Latest Results from ANTARES



- The ANTARES detector
- Oscillations
- Dark Matter
- High-Energy Astrophysics
 - Diffuse
 - Point-sources
 - Catalog sources
 - Multi-messengers



Antoine Kouchner for the ANTARES Collaboration



XIX international Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes

Online, Feb.18-26, 2020

Science scope







Low	Energy
> 1	0 GeV

Medium Energy 10 GeV < E_v < 10 TeV

High Energy $E_v > 1$ TeV

 v Oscillations
 → <u>Mostly covered by</u> <u>A. Heijboer and</u> <u>N. Chowdhury</u>

Dark matter search

+ Exotic searches

v from extra-terrestrial sources Origin and production mechanism of HE CR

ANTARES = multi-disciplinary observatory

Earth and Sea Sciences: oceanography, biology, geology, climate monitoring ...

Connections to Earth and Sea sciences



Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 45517 Sperm whale diel behaviour revealed by ANTARES, a deep-sea neutrino telescope



Que ocean Dynamics, April 2014, 64, 4, 507-517

High-frequency internal wave motions at the ANTARES site in the deep Western Mediterranean

Detection Principles : Cherenkov

Natural radiators are low cost and allow huge instrumented volumes in dark but transparent media → Deep lake, seawater, ice

Detection of Cherenkov light induced by the travel of relativistic muons with a 3D array of PMTs

The track channel

γč

 $\theta_{\check{c}}$

Time, position, amplitude of PMT pulses $\Rightarrow \mu$ trajectory

Detection Principles : Cherenkov



The track channel

γč

 $\theta_{\check{\textbf{c}}}$

Time, position, amplitude of PMT pulses $\Rightarrow \mu$ trajectory

Cascade topology



→ Provides sensitivity to all neutrino flavours – Increases overall sensitivity



Toulon

Insitut M.Pacha



Antares

N



Image © 2008 DigitalGlobe Image NASA

The ANTARES Neutrino Telescope

📖 NIM A 656 (2011) 11-38

2500 m depth

350 m

100/m

25 storeys / line
3 PMTs / storey
885 PMTs

Deployed in 2001 40 km

> Junction box (since 2002)

In operation since 2006-8 !

~70 m

Anchor/line socket

Interlink cables

14.5 m

0 0

©Montanet

Reconstruction performances

- Upgoing track events ($v_{\mu}CC$)
- Angular resolution <0.4° for E_v >10 TeV
- 90% purity
- Energy resolution of about a factor 2

- Upgoing cascade events (v_e/v_τ CC, NC)
- Angular resolution < 3°
- Energy resolution for $v_e CC$ better than 10%



ANTARES Monte Carlo, JCAP01 (2021) 064

Absolute Pointing - Consistent with expectation



The Sun shadow is also observed with a statistical significance of 3.7σ , and an angular resolution of $0.59^{\circ} \pm 0.10^{\circ}$ for downward-going muons.

10



📖 Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.12, 1006

⁴⁰K (long-term) monitoring



11

Indirect Search for Dark Matter - Sun

12





🚇 Phys.Lett. B759 2016

Indirect Search for Dark Matter - GC



Indirect Search for Secluded Dark Matter

Long-live mediators could escape from Sun and the decay may be detected by ANTARES

📖 JCAP05 (2016) 016

No evidence for WIMP at the GeV-TeV scale

→ Secluded scenarios can provide dark-matter candidates at and above 10-100 TeV, in line with recent interest for BSM physics in heavy sectors at colliders

Constraints from the Galactic Center → 90% CL limits



Atmospheric neutrinos

Data collected from 2007 until the end of 2017

BDT selection on 15 parameters					
	Preselection	+BDT > 0.33			
	$+\Lambda > -5.7$				
$\mathrm{CR}\mu$	136700	~ 3			
Atmospheric ν_e CC	242	96			
Atmospheric ν_e NC	22	9			
Atmospheric ν_{μ} CC	3780	620			
Atmospheric ν_{μ} NC	400	180			
Cosmic ν	30.4	9.2			
MC sum	141200	917			
Data	133676	1016			





Worldwide consistent results

arXiv:2101.12170

Flash Talk F. Versari

Diffuse flux

16

Updated data sample @ ICRC2019: 2007-2015 (2450 days) \rightarrow 2007-2018 (3330 days) All-sky / All-flavor neutrino search

- Selection cuts optimized with MRF procedure (assumed spectral index $\Gamma=2.5$)
- Look for excess above a given E_{th}
- Combine track & shower samples



Data: 50 events (27 tracks + 23 showers) Background expectation (atm. flux, HONDA + Enberg, scaled x ~1.25) : 36.1 ± 8.7 (19.9 tracks and 16.2 showers) – stat. + syst.

Results not really constraining... but fully compatible with IceCube

Diffuse flux

Combined (tracks+showers) likelihood fitting:

Atmospheric: $\Phi_{atm} = 1.25 \times (\text{Honda} + \text{Enberg})$

Cosmic: $\Phi_{100 \text{ TeV}} = (1.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ $\Gamma = 2.3 \pm 0.4$



17

Results not really constraining... but fully compatible with IceCube

Search for diffuse flux from Galactic ridge

Combined U.L. at 90% CL (blue line) on the 3-flavor neutrino flux of the KRA γ model (5-50 PeV cutoff)



Result: total flux contribution of **diffuse Galactic neutrino** emission <9% of the total diffuse IC astrophysical signal (E_v > 30 TeV) Updates ongoing...

Phys. Rev. D 96, 062001 (2017)ApJL 868, L20 (2018)

Stacked expected signal vs. δ (top) and energy (bottom). Colors relative contribution to the sensitivity



Combined ANTARES-IceCube PS search

ANTARES 2007-2015 and the IC40, IC59, IC79, IC86 samples for the Southern Hemisphere

19

	Sample	Livetime (days)	Events	
	tracks	2415	5807	ANTARES
	showers	2415	102	9 years
	Sample	Livetime (days)	Events	
	IC40	376	22779	
rough-going	IC59	348	64257	leaCuba
tracks	IC79	316	44771	7 voors
	IC86	333	74931	/ years
	2012-2015	1058	119231	

γ = 2.0



 $\gamma = 2.5$

The Astrophysical Journal 892 (2020) 2

Combined ANTARES-IceCube PS search

90% C.L. Sensitivity and Limits for $\gamma = 2.0$

90% C.L. Sensitivity and Limits for $\gamma = 2.5$

20



Significant improvement of limits especially for hard energy spectra Best limits on neutrino point source emission in Southern Hemisphere

ANTARES data set is public : see https://antares.in2p3.fr

The Astrophysical Journal 892 (2020) 2

Catalog-based searches

arXiv:2012.15082

Likelihood based stacking approach

CATALOG	PRE-TRIAL	POST-TRIAL	DOMINANT SOURCE
Fermi 3LAC All Blazars	0.19	0.83	
Fermi 3LAC FSRQ	0.57	0.97	
Fermi 3LAC BL Lacs	0.088	0.64	MG3J225517+2409
Radio-galaxies	4.8 10 ⁻³	0.10	3C403
Star Forming Galaxies	0.37	0.93	
Obscured AGN	0.73	0.98	16σ
IC HE tracks	0.05	0.49	1.00

Blazar MG3 J225517+2409 ANTARES & IceCube tracks



Mild excess seen for radio galaxies



Space-time association: ANTARES -> 2.3σ & IceCube track -> 2.6σ

Catalog-based searches

PRELIMINARY !

- Following A. V. Plavin *et al* 2021 *ApJ* 908 157, ongoing search for correlation between neutrino candidates and radio blazars seen in VLBI data (3411 objects)
- Use the ANTARES PS sample 2007-2020 (10162 tracks) with same stacking method yields a p-value of 8.3 10⁻² (about 1.8 σ)
- Simple pair counting also shows hint of correlation at sub-degree angular scale



Stay tuned for updated results in summer conferences !

The multi-messenger program



Follow-up of Gravitational Waves

Online alerts followed. Results from counterpart searches after 24hr through GCN

24

- Refined offline searches (fully calibrated sample): No events found \rightarrow limits set.
- Latest O2 BBH: Constraints on fluence and E_{v,iso} for BBH



Search for v counterparts to GRBs

Extremely energetic bursts -> Associated neutrino production detectable?

Stacking analysis of 784 GRBs ANTARES data 2007-2017

- No coincidences found
- GRBs contribute <10% of astrophysical flux <100TeV

Flash Talk A. Zegarelli

Display="block-tag: 12021">Image: MNRAS, 500, 5614–5628 (2021)

High energy gamma ray emission observed for 3 GRBs by H.E.S.S./MAGIC:
GRB180720A, GRB190829B (H.E.S.S.)
GRB190114C (MAGIC)

 Follow-up search using ANTARES tracks/showers during time of gamma-ray emission

-> No events found in time & space coincidence

Soon in JCAP arXiv:2011.11411



Search for neutrinos from TXS 0506+056

Time integrated archival search

- Same method as PS searches, +2016/17
- Expected background (3136 days) :
 - 0.23/deg² for track-like
 - 0.005/deg² for shower-like events
- # of events fitting the likelihood signal function δ
 for the source: μ_{sig} = 1.03
- Pre-trial p-value of 3.4% (post-trial 87%)
- 1 track (12/12/2013) 0.3° from the source
- Flux U.L. (@100 TeV) for E⁻²: 1.6x10⁻¹⁸ GeV⁻¹ cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the range [2 TeV-4 PeV]
- In the list of 107 pre-selected sources, only two have a smaller p-value



Distribution of the 13 tracks +1 shower events in the (RA, δ) coordinates around (radius=1° and 5°) the position of TXS 0506+056.

ApJL 863, L2 (2018)

26

Search for v counterparts to TDE events

27



Source		Results						
Name	γ	$\hat{\mu}_{ m sig}$	p-value	$\Phi_0^{90\%C.L.}$		$\mathcal{F}^{90\%C.L.}$		$\log(\frac{E_{\min}}{\text{GeV}})$ - $\log(\frac{E_{\max}}{\text{GeV}})$
				sensitivity	limit	sensitivity	limit	
AT2019dsg	2.0	< 0.1	12%	$7.3 imes 10^{-8}$	1.0×10^{-7}	14	19	3.6 - 6.6
	2.5	0.2	10%	1.5×10^{-5}	2.2×10^{-5}	29	43	2.8 - 5.5
	3.0	0.7	8.9%	1.2×10^{-3}	2.0×10^{-3}	230	380	2.1 - 4.7
AT2019 fdr	2.0	0.5	6.7%	$8.5 imes 10^{-8}$	1.3×10^{-7}	15	23	3.6 - 6.6
	2.5	0.5	7.9%	2.1×10^{-5}	3.0×10^{-5}	39	55	2.8 - 5.5
	3.0	0.6	9.1%	2.0×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}	360	540	2.1 - 4.7

The multi-messenger program: TATOO

Telescope-Antares Target of Opportunity



- Triggers: single HE, preferred direction, multiplets

GVD Baikal follow-up of ANTARES alerts

31 ANTARES alerts sent to GVD Baikal, 28 followed up: Search within ±500s, ±1hour, ±1 day within 5 degree (cascade median resolution 4.5 degrees) 29

=> For 3 alerts multiplets of cascades reconstructed within ±1day



5 GVD clusters running during that period Background events/cluster/day ranging from 0.02-0.05

No additional showers seen in ANTARES for that same direction within ±1 day Still searching for additional tracks

TATOO and the transients

X-ray

MNRAS, 48 (2019) 1ApJ, 886:98 (2019)

MWA TAROT (12/yr) ZADKO MASTER (GWAC) (30/yr)

DT Swift Fermi KO (6/yr) (offline) TER Integral AC) yr)

GeV y-rays

HESS (2/yr) HAWC (offline)

TeV _y-rays

Triggers:

Radio

Doublet of neutrinos (<3°, <15 min): ~0.04 events/yr</p>

Optical

Single neutrino with direction close to local galaxies:

~1 TeV, ~10 events/ yr

- Single HE neutrinos: ~5 TeV, 20 events/ yr
- Single VHE neutrinos: ~30 TeV, ~3-4 events/ yr

Performances:

- Time to send an alert: ~5 s
- Median angular resolution: ~ 0.4°

Sent neutrino alerts (2009-2020) 322 to robotic telescopes+26 to Swift+12 to INTEGRAL

+~25	to MWA
+2	to HESS

Follow-up efficiencies: ~70% (Xray / optical) + ~20% (radio)



Summary



> ANTARES is the largest NT in the Mediterranean Sea. A multi disciplinary observatory (associated sciences).

Competitive physics results & intringuing hints
 Constraints on neutrinos as seen by IceCube.
 Includes cascade-like events in various analyses

 Good pointing power

> Extensive multi-messenger program.

> Joint studies with several partners

Although technologically challenging ANTARES
 is working since 2008 according to specifications.
 Proves the feasibility of a deep sea Neutrino Telescope.
 → KM3NeT

ANTARES search for cosmic neutrino point source $_{\delta = 0^{\circ} 245}$

2007-2017, 8754 tracks, 195 showers

- Prominent TeV sources probed
- HE IC neutrino directions probed
- Galactic Plane special search

No significant excess

All-sky spot coincident with IceCube HE track

Search also for time-correlated events in vicinity of IceCube HE tracks -> no excess



ANTARES events observed close to TXS -0506+056



Basic neutrino detector element: storey



Detector Calibration





Led/laser beacons Intense light: PMT TTS negligible

Timing resolution of electronics ~ 0.5 ns

> Positioning resolution < 10 cm

Updated Oscillation Studies

For illustration



- 9 years of data (2007-2016) 2830 days of lifetime
 - 7710 events selected, two reconstruction procedures
 - Track channel only, E_{reco} from muon range
 - A binned likelihood fit (Poisson stat.) is performed in two dimensions ($log_{10}(E_{reco}), cos\theta_{23}^{reco}$)

Updated Oscillation Studies

Priors and fitted values obtained from minimisation for all the parameters of the standard oscillation analysis.

📖 J. High Energ. Phys. (2019) 2019: 113

Parameter	Prior	Fit result
$\Delta m_{32}^2 \ [10^{-3} \mathrm{eV^2}]$	none	$2.0^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$
θ_{23} [°]	none	45^{+12}_{-11}
$n_{ u}$	none	$0.81^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$
$\nu/\overline{ u}$ $[\sigma]$	0.0 ± 1.0	$1.10\substack{+0.64\\-0.56}$
$\Delta\gamma$	0.00 ± 0.05	-0.003 ± 0.036
N_{μ}	740 ± 120	414_{-24}^{+48}
θ_{13} [°]	8.41 ± 0.28	8.41 ± 0.28
$M_A [\sigma]$	0.0 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 1.0



No-oscillation hypothesis excluded at 4.6o

Updated Oscillation Studies Sterile & NSI

- (3+1) sterile neutrino models $\Delta m_{41}^2 > 0.5 \text{ eV}^2$
- Tight complementary information to eV-scale sterile neutrino searches

Our results (90% CL) exclude regions of the parameter space not yet excluded by other experiments.



- Non-standard interactions signature in neutrino oscillation pattern detectable
- Mild hint for non-standard interactions observed in 10 years of ANTARES data



🛄 J. High Energ. Phys. (2019) 2019: 113

ANTARES search from M77/NGC 1068

[Published March 22nd, 2019]

The analysis of 10 years of IceCube data (IC40, IC59, IC79, IC86-1, ICII-ICVII) has recently been unblinded, unifying the muon diffuse and point source streams, and presented this week at the XVIII International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes (Neutel 2019). The results are consistent with background, both for the Northern and Southern hemispheres. A list of 110 targets, motivated by gamma-ray observations, was also unblinded. The hottest source was found to be M77 (RA 40.667°, Dec -0.0069°) some 0.3° away from the all-sky hot spot, with a significance of 2.9σ (post-trial). At a distance of 14 Mpc, M77, also known as NGC 1068, is one of the closest Seyfert galaxies with a supermassive black hole in its core with misaligned jet.

Using data taken between 2007 and 2017, ANTARES has searched for high energy neutrinos in the direction of M77. The results, shown in the figure below, are consistent with background, yielding an upper limit on a possible E⁻² neutrino spectrum of 8.7x10⁻⁹ GeV/cm²/s, with similar values for indices between 1.6 and 2.7.



Distribution of ANTARES events in the (RA, DEC) coordinates around the position of M77. The inner (outer) green line depicts the one (five) degree distance from the position source location, indicated as a gray star. The red point denotes a shower-like event, whereas the blue points indicate track-like events. The dashed circles around the events indicate the angular error estimate. Different shades of red and blue correspond to the values assumed by the energy estimators, the right legend shows the color scales. The number of hits is used for shower-like events and a parameter ρ for track-like events.