GoLP/IPFN-LA, Instituto Superior Técnico Lisboa, Portugal

S

0

P/IPFN

(7

Outline

Laser-plasma accelerators introduction
 Scaling laws for electron accelerators
 Initial work at plasma channeling (IST and UCLA)
 Discharges on structured gas cell as a plasma source for e⁻ acc.
 DC discharge for plasma smoothing
 8 cm plasma channel status
 e⁻ beam injection on plasma channels
 Conclusions

Where is the mark on the LPA roadmap?

≥2004 - mono-energetic e⁻ beams demo

S.P.D. Mangles et al., Nature 431, 535 (2004), C.G.R. Geddes et al., Nature 431, 538 (2004), J. Faure et al., Nature 431, 541 (2004)

2006 - I GeV mono-energetic beam demo

▶ W. P. Leemans et al., Nature Phys. 2, 696 (2006)

2006 - some injection control

J. Faure, et al., Nature 444, 737 (2006)

2007 - scaling laws for LPA's

W. Lu, et al., Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 10, 061301 (2007)

2006 - some applications

electron radiography, S. P. D. Mangles, et al., Laser and Particle Beams, 24 (1), pp. 185 (2006)

N.C. Lopes || Frascati, May 18 2010

- visible light by periodic B, H. P. Schlenvoigt, et al., Nature Physics 4, 130 (2008)
- UV light by periodic B, M. Fucks, et al., Nature Physics 5, 226 (2009)

Scaling laws for laser-plasma accelerators *

Three main regimes

Bubble

- electrons move faster than laser
- for n_e=10¹⁹ cm⁻³ requires a₀=20 or 1=2x10²¹ W cm⁻²

$$a_0 \ge \sqrt{2\frac{n_c}{n_p}}$$

$$\Delta E \left[GeV \right] \simeq 0.018 \frac{P \left[TW \right]}{a_0}$$

for circ. pol. laser, for lin. pol laser is 1.4 times higher

Blowout - self-guiding

- ▷ intensity enough for relativistic guiding $P > P_c$
- ▶ matched beam spot size condition $k_p R = k_p w_0 = 2 (a_0)^{0.5}$
- ▶ requires $a_0 > 3-4$ for injection

Blowout - external-guiding

- intensity enough for electron cavitation
- requires preformed plasma channel
- requires an external injection mechanism

$$\Delta E \left[GeV \right] \simeq 0.16 \frac{P \left[TW \right]}{a_0^2}$$

 $a_0 = 2$

*W. Lu, et al., Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 10, 061301 (2007)

Example: scaling for ELI

Laser energy on target			100 mJ IkHz	1.5J 100 Hz	45 J 10 Hz
Blowout	Self guiding	τ [fs]	9.8	24.2	65.8
		W₀ [µm]	4.4	10.9	29.6
		n _e [10 ¹⁹ cm ⁻³]	23.2	3.83	0.63
		L [mm]	0.2	3.3	54.6
		ΔE [GeV]	0.1	0.62	4.59
		Q [nC]	0.13	0.31	1.04
	External guiding	τ [fs]	15.6	38.4	119.2
		W₀ [µm]	7.0	17.3	53.7
		n _e [10 ¹⁹ cm ⁻³]	4.62	0.76	0.08
		L [mm]	1.8	26.4	793.1
		ΔE [GeV]	0.26	1.56	15.06
		Q [nC]	0.1	0.25	0.78
Bubble		τ [fs]	6.6	12.2	26.8
		W₀ [µm]	2.0	3.7	8.I
		n _e [10 ¹⁹ cm ⁻³]	57.3	24.92	8.74
		L [mm]	0.03	0.2	1.8
		ΔE [GeV]	0.03	0.18	1.5
		Q [nC]	0.55	1.54	5.71

N. C. Lopes | | Frascati, May 18 2010

Medium-long term e- beam development

Laser

20 fs < τ < 120 fs, 1 J < E < 50 J, high stability, (current tech solution: diode pumped Ti: saphire CPA chain)</p>

Plasma channel

▷ 10^{17} cm⁻³ < n_{e axis} <5x10¹⁸ cm⁻³, 1 cm < L < 1 m

Injection (beam loading)

- self injection or colliding beams (dE/E <1%)</p>
- double stage or other scheme

for application needing...

short pulses and high currents: Free-Electron-Lasers (seeding required)
 electron beams synch. w/ laser: pump probe experiments
 compact broadband x and gamma-rays: betatron, compton scattering, ...

or tolerating...

- few percent dE/E
- beam Coulombian explosion (for high charge & low energy)
- non reproducible E and low rep. rate

Scaling laws for external guided high density LPA*

assumes

- matched propagation external guiding
- ▷ $a_0=2$ for electron blowout
- an external injection mechanism



Scaling laws for external guided low density LPA

assumes

- matched propagation external guiding
- ▷ $a_0=2$ for electron blowout
- an external injection mechanism



Plasma channels development started at IST in 2001

Why?

Initial motivation

- Decrease laser power for SM-LWFA
- Research line not critically dependent of laser
- Long term research program leading to proprietary technology (and economic return)
- have an electron beam at IST (this was before the monoenergetic beams)

Low power matched guiding in plasma channels*

assumes

- Gaussian beam
- ▷ Low power $(a_0 < I)$
- parabolic profile
- paraxial approximation

$$n_e(r) = n_{e0} + Ar^2$$

$$A = \Delta n_{ecrit} / r_0^2$$

$$\Delta n_{ecrit} = 1.1 \times 10^{20} \, / \, r_0^{\, 2} [\, \mu m]$$





* E. Esarey, et al., IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 24, 252 (1996)

N. C. Lopes || Frascati, May 18 2010

Early 2000's work on laser channeling (some)

- J. Roca's, U. Colorado work on x-ray lasers in capillary discharges
- H. Milchberg, U. Maryland plasma channels created in gases by focusing a a laser w/ a conical lens (a)
- Y, Ehrkich, Jerusalem U. plasma channels by ablation of plastic capillaries using HV discharges (b)
- Gaul, Texas U. plasma channels by heating a plasma created by laser w/ conic lens
- S. Hooker, Oxford U. plasma channels by a discharge in a capillary filled with H2 (c)

New method: free expansion plasma channels*

Helium ionization

- Bap ≈ I cm
- ▶ helium working pressure \approx 100 500 mbar \approx 5 x 10¹⁸ cm⁻³
- Voltage = 20 kV
- Electron mean energy $\approx 15 \text{ eV}$
- ▶ helium ionization potentials UI=24.6 eV, U2=54.4 ev



Intense laser triggering

- Laser creates about 1 mm of fully ionized plasma
- Electric field accelerates electrons to > I keV
- Laser triggering allows
 - ▶ Fast ionization
 - Straight plasmas
 - No jitter

* "Plasma channels produced by a laser-triggered high-voltage discharge",
 N. C. Lopes et al., Phys. Rev. E. 68, 035402 (2003)

Demonstration of channeling formation



- Reproducible reproduction of straight plasma lines ϕ =150 μ m
- Development of high density (>5X10¹⁸ cm⁻³) parabolic plasma channels
- Experiment to difficult, scheme ok for lab with two lasers
- Free expansion seems to work well



N. C. Lopes || Frascati, May 18 2010

Discharges on strutured gas cells - IST 2006

≥Why

- (almost) free radial expansion
- no laser triggering of discharge
- fast gas filling (reduced leak compared with capillary)
- different zones of pressure/gas
 composition

Mow

- hollow conic electrodes + sequence of dielectric apertures
- use a short rise-time high-voltage and current pulse
- ▶ use H2



Discharges on strutured gas cells - IST 2006

Gas cell

- 2 cm long, 9 sub-cells
- copper electrodes
- dielectric apertures to fix initial plasma size and position
- aperture diameters 100 300 µm
- no laser triggering and radial plasma expansion
- possibility of different density regions
- gas injection up to 500 mBar in 10 ms
- vacuum up to 10-3 mbar between shots
- optical access for diagnostics
- scalable to multi-GeV energies



Structured gas cell: HV pulse generator

High-voltage pulse generator

- capacitor discharge (20 kV)
- pulse transformer (5 x)
- I25 Ohm output impedance
- up to 100 kV /125 Ω/ 1kA pulse
 rise time: ~ 20 ns
 duration: ~ 200 ns
- may use magnetic pulse compression for rise time: < 5 ns</p>
- synchronization with laser (1 ns jitter)



Schematic of the channel test facility at L21



Experimental results - plasma density profile



Experimental results - Low power guiding



Experimental results - e⁻ acceleration prospects



- dephasing length is ~ II cm
- max. energy gain (for the deph. length) ~ 8 GeV
- plasma wall $\Delta ne \sim 10^{18}$ cm⁻³, channel radius $\sim 500 \ \mu m$
- matched prop. spot radius ~ 45 μ m
- requires laser pulse with ~ 10 J, 70 fs

Reproducibility/symmetry/uniformity issues



obtained with HV magnetic compression (<4 ns voltage risetime)

Problem

- deeper channels require short HV rise-times and higher currents
- short rise-times strongly increase the probability of hot-spots and non-uniform/non-reproducible plasmas

🖗 Solution

 produce the main discharge over a partially ionized uniform gas background Electric setup for a glow pre-discharge

- 🖉 Simmer discharge (DC)
 - 20 kV power supply
 - slow rise time (ms)
 - inductors block
 main HV pulse
 - resistor limits current ($R = 20 M\Omega$) to ~ ImA





Time sequence with pre-discharge



Time

N. C. Lopes || Frascati, May 18 2010

Pre-discharge signature



N. C. Lopes | | Frascati, May 18 2010

Reproducible and smooth discharges

Overlapping 20 consecutive shots

HV pulse only





Reproducible and smooth plasma channels



Pre-discharge allow for reproducible and symmetric plasma channels
 Was not possible to make guiding experiments (KA system required)
 Plasma channels seem to be robust enough to try increase the length of the gas cell approaching the dephasing length (~10 cm)

Reproducible and smooth plasma channels



- matched guiding for 40-50 μm spot sizes
- $n_{e0} = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- 5J Laser requires
 - $n_{e0}=3.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
 - ▹ spot size 25 µm
 - lenght 10 cm
- Next experiment will try to reach these goals with a new pulser with >2 kA discharge

8 cm long structured gas cell

 ▶ 8cm: a compromise to between what we now (2 cm) and what we need (12 cm)
 ▶ 150 micron apertures: decrease the plasma diameter
 ▶ duplicate the lenght of the cells 2.5 mm → 5mm
 ▶ increase the height of the dielectric apertures: reduce parasitic discharges

Goal: a step forward on a plasma cell we could use w/ advantage in current systems

8 cm long structured gas cell



8 cm long structured gas cell

- Parasitic discharges always present and more important
- Plasma not heated to full ionization
- Expansion not resulting in a plasma channel
- Pashen curves do not apply due to the sequence of small apertures
- 0.25 T longitudinal B field did not change the behavior

Rescue in progress

- > to pulse the DC discharge start on more favorable pressure
- increase the DC voltage from 14 kV to 40 kV
- increase complexity of gas cell (may reduce gas pressure uniformity)
- increase B Field (require embebed coils and high current pulse)
- Itrigger the plasma w/ a ~100 KeV electron beam (€, long term project)

Conclusions

- convincing demo for 2 cm plasma channels (quality, reproducibility)
- easy path for at least 4 cm channels
- easy (but not inexpensive) path for 10 Hz use
- >40 µm spot size matched propagation (more work needed to reduce it)
- current versions compatible with > GeV e⁻ beams
- system is working now inside a metalic big vacuum chamber

Thanks to...

EEA team - GoLP-IPFN-IST

- Carlos Russo
- Luis Cardoso
- Rodolfo Bendoyro
- Jiasheng Jiang

X-GoLP and GoLP

- M. Fajardo
- ▶ G. Figueira
- ▶ J. Dias
- EPP team (Osiris simulations)