Dipartimento di Fisica e Geologia Università degli Studi di Perugia Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Perugia Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche Istituto Officina dei Materiali di Perugia

ALESSANDRO ROSSI

# PHP2021: Physics Highlights Perugia 2020/21 Alta luminosità ad LHC: la Fase2 del Tracciatore di CMS

INFN



•

#### Large Hadron Collider







#### **Energy Scale**



INFN

- High Energy
  - Needed for Higgs production!
  - o ...and whatever other particle(s) is there!
- High Intensity (i.e. Luminosity)
  - Interesting processes quite rare
  - Standard Model testing at high precision needs as many data as possible!





#### Large Hadron Collider Acceleratore di 27 km circumference



**EXAMPLE 1** 











General Pourpose Experiments:
Extensive Physical program
Cover all type of measurement

possible at LHC











CMS

#### Dedicated experiment: - b Physics at small angles

AT









CMS

Dedicated experiment: - Heavy Ion Physics

- Quark-gluon plasma







INFN









#### SOME RESULTS



INFŃ

#### • RUN-1

- 2009-2013 Standard Model re-calibration
- 2010 Long-range near-side correlation observation (QGP in p-p?)
- o 2012 Higgs Boson Discovery!





#### SOME RESULTS











- Consolidate Run-2 observation
  - Ie: H $\rightarrow$ ff, VH, ttH, VV, VBS,...
  - separately in different channels
  - differential measurements
  - systematics becoming relevant

0 ...

- Run 3 provides the opportunity to implement novel trigger and new analysis methods and approaches
- o i.e.: Data "Scouting"
  - Also known as trigger-level analysis
  - HLT-reconstructed events with reduced event-content
    - o size ~ 1.5 kB/evt
    - rate ~ 5 kHz established
  - No raw data stored
  - No prompt reconstruction

#### Machine Upgrades:

- 13  $\rightarrow$  14 TeV: higher mass reach
- Additional 250 fb-1: factor ~1.7

#### **Detector Upgrades:**

- Pixel: Layer1 replacement
- HCAL barrel: install SiPM
- Muon system: install GEM GE1/1 chambers; Upgrade CSC FEE; Shielding against neutron background
- Trigger: heterogeneous Computing at HLT



#### WHAT NEXT?

• Substantial upgrade of the accelerator: HL-LHC (high luminosity LHC)



INFN PERUGIA

- Push the reach of precision measurements despite the very complex experimental environment
  - Precision Higgs Measurements
  - Higgs Self Coupling
  - Precision Electroweak Measurements
  - Extend BSM searches to smaller production cross sections
  - Precision measurements of rare B decays
  - Heavy Ion Physics





#### **Vector Boson Scattering**

- Scattering processes with Vector Bosons (V=W,Z):
  - Reduced QCD activity between the tag jets
  - no color flow between interacting quarks
  - Large pseudorapidity separation and invariant mass between initial quarks (tag jets)
  - $\circ~$  Purely electroweak process  $O(\alpha^{6}{}_{\text{EM}})$  at LO
  - Direct access to Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking without Higgs
  - Probe particularly sensitive to contributions from new physics thanks to the quartic vertex



- 4 LO Feynman diagrams for ssWW processess
  - EWK ssWW: pure EWK processes (signal)
  - QCD ssWW: QCD interactions between partons (irreducible background)
- QCD and EWK ssWW production processes have similar xSec
- Boson polarization could be an additional probe



### **Effective Field Theory**

. . .

Events



- Direct detection of New Pbysics could be out of the possible experimental reach
  - Push the experimental limits in a model independent way
  - Measurement of Effective Field Theory parameters

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i} \left( \frac{c_i^{(n)}}{\Lambda^n} \right)_i^{(n+4)}$$

- Power series of all the possible parameters
- Enanchement on distribution tails
- Some processes mediated by effective operator could violate unitarity
  - Add bounds to constraint unitarity





### LHC Schedule



INFN

- High Luminosity upgrade after LS3
- Peak Luminosity ~7.5x10<sup>34</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- Expected Pile-up ~200
- Higher rates and radiation dose wrt Run3





[cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>]

uminosity.

- Crab cavities
- (some) New Magnets (11T)
- Civil engineering:
  - New acces shafts
  - New service tunnels
  - ...and more!





### Phase2 Pile Up



INFŃ

- Run2: Mean PileUp 37
- Run3:
  - Mean PileUp ~55
- Phase2
  - Mean PileUp 140-200!

Pile Up: Number of interactions in a single bunch crossing









#### CMS Phase2 Upgrade



#### Trigger/HLT/DAQ















## High Luminosity Requirements



- Increased granularity: In order to ensure efficient tracking performance with a high level of pileup
- **Reduced material in the tracking volume:** The exploitation of the high luminosity will greatly benefit from a lighter tracker
- **Contribution to the level-1 trigger**: The selection of interesting physics events at the first trigger stage becomes extremely challenging at high luminosity
- **Extended tracking acceptance**: The overall CMS physics capabilities will greatly benefit from an extended acceptance of the tracker
- Radiation tolerance: The upgraded tracker must be fully efficient up to a target integrated luminosity of 3000fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Outer layers "far away" from interaction point will see >10<sup>14</sup>MeV neutron equivalent fluence
  - more than innermost strip tracker layers at 20 cm for today's trackers after 10 years of LHC running





#### Why change the current Tracker



INFŃ



- A big part of current strip tracker will become completely in-operational due to either leakage current or full depletion voltage limitations at 1 ab<sup>-1</sup>
  - full tracker replacement needed for HL-LHC program





#### Phase-2 CMS Inner Tracker



INFN



• **TBPX** : **T**racker **B**arrel **P**i**X**el

• TEPX : Tracker Endcap PiXel

• **TFPX** : **T**racker **F**orward **P**i**X**el



### Inner Tracker Overview



INFŃ

- 3892 modules
- $2 \times 10^9$  pixels ( $124 \times 10^6$  in Phase-1 upgrade)
- 4.9 m<sup>2</sup>
- Hybrid modules with:
  - 2 (1 × 2) readout chips (1156 modules)
  - 4 (2 × 2) readout chips (2736 modules)
- Occupancy < 0.1%</li>
- Coverage up to |η| = 4.0

Simple mechanics:

TFPX

TBPX

- Can be removed for maintenance
- Barrel splits in half at z ~ 0
- Disks with flat geometry (no turbines)

Features a two-phase CO<sub>2</sub> cooling system (nominal T of the coolant -35°C)

TEPX

• 50-60 kW power budget

Luminosity monitor with TEPX

TEPX Disk 4 Ring 1: fully dedicated to BRIL (Beam Radiation Instrumentation and Luminosity)





- Read Out Chip (ROC)
  - Being developed by the RD53
     Collaboration
  - For both ATLAS and CMS inner detectors
  - $\circ$  low-threshold ( $\lesssim$  1000 e- )
  - high hit and trigger rate:
    - 160 Mbps control & up to 4 x 1.28 Gbps output links

• Simple design



- High Density Interconnection (HDI)
  - Flexible PCB containing only passive components
  - o 2 to 4 ROCs per module
  - HV capable up to 1000 V





#### Sensors: type and geometry

PHP2021



- expected signal / threshold > 3 at  $\Phi_{eq} \approx 8 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>
- Track density → reduce pixel size by factor of six
   Different geometry under study
  - 25 x 100  $\mu m^2$ , 50 x 50  $\mu m^2$ , 25 x 100  $\mu m^2$  «bricked»
- High efficiency → pixel cell design
   Isolation, biasing scheme, layout details
- Study n-in-p planar sensors
- 3D sensors under investigation for layer 1 (6% of the total area) and innermost layer of the TFP







### **Serial Powering**



- Upgraded Inner Tracker power consumption: 50 kW
- ROC in 65 nm technology and with high granularity:
  - high supply current
- Direct parallel powering requires too much material



- The serial powering is the unique scheme compatible with HL-LHC physics
- All the elements in a chain see the same current (by construction) while the voltage is equally shared if all elements represent the very same and constant load
- This is the task of the Shunt LDO: no additional ancillary components are needed



#### Phase-2 CMS Outer Tracker





• **TBPS** : Tracker Barrel with **PS** modules • **TEDD** : Tracker Endcap Double Disk

• TB2S : Tracker Barrel with 2S modules



### Phase-2 CMS Outer Tracker



INFN



- Outer Tracker coverage up to η~2.5
   Tracking up to η~4 thanks to InnerTracker
- Two different type of technology: microstrips and macro-pixels
- Tilted barrel geometry
  - o Better trigger performances
  - o Reduction on number of modules



### Tracks for L1 Trigger



INFŃ

- HL-LHC will deliver an high instantaneus luminosity with a high PileUp
- It's fundamental to be more selective at L1 trigger in order to keep data rate under control

Include Tracks on L1 decision





Today's L1 threshold at 200 PU ~ 4 MHz

- Tracks needed for trigger decision
- Lepton threshold improvement
- Possibility for new triggers (e.g.
- displaced or disappearing tracks)

### Tracks for L1 Trigger



INFŃ

- HL-LHC will deliver an high instantaneus luminosity with a high PileUp
- It's fundamental to be more selective at L1 trigger in order to keep data rate under control

Include Tracks on L1 decision

- Most of charged particles have low p<sub>T</sub>
- Perform a  $p_T$  selection at readout level in order to reduce the L1 tracking input data size





### **Tracks for L1 Trigger**







4.0



#### Phase-2 Tracker Modules



INFŃ



- Two type of modules:
  - o 2S Modules
    - 2 different spacing : 1.8mm & 4mm
    - 2 micro strip sensors with 5cm x 90µm strips
    - Sensor dimension are 10cm x 10cm
      - o two column of 1016 strips



- PS Modules
  - 3 different spacing : 1.6mm & 2.6mm & 4mm
  - One strip sensor: 2.5cm x 100µm strips
  - One macro Pixel sensor : 1.5mm x 100µm pixels
  - Sensor dimension 5cm x 10 cm
    - two column of 960 strips
    - o <u>~30k pixels</u>

PHP2021



#### **Modules Service Systems**





- Module houses both frontend and service hybrids
- Service hybrid(s) has:
  - o lpGBT
    - Low Power Gigabit Transceiver
  - o VTRx+
    - Versatile Link Plus Transceiver
  - DCDC converters
- Frontend hybrids have readout chip and data concentartor

HL-LHC common development



#### **Modules Service Systems**





#### Module houses both

- Each module is a functional unit individually connected to:
  - backend power system
  - DTC (Data, Trigger and Control) system via Optical link
  - no token control rings
    no intermediate power grouping

readout chip and data concentartor



#### 2S module design






## 2S module design









## PS module design











- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs









- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs







- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs





INFŃ



Strip-strip Module (2S)



- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs

#### **Binary Read Out**

- No info about collected charge
- Binary (0/1) info for each strip







#### **STUB:**

- ightarrow Position on Bottom sensors/MAPSA
  - Cluster postion, half strip precision
- ightarrow Bend information
  - difference between top/bottom position

- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs









- Strip-strip Module (2S)
  - CMS Binary Chip (CBC) reads both sensor and identify stubs
- Pixel-Strip Module (PS)
  - Short-strip ASIC (SSA) sends strip cluster and L1 data to the MPA which combines with pixel information and create stubs
- CIC concentrator chip
  - Receives stubs and L1-data and pack them



## Data AcQuisition



- DTC (Data, Trigger and Control) boards readout and control module
  - ACTA standard
- Bi-directional optical links
  - $\circ$  2.56 Gb/s DTC  $\rightarrow$  Module
    - clock, trigger, fast-commands and programming
  - $\circ$  5.12 or 10.24 Gb/s Module  $\rightarrow$  DTC
    - L1 and DAQ data
- L1 data at 40 MHz
- DAQ data (after L1) at 750 kHz





## L1-tracking constraints and requirements



- ~15,000 stubs per bunch crossing @ 200 PU → Stub bandwidth O(20) Tb/s OFEN
- ~4 μs available for track finding (12.5 μs total L1 latency)
- Present solution derived from two all-FPGA developments:
  - Time-Multiplexed Track Trigger (TMTT)
  - Tracklet algorithm
- Both **tested on HW demonstrator** to measure latency and estimate resource utilization and performance





## L1-tracking: aglorithms



- Time-Multiplexed Track Trigger
  - $\circ~$  8 Geometrical Division in  $\varphi$
  - Some data duplication
  - Hough Transform
    - From r  $\phi$  to  $q/p_T$   $\phi_0$  plane
  - o Kalman Filter
    - Repeat until all stubs are added
    - $\chi 2$  used to reject false candidates



• Tracklet Method



- $\circ~$  28 Geometrical Division in  $\varphi$
- No data duplication
- Road search

#### Latency ~3.3µm

- Pair of adjacent layers used to form seed called a tracklet
- $\circ$  Linearized  $\chi 2$  fit
  - Complex calculations pre-computed and stored in look-up tables
  - Remove duplicates by checking for shared stubs and retain track with the lowest  $\chi 2/\text{ndf}$







## L1-tracking: aglorithms





## **Tilted Barrel Geometry**













## **Tilted Barrel Geometry**







## **Material Budget**



INFN



 Material budget much reduced wrt Phase0/1 detector despite an increase in the number of channels



PHP2021



## **Powering & Cooling**







**2S** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

•

 $\bigcirc$ 

PS

## Some highlights from beam tests



7.8°

20 At (ns)





## Performances: Phase-1 vs Phase-2



Track parameters resolution of Phase-2
 Significant extention at higher η tracker improve wrt Phase-1



• Higher granularity and less material





## **Performances: High PileUp**



- Dip around ±1.2n due to Barrel/endcap transition in Inner Tracker
  - Due to TDR geometry, reduced by a factor  $\sim 2$  o Fake rate below 2(4)% at 140(200)PU 0 with optimized geometry



High tracking efficiency (~90%) also at 200PU







## MODULE ASSEMBLY



- Quite complex assembly procedure
- Stringent mechanical requirements
  - Precise sensor-to-sensor alignment crucial for stubs finding algorythm
  - Main constriants:
    - Shift  $\perp$  to strip: <50 $\mu$ m
    - Shift // to strip: <100µm
    - Relative sensors rotation: <400µm
    - Distance between sensors: ±100µm wrt nominal





#### **MODULE ASSEMBLY**











- Assembly based on fixture
   R&D almost completed
- Pre-production  $\rightarrow$  2021
- Production  $\rightarrow$  2022/2024







- CMS will restart to take data soon!
  - Probably in 2022 Q1
  - Run-3 operation will last at least 3 years
- ...meanwhile:
  - Complete the R&D and start the production of all the pieces needed for the HL-LHC phase







# ADDENDUM: MUONE

Introduction

68

- Anomalous magnetic moment of a lepton as precison test for SM
  - Can be (very) precisely calculated in SM framework
  - But... it's flavor dependent!

- Electron
  - $\circ~g_{\rm e}\mbox{-}2$  determined with high precision
  - Sensitivity to new particles limited by a ~(m/M)<sup>2</sup> factor
- Muon
  - Sensitivity to an higher mass region [GeV, TeV]
  - State of art: 3σ discrepancy from SM prediction









## State of the art





$$a_{\mu}(exp) = 11659209.1(5.4)_{stat}(3.3)_{syst} \cdot 10^{-10}$$

$$a_{\mu}(exp) - a_{\mu}(SM) = (27 \pm 7) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

- Most precise measurement from E821 at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL)
- Muon g-2 experiment at FNAL want to inprove the accuracy by a factor 4

If  
1. Theory remain as it is  
2. No relevant change to central value  
then  

$$\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}(exp) - a_{\mu}(the) = 6.7\sigma$$







- Traditionally computed via a dispersion integral using hadronic production cross sections in electron-positron annihilation at low energies
- QCD lactice calculation still not competitive
- A novel approach proposed: MUonE
  - $\circ~$  A high precision measurement of  $a_{\mu}{}^{\text{HLO}}$  with a 160 GeV  $\mu$  beam on e  $^{-}$  target at CERN
  - hadronic contribution to the effective electromagnetic coupling, ΔαH(q2) for space-like squared four-momentum transfers q<sup>2</sup> = t < 0, via scattering data</li>

$$\begin{aligned} a^{HLO}_{\mu} &= \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-x) \Delta \alpha_{had}(t(x)) dx \\ t(x) &= \frac{x^2 m_{\mu}^2}{x-1} \quad (0 \leq -t \leq +\infty) \qquad \qquad \text{t:m} \end{aligned}$$

t : momentum trasfered in the reaction



## How to measure?



INFŃ

•  $\Delta \alpha_{had}$  (t) can be extracted from  $\mu$ -e elastic scattering using a high energy muon beam (E~160 GeV) on electron low-Z target



- Experimental kinematic limit
   0 < -t < 0.161GeV<sup>2</sup>
  - or
  - o 0< x <0.93
- MUonE will measure ~87% of the area
  - Can be extrapolated to the 100% with functional model of  $\Delta \alpha_{had}$  (t)


# Key element



INFŃ

- The key elemet to achieve the precision required is the measure of the scattering angles Muon scattering angle (mrad)
- **Experimental needs:** 
  - PID to separate electron and  $\bigcirc$ muon
    - ECAL +  $\mu$ -filter
  - Precise tracking for angles Ο
    - Tracker
  - Electron energy measurement Ο to add redoundancy and reduce systematics
    - ECAL



Electron scattering angle (mrad)



### The Detector







### A Tracking Station





- Requirements:
  - Dimensions: 10 cm x 10 cm
  - Single hit resolution:  $\sigma \leq 20 \ \mu m$
  - Fast timing (we have to cope with O(50 MHz) μ)
  - $\circ$  Thickness: d ≤ 300 μm



# Technology



INFŃ

- Best solution:
  - o 2S module from CMS
  - Or better 2 x 2S module for each plane
    - To get x-y info





- Enough spatial resolution
- Stub instead of hits
- Fast stub readout O(40MHz)







- For particle ID and background rejection
- Radiation hardness is required because of the intense μ beam
- Based on CMS ECAL system
  - PWO crystal
  - APD sensors
  - FEE based on MGPA chip + 12bit ADC
  - DAQ based on Serenity board
  - Laser Calibration System





The Beam

- 160GeV/c muon beam @ M2 CERN SPS
- Beam spot size at the entrance of MUonE
  - $\circ \sigma_x = 26 \text{mm}$
  - $\circ \sigma_v = 27 \text{mm}$
- Very small beam divergence  $\circ \sigma_{x'} = 0.3 \text{mrad}$ 
  - $\circ \sigma_{Y'} = 0.2 \text{mrad}$
- Momentum Resolution
  - At present BMS provides ~0.8%





Mean x -2.358 Mean v -13 15



# Trigger & DAQ



- The full DAQ system is based on the CMS Serenity Board (Track Trigger)
- No trigger foreseen
  - Stub info have sufficient information and resolution
  - A selection could be added to reduce the data rate on disk
- Expected beam rate 50MHz asyncronous wrt tracker readout (40MHz)
  - Optimization via threshold level and comparator operation mode optimization









### **Mechanics**



- TBPS
  - Flat Part: planks
  - Tilted Part: rings
- TB2S
  - Ladder support structure
- TEDD
  - Building block: DEE (half disk)
  - Double-Disk to be hermetic also with rectangular modules

Module type and variant		TBPS	TB2S	TEDD	Total per variant	Total per type
26	1.8 mm	0	4464	2792	7256	7680
23	4.0 mm	0	0	424	424	7080
PS	1.6 mm	826	0	0	826	5616
	2.6 mm	1462	0	0	1462	
	4.0 mm	584	0	2744	3328	
Total		2872	4464	5960	13296	









- Irradiation campaign to study the sensors behavior and perform a technology choice:
  - Take nominal expected max. fluences for outer (2S) and inner (PS) regions after 3000fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - o Consider the approximate mixture of neutrons and charged hadrons





### Irradiated Sensors at Beam Test

- Sensor irradiated with neutron only at JSI
- CBC3 readout chip (almost final)
- Charge collection reflected in hit efficiency as a function of threshold
  - o FZ290 can tolerate higher thresholds
  - $\circ~$  Only after long annealing (200 days) at ultimate  $5 \times 10^{14} \text{neq/cm}^2$  both materials are comparable
- dark noise occupancy was measured:
  - $\circ$  ~ lower than 10^{-5} while expected hit occupancy is  $^{\sim}10^{-2}$
  - Scale with annealing (current) and not with thickness











INFŃ

### • CERN M2 $\rightarrow$ between BMS and COMPASS

1/ µ-e setup upstream of present COMPASS experiment, i.e. within M2 beam-line

- More upstream of Entrance Area of EHN2 (Proposed by Johannes B. & Dipanwita B.)
- Will require the removal of some components





### **Tracker Readout**



- The tracker readout is a simplify version of the CMS backend
  - Communication between module and backend system established over a pair of optical fibers with IpGBT protocol
    - 2.56Gb/s downlink for Clock, fast command and slow control
    - 5.12Gb/s uplink for data transmission
  - MUonE will be without a trigger and readout the 40MHz stub data stream
    - Fraction of events with more than 3 stub on a CBC  $\leq 0.1\%$
    - Main constraint due to CIC
      - $\circ~$  16 stub transferred in 8BX per CIC  $\rightarrow$  32 stub in 16BX per module
      - 1.25 muon per BX expected  $\rightarrow$  enough room to handle fluctuations





### **DAQ Frontend**



- Serenity Board + 2 daughter card with KU15P
- A single Serenity card can handle 12 tracking station
  72 modules
- Expected data throughput
  - 16 bit for a stub
  - o 64 bit header
  - o 3 stub per BX
  - o 40Mhz rate
    - ~20Gb/s per station
  - ~240Gb/s ouput per Serenity

#### On the serenity

- Decode 8BX CIC packets into stubs per BX
  - Firmware being developed in CMS
  - Event packing custom for MUonE
- Online selection?
  - Assuming no selection at the moment, only potentially event tagging O
- Realistically some simple event selection could be enabled if required (e.g. stub counting) o
- In worst case, prescale can be applied, but probably unnecessary
- Transfer to local storage
  - Output formatting needs to be specified



### 2021 Pilot Run



- In Q4 of 2021 a MUonE pilot run is foreseen
  - 2 tracking station + 3 upstream planes (x,y) + ECAL prototype
  - 3 weeks of beam time at M2
  - $\circ~$  1 year to build and integrate the detector







- Studies to optimize the resolution
  - CMS beam test indicate that the best resolution is obtain with a tilt of ~15° (268mrad)





- Detailed simulation studies ongoing
  - Target: optimize the tilting angle



# Tracker Mechanics: enclosure

INFŃ





- Two patch panel for each couple of module
  - One for electrical and optical connections
  - One for hydraulic feedtrhoughs