Constraining the contribution of Gamma-Ray Bursts to the high-energy diffuse neutrino flux with 10 years of ANTARES data INFŃ A. Zegarelli, S. Celli, on behalf of the ANTARES Collaboration Physics Department, University "La Sapienza" and INFN, Roma, Italy, e-mail: <u>angela.zegarelli@roma1.infn.it</u> **ANTARES Collaboration, MNRAS 500, 5614–5628 (2021)** Addressing the origin of the observed astrophysical neutrino flux is of paramount importance nowadays, since the sources generating such neutrinos stille remain a mystery. Among the likely astrophysical sources of detectable high-energy neutrinos (e.g. blazars, supernova remnants etc.), also Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs) play a fundamental role, since they are among the few astrophysical sources capable of achieving the required energy to contribute to the detected astrophysics neutrino flux. Within this context, we present the results of a stacked search for muon astrophysical neutrinos performed in coincidence with 784 GRBs in the period 2007-2017 using ANTARES data. The major improvement with respect to previous analyses is now the estimation of systematic uncertainties due to poor knowledge on some of the model parameters were computed on the diffuse flux, propagating the uncertainties on the barely characterized GRB parameters of each individual burst to the stacked limit. Given the absence of coincident neutrinos with the analyses GRBs, this analysis has allowed to constrain the contribution of the detected GRB population to the diffuse neutrino diffuse flux to be less than 10% around 100 TeV. Neutrino flux computation and uncertainty investigation The neutrino flux expected from each GRB of the sample was computed **784 GRBs** Figure 1. Sky through the event generator 'Neutrinos from Cosmic Accelerators' (NeuCosmA) distribution of the [1]. Such numerical code follows the classical internal shock model, accounting 10-3 selected GRBs in for the full py cross section, thus it predicts the expected neutrino production equatorial coordinates. during the so-called prompt phase of the source. 10-4 5 The gamma-ray fluence rays **Internal shocks** of each burst is color-10-5 Collisions between different External radius coded. The shells of the flow Photospheric radius instantaneous field of 10⁻⁶ 군 view of the ANTARES ISM Jet $f_p = 10$ detector for upward Gamma-ray spectrum measured $\pi^0 \to \gamma + \gamma$ going events is 2π sr, Gamma-ray T_{90} (~ duration) measured Central Engine $R \sim R_{is}$ ANTARES taking data *i.e. within a time of 24* $p + \pi^0$ Position measured and satellite angular uncertainty less than 10° hours, the sky up to a One among fluence and redshift is measured *declination of 47° is* Photomeson Only GRBs that were below the ANTARES horizon at trigger visible. time (up-going events) interactions **Data analysis** The model parameters that set the radial distance where shock collisions occur MC simulations were performed Figure 3. GRB ANTARES quasi-diffuse flux for 784 GRBs (red solid line) and the corresponding upper limit (dashed red have large impact on ν flux expectations individually for each burst line). IceCube best fits for ν_{μ} tracks in 10 years [5] and for HESE events in 7.5 years [4] of collected data are shown in Background at the GRB position was blue and green, respectively. The shaded regions show the uncertainty band of each estimation. $R_{\rm is} = 2 \times 10^{13}$ evaluated from off-time data. $0.01 \ s / 10^{2.5}$ 1+zANTARES stacking (2007-2017): 784 GRBs For the selected sources, ANTARES data ANTARES 90% CL upper limit (2007-2017) $L_{\gamma,iso} - \Gamma$ correlation [2] GRB sample were analyzed, maximising the discovery <u>*t*</u>, unknown (68%) Redshift z <u>z unknown</u> (89%) probability of the stacking sample through For each GRB 1000 random extractions from 0.30 an extended maximum-likelihood known GRB distributions $\Gamma \simeq 249$ strategy [3]. $10^{52} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ $L_{\gamma,iso} - \Gamma$ correlation <u>Search time window</u> = coincident with 1000 values of Γ **For each GRB** the gamma-ray GRB prompt emission <u>Angular window</u> = cone around the source position with semi-aperture **Stacking fluence** — IceCube v_{μ} tracks 10 yr α=10°. $N_{GRB} = 784$ — IceCube HESE 7.5 yr 10^{-1} $E_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2}F_{\nu_{\mu}} =$ $(E_{ u}^2 F_{ u})$ [1] Hümmer S., Rüger M., Spanier F., Winter W., 2010, 10⁵ 10^{6} 10' 10° ApJ, 721, 630 $E_{\nu_{\mu}}$ (GeV) ູ້ 10^{−2} [2] Lü J., Zou Y. C., Lei W. H., 2012, ApJ, 751, 49 limit derived from this search, as compared **Figure 2.** Total neutrino fluence expected $\int_{10^{-3}}^{3}$ [3] Barlow R., 1990, Uncl. Instr. Meth., A, 297, 496 use neutrino flux measured by IceCube [4][5], from the 784 GRBs. The shaded region $\tilde{\Box}$ [4] Schneider A. et al. (IceCube Collaboration), nat GRBs contribute to less than 10% to Proceedings of Science (ICRC2019) 1004 indicates the error band, obtained from the



Abstract

GRB selection



Results

Quasi-diffuse flux	-6
$E_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2}\phi_{\nu_{\mu}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{GRB}} (E_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2}F_{\nu_{\mu}})^{i} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{N_{GRB}} \frac{1}{667 \text{ yr}^{-1}} \int_{-1}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 10$	-7
$\sum_{i=1}^{i=1} \text{Number of long} \frac{10}{2} 10$	-8
After data unblinding no events > 10	-9
passed the quality cuts set by the $\bigcup_{10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{$	-10
confidence level upper limit as well as the related uncertainty on the total expected diffuse neutrino flux were derived, according to the	-11 -12 -12 10 ⁴
model. $\phi_{\nu_{\mu}}^{90\%} = \phi_{\nu_{\mu}} \frac{n_{\text{up}}^{90\%}}{n_{\text{s}}} = \phi_{\nu_{\mu}} \frac{2.3}{n_{\text{s}}}$	The upper to the diffu indicates the
The Poisson probability to detect at least one event at a mean rate of 2.3 is exactly 90%	the astroph TeV , if $f_p =$



[5] Stettner J. et al. (IceCube Collaboration), Proceedings of Science (ICRC2019) 101

sum of the individual maximum and minimum fluences for each GRB.



