# Measurement of the charm-mixing parameter $y_{CP}$

#### Lorenzo Capriotti

#### Università di Bologna e INFN, Sezione di Bologna



# 106° Congresso Nazionale SIF 16/09/2020

#### $C\!P$ violation and mixing in charm

Mass eigenstates of the neutral charm mesons:

$$|D_L\rangle = p |D^0\rangle + q |\overline{D^0}\rangle$$
$$|D_H\rangle = p |D^0\rangle - q |\overline{D^0}\rangle$$

Mixing parameters:

$$x = 2\frac{m_H - m_L}{\Gamma_H + \Gamma_L}$$
$$y = \frac{\Gamma_H - \Gamma_L}{\Gamma_H + \Gamma_L}$$

So that the time evolution looks like:

$$\langle D^{0}|D^{0}(t)\rangle = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left[\cosh\left(y\Gamma t\right) + \cos\left(x\Gamma t\right)\right]$$
$$\langle \overline{D^{0}}|D^{0}(t)\rangle = \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^{2} \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left[\cosh\left(y\Gamma t\right) - \cos\left(x\Gamma t\right)\right]$$

In the charm system:  $x, y \sim \mathcal{O}(0.01)$  $\rightarrow$  enormous oscillation period





## *CP* violation and mixing in charm



Direct *CP* violation



- Occurring when  $|A_f|^2 \neq |\overline{A}_{\overline{f}}|^2$
- $|A_f|^2$  decay amplitude to state f
- In charm is measured via CP asymmetries of two-body decays
- $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}^{KK} A_{CP}^{\pi\pi}$
- LHCb reported nonzero  $\Delta A_{CP}$  at  $5.3\sigma$  in 2019

Indirect *CP* violation



- Occurring when  $|p| \neq |q|$
- Also by interference between direct and mixing diagrams
- Accessible through time-dependent studies
- No evidence of mixing-induced *CP* violation in charm vet

[PRL 122 211803 (2019)]

Lorenzo Capriotti - Measurement of the charm-mixing parameter y<sub>CP</sub>

### $C\!P$ violation and mixing in charm



Because of mixing, for  $C\!P\text{-}\mathrm{even}$  final states the effective decay width  $\hat{\Gamma}$  differs from the average  $\Gamma$ 

$$y_{CP} = \frac{\hat{\Gamma}}{\Gamma} - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \left| \frac{q}{p} \right| + \left| \frac{p}{q} \right| \right) y \cos \phi_D - \left( \left| \frac{q}{p} \right| - \left| \frac{p}{q} \right| \right) x \sin \phi_D \right]$$

where  $\phi_D = \arg(\frac{q\overline{A}_f}{pA_f})$ .

- For |p| = |q| and  $\phi_D = 0$  (no *CP* violation), then  $y_{CP} = y$
- Any difference between  $y_{CP}$  and y would indicate CP violation in mixing
- $\bullet\,$  Sensitive to a broad class of non-SM processes that would enhance the oscillation rate or the  $C\!P$  violation strength
- World average  $y_{CP} = (0.715 \pm 0.111)\%$ , dominated by LHCb (Run 1)
- Compatible with  $y = (0.651^{+0.063}_{-0.069})\%$
- In this presentation: update of the LHCb Run 1 measurement using Run 2 data

[HFLAV website], [PRL 122 011802 (2019)]

Lorenzo Capriotti - Measurement of the charm-mixing parameter  $y_{CP}$ 

## The LHCb experiment at CERN



- Single-arm spectrometer designed for high precision flavour physics measurements
- Pseudorapidity range  $\eta \in [2, 5]$
- Highly efficient particle identification
- Very good momentum and IP resolution
- Excellent primary and secondary vertex reconstruction



#### Analysis strategy



Measurement of  $y_{CP}$  by taking the ratio of  $D^0 \to K^+ K^-$  or  $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$  to  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$  yields in order to extract  $\Delta \Gamma^{hh} = \Gamma^{hh} - \Gamma^{K\pi} = \hat{\Gamma} - \Gamma$ :

$$R^{hh} = \frac{N(D^0 \to h^+ h^-)}{N(D^0 \to K^- \pi^+)} \propto e^{-\Delta \Gamma^{hh}} \frac{\epsilon(D^0 \to h^+ h^-)}{\epsilon(D^0 \to K^- \pi^+)}.$$

Then, using the known average  $D^0$  lifetime:  $y_{C\!P} = \Delta \Gamma^{hh} \tau_{D^0}$ 

- 5.53 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp collisions collected by LHCb in 2016-2018 at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV
- Analysis ongoing: all plots are preliminary and results are blind (central value)
- $D^0$  candidates are reconstructed via semimuonic inclusive *B* decays, using a dedicated trigger-level selection and a multivariate offline selection

#### Decay-time binning and mass fits



Candidates from each channel are divided into 18 exclusive decay-time bins

- The decay time is calculated as  $t_D = m_D \frac{\vec{L} \cdot \vec{p}_D}{|\vec{p}_D|}$ , where  $\vec{L} = \vec{V}_D \vec{V}_B$
- The signal model is a sum of 4 Gaussians with power law tail to account for radiative loss of energy
- They share the same power tail parameter but different mean and width, and the model has been validated using high-statistics simulated samples
- Combinatorial background parametrised with an exponential function
- For each decay-time bin, signal yields for the three channels are extracted with a mass fit



#### Decay-time acceptance

Trigger, reconstruction and selection can distort the decay-time acceptance

- Mostly due to time resolution and kinematic cuts
- This effect needs to be disentangled in order to be able to access  $\Delta\Gamma^{hh}$
- Use the same decay-time binning as above
- Get the relative acceptance variation from simulated events, by comparing a sample with the same selection as data with another one without any selection
- $\bullet~$  Take the two ratios  $\epsilon^{KK}/\epsilon^{K\pi}$  and  $\epsilon^{\pi\pi}/\epsilon^{K\pi}$

These represent the distribution of relative variation of acceptance and must be applied as correction to  $R^{KK}$  and  $R^{\pi\pi}$  (obtained with the binned mass fits)





#### Summary of statistical and systematic errors



Evaluation of systematics is still ongoing. Sources include:

- Limited size of the simulated samples (expected to be dominant)
- Additional sources of background currently unaccounted for
- Decay model and composition of simulated samples
- Biases from the fit model and the decay-time resolution
- External  $D^0$  average lifetime (checked to be negligible up to  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ )

	$\sigma(\Delta_{\Gamma}^{KK}) \ [\mathrm{ps}^{-1}]$	$\sigma(\Delta_{\Gamma}^{\pi\pi})$ $[\mathrm{ps}^{-1}]$	$\sigma(y_{C\!P}^{K\!K}) \ [\%]$	$\sigma(y_{CP}^{\pi\pi})$ [%]
Simulated sample size	0.0011	0.0014	0.06	0.05
Total systematic Statistical only	$0.0011 \\ 0.0018$	$0.0014 \\ 0.0033$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\ 0.14 \end{array}$

Results



 $\Delta\Gamma^{hh}$  is obtained by fitting  $R^{KK}$  and  $R^{\pi\pi}$  after the decay-time acceptance correction, minimising

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{18} \frac{(N_{i}^{hh} - R_{i}^{hh} N_{i}^{K\pi})^{2}}{(\sigma_{N_{i}^{hh}})^{2} + (R_{i}^{hh} \sigma_{N_{i}^{K\pi}})^{2}}, \text{ where } R_{i}^{hh} = \mathcal{N}_{i} \frac{\epsilon_{i}(hh)}{\epsilon_{i}(K\pi)} \frac{\int_{\Delta t_{i}} e^{-(\Gamma^{K\pi} + \Delta\Gamma^{hh})t} dt}{\int_{\Delta t_{i}} e^{-\Gamma^{K\pi} t} dt}$$



Reminder: central values are randomised and only used to check compatibility

#### Conclusions



- Measurement of the charm-mixing parameter  $y_{CP}$  using LHCb Run 2 data
- Using the *CP*-even decays  $D^0 \to K^+K^-$  and  $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  to measure  $\Delta\Gamma$  with respect to  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$  decays
- $\Delta \Gamma^{KK} = -1.8527 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.0011 \text{ ps}^{-1}$
- $\Delta \Gamma^{\pi\pi} = -1.8603 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.0014 \text{ ps}^{-1}$

Using the average  $D^0$  lifetime  $\tau_{D^0} = 0.4101 \pm 0.0015$  ps as external input:

$$y_{CP}^{KK} = (75.98 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06)\%$$

$$y_{CP}^{\pi\pi} = (76.29 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05)\%$$

Compatible within  $1.8\sigma$ , and their combination:

$$y_{CP} = (76.08 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04)\%$$

Most precise measurement of  $y_{CP}$  from a single experiment and a factor of two better than the current world average