Neutrino Masses and Mixings: Theoretical Challenges

Joachim Kopp (CERN & JGU Mainz) XIX Neutrino Telescopes Workshop | 19 February 2021









Outline

Challenge 1: Understanding Neutrino Interactions

- Challenge 2: Oscillation Anomalies
- Challenge 3: Collective Oscillations
- Challenge 4: "New v Physics"
- **Summary**



Challenge 1: Understanding Neutrino Interactions















MACARONI (Megawatt Accelerator for Creating Abundant Radiation Of NeutrinI)











ENTRECOTE (Experiments Needed for Tackling Reliably the Extreme Conundrum Originating from Theory Errors) MACARONI (Megawatt Accelerator for Creating Abundant Radiation Of NeutrinI)

















GELATO (Ginormous Experiment at Long-Baseline Aiming to Test Oscillations)







ENTRECOTE (Experiments Needed for Tackling Reliably the Extreme Conundrum Originating from Theory Errors)

IGU

MACARONI (Megawatt Accelerator for Creating Abundant Radiation Of NeutrinI)

Systematic Uncertainties

Large systematic uncertainties in
Composition of neutrino beam
Neutrino interaction cross sections















































multi-nucleon effects are crucial

Systematic Uncertainties

Large systematic uncertainties in • Composition of neutrino beam • Neutrino interaction cross sections

Experimental Mitigation



- Interpretended in the sector of the secto (on-axis and off-axis)
- Madroproduction experiments (e.g. NA61/SHINE)





Theory Needs

- Detter modelling of neutrino interactions
- **M** new strategies for optimally exploiting near detector data (in particular DUNE-PRISM)



Challenge 2: Oscillation Anomalies









Anomalies in Short Baseline Oscillations



\mathcal{M} LSND / MiniBooNE: anomalous $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations













Add extra neutrino flavor, promote mixing matrix to 4×4
Oscillation channels are related:

$$\begin{split} P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e} \simeq 1 - 2|U_{e4}|^2 (1 - |U_{e4}|^2) \\ P_{\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu} \simeq 1 - 2|U_{\mu 4}|^2 (1 - |U_{\mu 4}|^2) \\ P_{\nu_\mu \to \nu_e} \simeq 2|U_{e4}|^2 |U_{\mu 4}|^2 \\ (\text{for } 4\pi E / \Delta m_{41}^2 \ll L \ll 4\pi E / \Delta m_{31}^2) \\ \end{split}$$



Global Fit in 3+1 Model



Dentler Hernandez JK Machado Maltoni Martinez Schwetz, <u>1803.10661</u> see also works by Collin Argüelles Conrad Shaevitz, <u>1607.00011</u> Gariazzo Giunti Laveder Li, <u>1703.00860</u>







Global Fit in 3+1 Model



Dentler Hernandez JK Machado Maltoni Martinez Schwetz, <u>1803.10661</u> see also works by Collin Argüelles Conrad Shaevitz, <u>1607.00011</u> Gariazzo Giunti Laveder Li, <u>1703.00860</u>





erc



Sterile Neutrino production in the target, followed by $v_s \rightarrow v + \gamma$ decay in the detector (MiniBooNE cannot distinguish e^{\pm} and γ)

Fischer Hernández-Cabezudo Schwetz, 1909.09561

 $\overbrace{V_s}^{\text{Sterile Neutrino production in the detector, followed by}}_{\text{Gninenko, 1009.5536}}$

Sterile Neutrino production in the detector, followed by $v_s \rightarrow v + (A' \rightarrow e^+e^-)$ decay (on-shell or off-shell)

Bertuzzo Jana Machado Zukanovich-Funchal, 1807.09877 Ballett Pascoli Ross-Lonergan, 1808.02915

 $\overbrace{V_s}^{} \rightarrow v_{e,\mu,\tau} + \phi \text{ decay in flight} \quad \text{Dentler Esteban JK Machado, 1911.01427}$



MiniBooNE Backgrounds







MiniBooNE 2018

$\Delta \to \gamma \, \mathsf{N}$







$\Delta \to \gamma \, \mathsf{N}$







$\Delta \rightarrow \gamma N$

- $\boxed{\mathcal{M}} \Delta$ production rate measured in $\Delta \rightarrow \pi + N$
- Pions may be absorbed on their way out of the nucleus
 - **O** may excite another Δ resonance
 - $\implies \Delta \rightarrow \gamma N$ enhanced
 - background prediction enhanced
 - **O** or may be absorbed
 - control region suppressed
 - background prediction enhanced



MiniBooNE are modelling such effects, but uncertainties are unavoidable and hard to quantify







To Do List for Neutrino Anomalies



 ★ scrutinize anomalies for unknown systematics (need 4 independent effects!)
★ scrutinize also null results!

★ extended models?



Challenge 3: Collective Oscillations









Summa Janka Melson Marek arXiv:1708.04154

Summa Janka Melson Marek arXiv:1708.04154

Collective Neutrino Oscillations

If flavor evolution described by von Neumann equation

$$i(\partial_t + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}})\rho_{\vec{r},\vec{p}} = [H_{\text{vac}} + H_{\text{MSW}} + H_{\nu\nu}, \rho_{\vec{r},\vec{p}}]$$


In equation described in flavour space in the equation $i(\partial_t + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}}, \rho_{\vec{r},\vec{p}}) = [H_{\text{vac}} + H_{\text{MSW}} + H_{\nu\nu}, \rho_{\vec{r},\vec{p}}]$

















\mathbf{M} at large n_v :

- O same equation for all energies
 → synchronization
- non-trivial angular dependence

In non-linear equation all kinds of instabilities







Challenge 4: New v Physics











New Neutrino Interaction

EFT below the electroweak scale

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NSI,NC}} = \sum_{f,\alpha,\beta} 2\sqrt{2}G_F \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{f,P} (\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\nu_{\beta})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\mu}Pf) + \text{h.c.}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NSI,CC}} = \sum_{f,\alpha,\beta} 2\sqrt{2}G_F \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{ff',P} (\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\ell_{\beta})(\bar{f}'\gamma^{\mu}Pf) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NSI,CC}} = \sum_{f,f',\alpha,\beta} 2\sqrt{2G_F} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{JJ''} (\nu_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\ell_{\beta})(f'\gamma^{\mu}Pf) + \text{h.}$$













MC: non-standard matter effects





- **MC**: non-standard matter effects
- CC: anomalous production and detection



New Neutrino Interaction

EFT below the electroweak scale

 $f, \overline{f', \alpha}, \beta$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NSI,NC}} = \sum_{f,\alpha,\beta} 2\sqrt{2}G_F \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{f,P} (\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\nu_{\beta})$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NSI,CC}} = \sum 2\sqrt{2}G_F \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{ff',P} (\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\ell_{\beta})$$

MC: non-standard matter effects

CC: anomalous production and detection

Coloma Esteban Gonzalez-Garcia Maltoni <u>arXiv:1911.09109</u> Biggio Blennow Fernandez-Martinez <u>arXiv:0907.0097</u>



Iight sterile neutrinos
 magnetic moments
 "secret" interactions
 neutrino–DM interactions

see talks by Christina **Benso**, Sabya Sachi **Chatterjee**, Pilar **Coloma**, Matheus **Hostert**, Patrick **Huber**, Filipe **Joaquim**, Thierry **Lasserre**, Ninetta **Saviano**, Anatolii **Serebrov**, Jian **Tang**, Seok-Gyeong **Yoon**, Yiyu **Zhang**, ...

Anomalous Charged Currents

\mathbf{M} Interesting new opportunity: FASERv at the LHC



https://faser.web.cern.ch/about-the-experiment/detector-design/fasernu



Anomalous Charged Currents

\mathbf{M} Interesting new opportunity: FASERv at the LHC





Anomalous Charged Currents



Are $\mathcal{O}(0.01 \, G_F)$ Coupling Realistic?















HP Gas TPC + ECal ("ND-GAr")





HP Gas TPC + ECal ("ND-GAr")





HP Gas TPC + ECal ("ND-GAr")





HP Gas TPC + ECal ("SEASIDE") (System of Evaporated Argon for Systematics, Interactions, and Detailed Event Topologies)





Liquid Argon TPC ("LAGOON")

(Liquid Argon Gadget for On-axis and Off-axis Neutrinos

Example: Heavy Neutral Leptons



Breitbach Buonocore Frugiuele JK Mittnacht <u>arXiv:2102.03383</u> see also works by Ballett Boschi Coloma Dobrescu Fernandez-Martinez Gonzalez-Lopez Harnik Hernandez-Martinez Pascoli Pavlovic







Example: Heavy Neutral Leptons



Breitbach Buonocore Frugiuele JK Mittnacht <u>arXiv:2102.03383</u> see also works by Ballett Boschi Coloma Dobrescu Fernandez-Martinez Gonzalez-Lopez Harnik Hernandez-Martinez Pascoli Pavlovic





Example: Heavy Neutral Leptons



Breitbach Buonocore Frugiuele JK Mittnacht <u>arXiv:2102.03383</u> see also works by Ballett Boschi Coloma Dobrescu Fernandez-Martinez Gonzalez-Lopez Harnik Hernandez-Martinez Pascoli Pavlovic







- ★ Need to fully explore the potential of upcoming experiments for BSM Physics
- These are not single-purpose detectors any more, but multi-purpose facilities — much like the LHC



Summary









Challenge 1: Understanding Neutrino Interactions

- **Mallenge 2: Oscillation Anomalies**
- Challenge 3: Collective Oscillations
- Challenge 4: "New v Physics"
- **Summary**



Thank You!











Bonus Slides









Neutrino Interactions









Neutrino Event Generators

Phenomenological

- different physics models for different kinematic regimes (smooth transitions in between)
- Separation between ν-nucleon interaction, final state interactions, etc.
- **Mathemath States of the second secon**

Markov but theoretically inconsistent

e.g. GENIE, NuWro

Neutrino PLATFORM



erc

IGIU

First Principles

 unified theoretical framework (quantum transport equations for baryons & mesons)
 theoretically consistent
 not easily unable to data
 e.g. GiBUU



Neutrino Event Generators

Phenomenological

 different physics models for different kinematic regimes (smooth transitions in between)

Separat

V tuneab

interact

interact

Jut theoremany mee

First Principles

unified theoretical framework (quantum transport equations for baryons & mesons)

both approaches need to be pursued further and improved

lata

nt







Oscillation Anomalies








Global Fit to ν_e and $\overline{\nu}_e$ Disappearance



Dentler Hernández JK Maltoni Schwetz <u>1709.04294</u> Dentler Hernández JK Machado Maltoni Martinez Schwetz, *in preparation*



$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance



Dentler Hernández JK Machado Maltoni Martinez Schwetz, in preparation



$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance



Global fit to v_e appearance data consistent.



Dentler Hernández JK Machado Maltoni Martinez Schwetz, in preparation



Appearance vs. Disappearance



Dentler Hernández JK Machado Maltoni Martinez Schwetz, *in preparation* see also works by Collin Argüelles Conrad Shaevitz, e.g. <u>1607.00011</u>, Gariazzo Giunti Laveder Li, e.g. <u>1703.00860</u>







erc

$\Delta \to \gamma \, \mathsf{N}$





Mow reliable is the background estimate?



Testing the MiniBooNE Anomaly

Roxanne Guenette, Neutrino 2018





O we understand reactor neutrino fluxes?

- **Mew short-baseline experiments**
 - O looking for spectral wiggles (smoking-gun oscillation signature)
- Analyze isotope-dependence of the anomaly
 - $\mathbf{O} \mathbf{v}_{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{s}$ oscillation are isotope-independent
 - Problems with flux prediction are typically different for different fissible isotopes



















Decaying Sterile Neutrinos?



Idea: production of sterile neutrinos that quickly decay back into active neutrinos (+ light new scalar): $v_s \rightarrow v_a + \Phi$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -g \,\bar{\nu}_s \nu_s \phi - \sum_{a=e,\mu,\tau,s} m_{\alpha\beta} \,\bar{\nu}_\alpha \nu_\beta$$



- Idea: production of sterile neutrinos that quickly decay back into active neutrinos (+ light new scalar): $v_s \rightarrow v_a + \Phi$
- Excellent fit to MiniBooNE data





leutrino

LATFORM

erc

IGII

Idea: production of sterile neutrinos that quickly decay back into active neutrinos (+ light new scalar): $v_s \rightarrow v_a + \Phi$



- Idea: production of sterile neutrinos that quickly decay back into active neutrinos (+ light new scalar): $v_s \rightarrow v_a + \phi$
- Excellent fit to MiniBooNE data
- Consistent with all null results (incl. cosmology
- with small extensions: consistent also with LSND + reactors + gallium







Standard picture: v_s production via oscillation at T \ge MeV

 $\Sigma m_v \lesssim 0.12 \text{ eV} \neq$

New interactions in the v_s sectorHannestad et al. <u>1310.5926</u>Dasgupta JK, <u>1310.6337</u>O production suppressed by thermal potential

 ${\bf O}$ avoids N_{eff} constraint, weakens or avoids $\Sigma\,m_{\nu}$ constraint



Standard picture: v_s production via oscillation at T \ge MeV

 $\Sigma m_v \lesssim 0.12 \text{ eV} \neq$

New interactions in the v_s sector O production suppressed by thermal potential Hannestad et al. <u>1310.5926</u> Dasgupta JK, <u>1310.6337</u>

- ${\bf O}$ avoids N_{eff} constraint, weakens or avoids ${\bf \Sigma}\,m_{\nu}$ constraint
- \mathbf{V}_{s} properties change in late phase transition

Bezrukov Chudaykin Gorbunov, <u>1705.02184</u> Chu et al., <u>1806.10629</u>



Standard picture: v_s production via oscillation at T \ge MeV

 $\Sigma m_v \approx 0.12 \text{ eV} \neq$

New interactions in the v_s sector O production suppressed by thermal potential Hannestad et al. <u>1310.5926</u> Dasgupta JK, <u>1310.6337</u>

- \mathbf{O} avoids N_{eff} constraint, weakens or avoids Σm_v constraint
- \mathbf{V}_{s} properties change in late phase transition

Bezrukov Chudaykin Gorbunov, <u>1705.02184</u> Chu et al., <u>1806.10629</u>

Coupling to slow-rolling scalar field

Fardon Nelson Weiner, <u>astro-ph/0309800</u> Bezrukov Chudaykin Gorbunov, <u>1705.02184</u>



 \mathbf{M} Assume v_s coupled to new force mediator

Meutrino self-energy contributes to effective potential Veff







 \mathbf{M} Assume v_s coupled to new force mediator

Meutrino self-energy contributes to effective potential V^{eff}





Thermal propagators

$$S(p) = (\not p + m) \left[\frac{1}{p^2 - m^2} + i\Gamma_f(p) \right]$$
$$D^{\mu\nu}(p) = (-g^{\mu\nu} + p^{\mu}p^{\nu}/M^2) \left[\frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} + i\Gamma_b(p) \right]$$



 \mathbf{M} Assume v_s coupled to new force mediator

Meutrino self-energy contributes to effective potential Veff





Thermal propagators

$$S(p) = (\not p + m) \left[\frac{1}{p^2 - m^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_f(p)}_{p^2 - m^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_f(p)}_{p^2 - m^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_b(p)}_{p^2 - m^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_b(p)$$



 \mathbf{M} Assume v_s coupled to new force mediator

Meutrino self-energy contributes to effective potential Veff









Meutrino self-energy contributes to effective potential V^{eff}



$$S(p) = (\not p + m) \left[\frac{1}{p^2 - m^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_f(p)}_{p^2 - m^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_f(p)}_{p^2 - M^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_b(p)}_{p^2 - M^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_b(p)}_{p^2 - M^2} \underbrace{i\Gamma_b(p)}_{n_{f,b}(p) = [e^{|p \cdot u|/T_s} \pm 1]^{-1}} \right]$$



- **Solution** Effective potential $V^{eff} \gg \Delta m^2 / (2T)$: suppresses v_s production
- Later: equilibration between $v_{e,\mu,\tau}$ and v_s (*N*_{eff} is fixed by then)





Archidiacono et al. 1606.07673

Solution Effective potential $V^{eff} \gg \Delta m^2 / (2T)$: suppresses v_s production





New v Physics









Coherent forward scattering of neutrinos on DM

- O analogous to SM matter effects ("MSW effect")
- Requires huge DM number density
- **Fuzzy Dark Matter**
 - **O** scalar or vector, $m < 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$
 - **O** Compton wave length ~ pc
 - O Interesting for small scale structure

Krnjaic Machado Necib, <u>1705.06740</u> Brdar JK Liu Prass Wang, <u>1705.09455</u> Capozzi Shoemaker Vecchi <u>1804.05117</u>



Neutrino—DM Interactions









O Search for displaced decays of new particles

M DUNE / T2HK Near Detectors have similar configuration



Are O(0.01 G_F) Coupling Realistic?

✓ standard lore: because of SU(2)_L invariance, new neutrino interactions are accompanied by similar couplings of charged leptons → strong constraints

 \mathbf{M} but not always: consider charged $SU(2)_L$ singlet ϕ^+



Coupling can arise naturally from TeV scale new physics

Crivellin Kirk Manzari Panizzi <u>arXiv:2012.09845</u> Crivellin Esteban JK, *in preparation*

Example: Millicharged Particles







Electron Recoil Excess in Xenon1T



Image: SLAC

Xenon1T arXiv:2006.09721


Electron Recoil Excess in Xenon1T



Image: SLAC

Xenon1T arXiv:2006.09721



 $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \, \bar{\nu}_{L}^{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_{R}^{\beta} F_{\mu\nu}$





Content of the significantly enhanced in BSM theories



Simplest option: a singlet fermion N (sterile neutrino)
New transition magnetic moment operator

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \mu_N \, \bar{\nu}_L^\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} N_R F_{\mu\nu}$$







IGU

erc



Neutrino PLATFORM







erc











