Neutrino predictions for 3HSP J095507.9+355101, an extreme X-ray flaring blazar



### Maria Petropoulou

Department of Physics National & Kapodistrian University of Athens

Collaborators: F. Oikonomou (NTNU), A. Mastichiadis (NKUA), K. Murase (PennState U.), P. Padovani (ESO), G. Vasilopoulos (Yale U.), P. Giommi (INAF)

XIX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes

25 February 2021

### Blazars: AGN with jets viewed face-on





Credit: NASA, ESA, S. Baum and C. O'Dea (RIT), R. Perley and W. Cotton (NRAO/AUI/NSF), and the Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)



Credit: Chandra X-ray observatory

- ~10% of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) have relativistic jets.
- Blazars → jetted AGN viewed at small viewing angles.
- Blazar emission dominated by the jet due to Doppler beaming.

# Multi-wavelength variable photon emitters



• Multi-wavelength emission.

- Flux variability on multiple timescales (min to months).
- Double-humped photon spectra.
- Flares across the EM spectrum (not always correlated)

### Extreme blazars



- Extreme synchrotron blazars:  $hv_x > 1$  keV and  $\Gamma_x < 2$
- Extreme TeV blazars:  $hv_v > 1$  TeV and  $\Gamma_v < 2$
- Extreme "appearance": transient (flares) or long-lasting (quiescent)

# 3HSP J095507.9+35510 / IceCube-200107



5×10-13

1.6

1.8

X-ray photon index

2

2.2

2.4

2.6

 X-ray flux increased by a factor of ~3 and X-ray spectrum hardened.

(Giommi+2020, Paliya+2020)

2.8

# Hybrid spectral energy distribution



- **Optical/UV:** *Swift*/UVOT (3 days starting ~1 day after v alert)
- X-rays: Swift/XRT, NuSTAR (3 days starting ~1 day after v alert)
- γ-rays: Fermi-LAT (time-average over 250 days prior to v alert / full mission)

# Leptohadronic blazar modeling



#### ATHEvA code (Dimitrakoudis+2012)

- Synchrotron radiation
- Inverse Compton scattering
- Photon-photon pair production
- Proton-photon pair production
- Proton-photon pion production
- Neutron-photon pion production



Mannheim+1991; Mannheim & Biermann 1992; Mannheim 1993; Petropoulou+2015; Cerruti+2015; Petropoulou+2016; Gao+2017 +++

### Leptohadronic modeling of the X-ray flare





Photopion production efficiency



**Spectral** 



### Leptohadronic modeling of the X-ray flare



### Neutrino expectation in the leptohadronic model - 1

#### SED modeling of the X-ray flare



$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{\nu_{\mu}+\bar{\nu}_{\mu}} = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\varepsilon_{\nu,\min}}^{\varepsilon_{\nu,\max}} \mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{\nu} \, A_{\mathrm{eff}}(\varepsilon_{\nu},\delta) \phi_{\varepsilon_{\nu}}.$$

Model	$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{\nu_{\mu}+\bar{\nu}_{\mu}} (> 100 \text{ TeV})$	$\mathcal{P} _{1\nu_{\mu}\mathrm{or}\bar{\nu}_{\mu}}(>100\mathrm{TeV})$
	$(\times 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1})$	
	Alert (Point Source)	Alert (Point Source)
$\mathbf{A}_{(B'=15\mathrm{G})}$	17 (190)	0.02~(0.2)~%
$\mathbf{A}_{(B'=30\mathrm{G})}$	50(540)	0.06~(0.7)~%
$\mathbf{A}_{(B'=100\mathrm{G})}$	45 (490)	0.05~(0.6)~%
В	18 (200)	0.02~(0.2)~%
$\mathbf{C}$	25~(100)	0.03~(0.1)~%
D	40 (210)	0.05~(0.3)~%

Probability to detect  $1 v_{\mu}$  during X-ray flare (~44 d) << 1

## Neutrino expectation in the leptohadronic model - 2

Full XRT light curve + v /X-ray correlation



- ~ 0.02 0.1 v<sub>u</sub> within 10 yrs (with Point Source effective area)
- Most optimistic neutrino prediction similar to TXS 0506+056 (Petropoulou, Murase+2020)

## Alternative theoretical scenarios (BC)

### Blazar Core (BC)

- X-ray coronal field
- Production from inner jet (close to black hole)
- Low jet Lorentz factor (<sup>--5</sup>)
- Very strong magnetic field (B~10<sup>4</sup> G)
- Size (R~10<sup>14</sup> cm)





- Applies to transient & persistent emissions
- EM cascade peaks at sub-MeV energies
- Cannot explain optical/UV, X-rays and γ-ray emissions

# Alternative theoretical scenarios (HEP)

#### **Hidden External Photons (HEP)**

- Weak BLR ? (L<sub>BLR</sub> < 10<sup>43</sup> erg/s)
- Production from sub-pc jet
- Typical jet Lorentz factor (<sup>-25</sup>)
- Weak magnetic field (B~1 G)
- Size (R~2 10<sup>15</sup> cm)





- Applies to transient & persistent emissions
- UV & soft X-rays from the same region or not
- Enhanced neutrino flux by a factor of ~3

# Alternative theoretical scenarios (PS)

### **Proton Synchrotron (PS)**

- Ultra-high energy protons in jet ( $E_{p,max} \sim 10 \text{ EeV}$ )
- Production from sub-pc jet
- Typical jet Lorentz factor (<sup>▶</sup>~10)
- Strong magnetic field (B~100 G)
- Size (R~10<sup>15</sup> cm)





- Can explain the transient MW emission
- Neutrino flux peaks at EeV energies
- Neutrino flux similar to leptohadronic models

# Alternative theoretical scenarios (IGC)

#### Intergalactic cascade (IGC)

 Ultra-high energy protons escaping the jet (E<sub>p,max</sub> ~ 0.2 EeV, L<sub>CR</sub>~L<sub>Edd</sub>)



- Applies to persistent EM emissions
- IGC γ-ray emission does not overshoot LAT data
- Lower neutrino flux than leptohadronic models

### Alternative theoretical scenarios





# Summary & Conclusions

#### Summary





- 3HSP J095507.9+355101 is an extreme synchrotron blazar possibly associated with IceCube-200107A.
- Hard X-ray flare (Jan 8-11 2020) followed by ~40 d high X-ray flux state.
- Association of IceCube-200107A with hard X-ray flare is likely coincidental.
- There is ~1% (3%) probability of the neutrino coming within 10 yrs in the Leptohadronic scenario (Blazar Core model) with the real-time IceCube alert analysis.

- IceCube-Gen 2 could detect ~1-3 muon neutrinos in 10 yrs. If not, most promising neutrino models could be constrained.
- If an IceCube archival search finds additional neutrinos, our models have to be revisited.
- If ~ 100 blazars similar to 3HSP J095507.9+355101 emit comparable neutrino flux, the summed expectation can be ~1.
- No TeV emission predicted in most promising neutrino emission model. Are extreme TeV blazars weak PeV neutrino emitters?