

INAF OAS

BOLOGNA



Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory

2D thermodynamic maps dynamical state, scatter, and systematics

L. Lovisari & S. Ettori

contributions by: H. Bourdin, D. Eckert, F. De Luca, P. Mazzotta, M. Rossetti, F. Gastaldello, et al.

Observing the millimeter Universe with the NIKA2 camera 30/06/2021



Motivation

One of the key ingredients to use cluster number counting to constrain the cosmological parameters is a robust total cluster mass estimate.



the accuracy of X-ray cluster mass estimates is limited by physical processes in the ICM and projection effects

Motivation

One of the key ingredients to use cluster number counting to constrain the cosmological parameters is a robust total cluster mass estimate.



the accuracy of X-ray cluster mass estimates is limited by physical processes in the ICM and projection effects

Relate th HE mass bias to the dynamical state

Remove (any) dynamical state dependence of the bias

Dynamical state

Morphological parameters are very powerful (and cheap) tools to identify very relaxed and very disturbed systems (see next talk by Giulia)

Dynamical state

Morphological parameters are very powerful (and cheap) tools to identify very relaxed and very disturbed systems (see next talk by Giulia)

G036.72+14.92





Because of projection effects they are not a flawless indicator of the dynamical state of the cluster

Mtot & ICM inhomogeneities

the presence of temperature and density inhomogeneities can cause biases in the determination of the azimuthal profiles (key inputs in the mass estimate from X-ray analysis), and so on the X-ray measured mass distribution.



Mtot & ICM inhomogeneities

the presence of temperature and density inhomogeneities can cause biases in the determination of the azimuthal profiles (key inputs in the mass estimate from X-ray analysis), and so on the X-ray measured mass distribution.



Can we remove the kT inhomogeneities and improve the cluster mass estimate?

Spectral analysis

X-ray observations measure 2 key ICM observables gas density & temperature

Density is trivial to obtain from X-ray imaging

Temperature (and density) can be derived from an isothermal model fit to the spectrum.

Accurate temperatures are essential to infer cluster masses under the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium

The temperature structure yields information on the detailed physics of shock-heated gas in merging events, the nature of cold fronts, and the role of turbulence and gas sloshing

CHEX-MATE pipeline



X-ray WG Chairs: Pratt & Rossetti

There has been a large effort to identify and combine «best practices» from different X-ray groups and build a new optimized pipeline with several improvements (in particular on the bkg modeling)

Official pipeline for azimuthal profiles

- Radial binning: based on X-ray SB profile and S/N criteria
- Background Modeling: fully predictive (include CXB+foreground, QPB, and SP modeling)
- Fitting procedure: Cstat (adapted to Poisson statistics) using an average ARF in the extraction regions, computed from observed counts/rates
- Parameters setting: Cosmology and astrophysical quantities (nH, redshift), Spectral model for absorption (phabs) and cluster (apec); Abundance table: aspl (Asplund+2009)



There has been a large effort to identify and combine «best practices» from different X-ray groups and build a new optimized pipeline with several improvements (in particular on the bkg modeling)

Official pipeline for azimuthal profiles

- Radial binning: based on X-ray SB profile and S/N criteria
- Background Modeling: fully predictive (include CXB+foreground, QPB, and SP modeling)
- Fitting procedure: Cstat (adapted to Poisson statistics) using an average ARF in the extraction regions, computed from observed counts/rates
- Parameters setting: Cosmology and astrophysical quantities (nH, redshift), Spectral model for absorption (phabs) and cluster (apec); Abundance table: aspl (Asplund+2009)





2D analysis

Differences from the official pipeline

- **spatial binning**: based on X-ray SB distribution and S/N criteria
- Background Modeling: fully modeled (CXB+foreground: joint fit with RASS, QPB: renormalized FWC, and SP: shape fixed, slope free)

Official pipeline for azimuthal profiles

- Radial binning: based on X-ray SB profile and S/N criteria
- Background Modeling: fully predictive (include CXB+foreground, QPB, and SP components)
- Fitting procedure: Cstat (adapted to Poisson statistics) using an average ARF in the extraction regions, computed from observed counts/rates
- Parameters setting: Cosmology and astrophysical quantities (nH, redshift), Spectral model for absorption (phabs) and cluster (apec); Abundance table: aspl (Asplund+2009)

kT cross-check



Independent pipelines allow us to check for bugs! If differences remains they can be added a systematics



Currently working with a sample of ~30 objects

NOT representative of the CHEX-MATE sample in terms of M_{tot} & z

We only tried to cover a broad range of morphologies

- set 1 comparison w HB (mostly low-z systems)
- set 2 similar to set 1 (but slightly higher-z)
- set 3 high z objects (z≋0.3)



Gallery



2D maps

WVT binning: a spatially adaptive 2-dimensional Diehl+06 binning algorithm, designed to bin sparse X-ray data. The algorithm uses Weighted Voronoi Tesselations (WVT) to produce a very compact binning structure with a constant S/N per bin.

Wavelets: wavelet analysis using the wavelet ^{Bourdin+04,+08} coefficients to couple a multi-scale spectroscopic analysis with a structure detection scheme

Comparison with HB: maps



Pipeline output0.3-7 keVSB (S/N=30)kTproj ne









EntropyPressureSB (S/N=50)SB (S/N=15)



+ a Nr of other maps (currently not used) and all the profiles

G041.45+29.10: map vs profile





G313.87-17.10: map vs profile





Dynamical state

concentration



Dynamical state

centroid-shift



Masking the regionsImagebinningSBkT



Masking the regionsImagebinningSBkT





Masking the regionsImagebinningSBkT







Masking the regions binning SB Image kΤ



Right Ascension (2000)

0.

0

12 14

8

r (arcmin)

6

10

0 2 4 $(T_{1D} - T_{2D}) / err$

-2

-4

Status

Where we are...

- Maps ~ready for 30 clusters (easy to extend to more systems)
 - NO inconsistency between maps and azimuthal profiles
 - ➡ Good agreement between spectral and imaging info (e.g. n_e profile)
 - Agreement between WVT & wavelet maps
- All the scripts for the post analysis of the maps are ready (e.g. mask fluctuations; recover the 1D profiles and deproject them; measure dynamical state parameters; etc.)

Status

Where we are...

- Maps ~ready for 30 clusters (easy to extend to more systems)
 - NO inconsistency between maps and azimuthal profiles
 - ➡ Good agreement between spectral and imaging info (e.g. n_e profile)
 - Agreement between WVT & wavelet maps
- All the scripts for the post analysis of the maps are ready (e.g. mask fluctuations; recover the 1D profiles and deproject them; measure dynamical state parameters; etc.)

Currently working on...

- Update the dynamical state analysis and provide more quantitative values
- Measure the systematics on the total mass caused be kT and n_e fluctuations

Status

Where we are...

- Maps ~ready for 30 clusters (easy to extend to more systems)
 - ➡ NO inconsistency between maps and azimuthal profiles
 - ➡ Good agreement between spectral and imaging info (e.g. n_e profile)
 - Agreement between WVT & wavelet maps
- All the scripts for the post analysis of the maps are ready (e.g. mask fluctuations; recover the 1D profiles and deproject them; measure dynamical state parameters; etc.)

Currently working on...

- Update the dynamical state analysis and provide more quantitative values
- Measure the systematics on the total mass caused be kT and n_e fluctuations

Thank you!