

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare





Scintillating Li₂MoO₄ Bolometers for neutrinoless double beta decay search

XIX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes Alberto Ressa, Sapienza - University of Rome on behalf of CUPID collaboration

CUORE and CUPID





arXiv:2011.09295 [physics.ins-det]

CUORE:

Searching for $^{130}\text{Te}~\textbf{Ov}\beta\beta$ decay with TeO $_2$ bolometers at 10 mK

- First **ton-scale** bolometric experiment
- Reached **1 ton** × **year exposure**
- ~0.2% energy resolution at ¹³⁰Te Q-value (Q_{ββ} ~ 2527 keV)
- ¹³⁰Te $0\nu\beta\beta$: limit on $t_{1/2} > 10^{25} y$
- → Background level dominated by α particles from surface contamination: 10⁻² counts/(keV kg y) → 50 counts/y in the ROI

CUORE and CUPID





CUPID:

Next generation experiment searching for 100 Mo $0\nu\beta\beta$ with **Scintillating Bolometers** using CUORE infrastructure:

- **Reject** α **particles** background with scintillation light detection
- ¹⁰⁰Mo isotope: higher Q_{ββ} (3034 keV) to reduce natural radioactivity background in the ROI
- → "Background-free" condition
- → 10^{27} y sensitivity on the ¹⁰⁰Mo $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life

R&D tests ongoing at LNGS to define the final CUPID design.



- 8 enriched Li₂MoO₄ cubic crystals (LMO)
- 12 Light Detectors (LD) on top and bottom faces
- 4 crystals are covered with Reflecting Foil (RF)
- Heater: inject fixed energy purely thermal pulses

Light detected with small bolometers

Ge disk coated with SiO and equipped with thermistors

Targets:

- 1. Test Energy Resolution \rightarrow cubic Li₂MoO₄ studied for the first time
- 2. Analyse Light Detectors Performances and Noise Level
- Study the Particle Identification capabilities and Light Collection

 → with/without RF to study the final CUPID configuration



Energy Resolution

Energy spectrum: peaks from 232 Th source FWHM (ΔE) vs Energy fitted using (p₀+p₁E)

Extrapolate **ΔE** at the ¹⁰⁰Mo Q-value (3034 keV)

⇒ (6.7 ± 0.6) keV⇒ 0.22%



Despite not optimal cryogenics conditions, the result **approaches the CUPID goal** of 5 keV FWHM

Energy Resolution

arXiv:2011.13656v1 [physics.ins-det]

Energy spectrum: peaks from 232 Th source FWHM (ΔE) vs Energy fitted using (p₀+p₁E)

Extrapolate **ΔE** at the ¹⁰⁰Mo Q-value (3034 keV)

⇒ (6.7 ± 0.6) keV
 ⇒ 0.22%



Despite not optimal cryogenics conditions, the result **approaches the CUPID goal** of 5 keV FWHM

23/02/2021

Targets:

1. Test Energy Resolution

 \rightarrow cubic Li₂MoO₄ studied for the first time

- 2. Analyse Light Detectors Performances and Noise Level
- Study the Particle Identification capabilities and Light Collection

 → with/without RF to study the final CUPID configuration



Light Detectors Performances

Light Detectors calibrated with X-rays hitting directly the Ge disk from ⁵⁵Fe sources



Noise RMS results in the range **25-40 eV** for each LD

Reproducible result which satisfy the request for CUPID

Targets:

- 1. Test Energy Resolution
 - \rightarrow cubic Li₂MoO₄ studied for the first time
- 2. Analyse Light Detectors Performances and Noise Level
- Study the Particle Identification capabilities and Light Collection

 → with/without RF to study the final CUPID configuration



Particle Identification

Light Yield at a fixed Energy on heat channel is quenched for α particles Heat and Light simultaneous read-out allows Particle Identification



Reject α from surfaces contamination: it is the dominant background at the $\beta\beta$ Q-value in CUORE

Discrimination Power:

$$DP \equiv \frac{\left|LY_{\beta/\gamma} - LY_{\alpha}\right|}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\beta/\gamma}^2 + \sigma_{\alpha}^2}}$$

Particle Identification

Light Yield at a fixed Energy on heat channel is quenched for α particles Heat and Light simultaneous read-out allows Particle Identification



Study of the **Light Yield** with/without Reflecting Foil (RF) using 2 LD:

Blue: crystals with RF → (1.10±0.05) keV/MeV Red: bare crystals → (0.50±0.05) keV/MeV

 \rightarrow the RF increases the light collection by a factor > 2

Particle Identification

Study of α particles events discrimination with **different configurations**: Signal acceptance: 99.7% \rightarrow cut at $LY_{\beta/\gamma} - 3\sigma_{\beta/\gamma}$



- Complete Rejection (**DP** > 8) using 2 LDs & crystal with RF
- Good Results with
 - 1 LD & RF (**DP > 7**)
 - no RF but 2 LDs (**DP > 4**)



Inefficient with 1 LD and no RF (DP < 4)



Summary

Targets:

- Energy Resolution of Li₂MoO₄ cubic crystals:
 ⇒ (6.7 ± 0.6) keV FWHM: Close to CUPID goal
- Light Detectors Performances and Noise Level:
 ⇒ 25-40 eV RMS: reproducible results
- Particle Identification and Light Collection:
 ⇒ RF increases LY by a factor > 2
 ⇒ Complete α rejection with different light collection configurations



Future Prospects

New R&D run is ongoing at LNGS Hall C:

- Foreseen better experimental conditions. **Noise and cryogenics can be improved**. Operating the detector at 10 mK, instead of 20 mK, the energy resolution is expected to improve.
- Light collection will be improved using square, instead of circular, Light Detectors which will be also placed closer to the crystals

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!