AGN as neutrino sources from IceCube to radio neutrino telescopes

Xavier Rodrigues DESY Zeuthen

XIX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes **IFN/Padova Univers** January 23. 202





Haack & Wiebusch, ICRC 2017

- Diffuse neutrino flux observed above **atmospheric background** (first observed in 2013)
- Astrophysical neutrinos observed with energy up to ~50 PeV

- No strong correlation with known point sources
- No significant clustering observed yet
 - -> Stacking limits, multiplet constraints

• But some hints of neutrino sources have already emerged (e.g. TXS 0506+056, PKS 1502+106)



Haack & Wiebusch, ICRC 2017

- Diffuse neutrino flux observed above **atmospheric background** (first observed in 2013)
- Astrophysical neutrinos observed with energy up to ~50 PeV

- No strong correlation with known point sources
- No significant clustering observed yet
 - -> Stacking limits, multiplet constraints

• But some hints of neutrino sources have already emerged (e.g. **TXS 0506+056**, **PKS 1502+106**)





Cosmic ray interactions and the multi-messenger picture

unveil common origin to different cosmic radiations



DESY.

Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs)

Picture courtesy NASA, Dana Berry/Skyworks Digital

supermassive black hole



Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs)





Hercules A (Hubble+VLA)

Picture courtesy NASA, Dana Berry/Skyworks Digital

supermassive black hole

SC C



Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs)





Hercules A (Hubble+VLA)

Picture courtesy NASA, Dana Berry/Skyworks Digital

blazar

supermassive black hole



Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs) radio galaxy

Hercules A (Hubble+VLA)

Picture courtesy NASA, Dana Berry/Skyworks Digital

one-zone model



Credit: NASA/HST

supermassive black hole



Blazar family overview Flat-Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQs)



Credit: Bill Saxton, NRAO/AUI/





Blazar family overview Flat-Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQs)





BL Lacs

Blazar family overview Flat-Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQs)





BL Lacs

Protons accelerated to ~PeV (source frame) -> neutrinos peak at ~PeV (observer frame)



XR, Garrappa, Gao, Paliya, Franckowiak & Winter, accepted in ApJ

Protons accelerated to ~PeV (source frame) -> neutrinos peak at ~PeV (observer frame)



XR, Garrappa, Gao, Paliya, Franckowiak & Winter, accepted in ApJ

Protons accelerated to ~PeV (source frame)



XR, Garrappa, Gao, Paliya, Franckowiak & Winter, accepted in ApJ



Protons accelerated to ~PeV (source frame)



XR, Garrappa, Gao, Paliya, Franckowiak & Winter, accepted in ApJ

TXS 0506+056 (2014/15 IceCube signal)



XR, Gao, Fedynitch, Palladino, Winter, ApJ L874 (2019)

DESY.



Protons accelerated to 100 TeV (source frame) -> neutrinos peak at 100 TeV (observer frame)

TXS 0506+056 (2014/15 IceCube signal)



XR, Gao, Fedynitch, Palladino, Winter, ApJ L874 (2019)

DESY.



Protons accelerated to 100 TeV (source frame) -> neutrinos peak at 100 TeV (observer frame)

TXS 0506+056 (2014/15 IceCube signal)



XR, Gao, Fedynitch, Palladino, Winter, ApJ L874 (2019)

DESY.



Protons accelerated to 100 TeV (source frame) -> neutrinos peak at 100 TeV (observer frame)





XR, Fedynitch, Gao, Boncioli, Winter, ApJ 854 (2018)



XR, Fedynitch, Gao, Boncioli, Winter, ApJ 854 (2018)

Scenario 1: AGN accelerate CRs up to max 10 PeV

Scenario 2: AGN accelerate CRs up to ~EeV



Scenario 1: AGN accelerate CRs up to max 10 PeV



Palladino, XR, Gao & Winter, ApJ 871 (2019) no.1, 41

Can explain IceCube sub-PeV to PeV flux

Scenario 2: AGN accelerate CRs up to ~EeV



Scenario 1: AGN accelerate CRs up to max 10 PeV



Palladino, XR, Gao & Winter, ApJ 871 (2019) no.1, 41



Can explain IceCube sub-PeV to PeV flux



UHECR connection lost



Contribution from **high-luminosity blazars** must be **suppressed** (because of lack of correlations)

DESY.

Scenario 2: AGN accelerate CRs up to ~EeV



Scenario 1: AGN accelerate CRs up to max 10 PeV



Palladino, XR, Gao & Winter, ApJ 871 (2019) no.1, 41



Can explain IceCube sub-PeV to PeV flux



UHECR connection **lost**



Contribution from **high-luminosity blazars** must be **suppressed** (because of lack of correlations)

DESY.



Scenario 1: AGN accelerate CRs up to max 10 PeV



Palladino, XR, Gao & Winter, ApJ 871 (2019) no.1, 41



Can explain IceCube sub-PeV to PeV flux



UHECR connection **lost**



Contribution from **high-luminosity blazars** must be **suppressed** (because of lack of correlations)

DESY.



The CR-neutrino connection



DESY.



XR, Heinze, Palladino, van Vliet, Winter, submitted to PRL

The CR-neutrino connection



DESY.

EHE neutrino flux:

- Possibly at a level detectable by IceCube Gen2, GRAND 200k
- Source neutrinos should outshine cosmogenic
- Dominated by **bright FSRQs** (only ~600 objects resolved by Fermi-LAT)

XR, Heinze, Palladino, van Vliet, Winter, submitted to PRL



Conclusion

Recent IceCube from the directions of known AGN are generally supported by multi-messenger modeling of cosmic-ray interactions

Current challenges in the modeling point towards more sophisticated models -> which in turn require more multi-wavelength coverage and higher neutrino statistics

If AGN accelerate CRs to 100 TeV - 10 PeV: may explain the IceCube diffuse flux -> hypothesis will soon be challenged by stacking limits and multiplet constraints

If AGN accelerate **UHECRs**: may produce UHE neutrinos at levels detectable by future radio neutrino telescopes; these will be source neutrinos from a few powerful quasars -> favourable to directionality studies, time domain searches

Backup

 ν production efficiency



XR, Fedynitch, Gao, Boncioli, Winter, ApJ 854 (2018) no.1, 54

DESY.





Blazars as sources of the IceCube neutrinos?

Diffuse neutrino flux



Palladino, XR, Gao & Winter, ApJ 871 (2019) no.1, 41

Baryonic loading

Neutrinos and CRs from the blazar family



XR, Fedynitch, Gao, Boncioli, Winter, ApJ 854 (2018) no.1, 54 DESY.







TXS 0506+056: the first neutrino blazar?

Muon track observed on Sep 22, 2017 (energy of 23.7±2.8 TeV)





Simultaneous gamma-ray flare







The 2017 neutrino event

Example of lepto-hadronic model:



X-rays from hadronic cascades constrain the baryonic loading of the source, but 0.2 neutrinos per year can be explained





The 2014/15 neutrino flare of TXS 0506+056 — constraints



One-zone model



XR, Gao, Fedynitch, Palladino, Winter, ApJ L874 (2019)

DESY.

External-field (FSRQ-like) model



XR, Gao, Fedynitch, Palladino, Winter, ApJ L874 (2019)



