Pixel chamber: a silicon heavy-flavour imager with monolithic active pixel sensors for measurements of charm and beauty with unprecedented precision

Speaker: Alice Mulliri

M. Arba, P. Bhattacharya, E. Casula, C. Cicalò, A. De Falco, D. Marras, A. Masoni, A. Mulliri, S. Siddhanta, M. Tuveri, G. Usai - University of Cagliari and INFN, Italy

Sif conference 14-18 September 2020



REGIONE AUTÒNOMA DE SARDIGNA REGIONE AUTONOMA DELLA SARDEGNA





Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Charm and beauty

Charm and beauty quarks are very important for SM studies and for the research of new physics

• Long lifetime \rightarrow can travel distances from O(10-100 μm) up to mm before decay

Ideal detectors



 great spatial resolution to separate primary from secondary vertices

In modern vertex detectors silicon sensors (pixels or strips) are placed close to the interaction point (few centimetres away)



 $\sim 10 G pixels$ $\sim 10 m^2$

Pixel Chamber

Idea:

- stack of 216 ALPIDE chips
 - \circ matrix of 1024x512 pixels (~29x27 μm^2) in a surface of ~30x15 mm^2
 - \odot thickness of 50 μm
- 3D volume of 10^8 pixels! (~30x15x11 mm³)
- solid state bubble chamber
 - \odot allows to perform continuous tracking with very high precision: ${\sim}5\mu m$ spatial resolution
 - possibility to observe secondary vertices inside the detector





Alpide chip



Pixel Chamber: Geant4 Simulation

- Simulation of a protype made of 216 ALPIDE sensors
- Each sensor is a matrix of 1024x512 pixels
- 10⁴ p-Si inelastic interactions at 400 GeV/c:
- Beam simulation:
 - Gaussian y,z production
 coordinates with σ=0.2 mm
 - Considered some angular spread of beam direction



Clusterization: group hits in clusters

- Search of pixel neighbours
 - a neighbour of a hit pixel is another hit pixel for which the discrete distance is 1

≻Hit clusters

- Place a hit pixel into a cluster if it has 2 or 3 neighbours
- Consider a hit pixel as a noise point if:
 - > Number of neighbours < 2
 - Number of neighbours > 3 required to break clusters belonging to different tracks in regions with high density of hits (example figure right)



Algorithm: track finding

- Fit all reconstructed clusters with straight lines
- Merge of compatible linear clusters:
 Compatible direction cosines
 Cluster boundary points close to each other
- Further clusterization for noise points:
- Two more passes with less stringent neighbours condition:

Image: Provide the second s

Satisfactory reconstruction of most hadronic tracks



Tracks reconstruction efficiency

- The efficiency of the track reconstruction (Reconstructed/MC tracks) gets worst at the end of the detector
- Mean value of efficiency reconstruction:
 - ≻~80% with no cuts on the vertex position
 - ~90% with a cut on the vertex position along x < 5 mm</p>

MC vertex position (x) vs fracion of compatible clusters



Vertex Fit

Goal: determine x_v , y_v , z_v vertex coordinates

Algorithm based on a weighted Least Square fit procedure (from LHCb[1], ALICE, NA45 and NA60)
[1]M. Kucharczyk, P. Morawski, and M. Witek, Primary Vertex

Reconstruction at LHCb, LHCb-PUB-2014-044

- 1. Mandatory proton track: the track at the entrance of the sensor
- 2. First guess for the vertex coordinates:
 - end point of the proton track \rightarrow reasonably close to the primary vertex
- 3. All other tracks with χ^2 /ndf< 1.5 from the linear fit and with more than 50 points included in the vertex fit
- 4. A χ_{IP}^2 is calculated for each track that expresses the distance between the fitted vertex and the calculated one (obtained from the track fit)
- 5. Biweighted correlation used to assign a weight (W_T) to each track under test according to their χ^2_{IP} and some constants called Tukey's constants, in order to avoid the worsening of the vertex resolution due to tracks not well reconstructed

Steps of vertexing algorithm for primary vertex

5. Minimization of primary vertex χ^2_{PV} :

$$\chi_{PV}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{tracks}} \chi_{IP_i}^2 W_{T_i}$$

- 6. Iterative procedure repeated for different decreasing values of C_T
 - initially set to a large value (10⁶) to avoid convergence to a local minimum and decreased down iteratively \rightarrow iteration stopped upon convergence to final χ^2_{PV}
- 7. Updated vertex position used to recalculate χ^2_{IP} and W_T at each iteration:
 - Tracks with a zero weight at a certain iteration are not excluded → weight recalculated at the following iteration and attached to the PV if the updated weight is different from zero

Vertex resolution vs #tracks associated to vertex





MC primary vertex multiplicity

Resolution: σ of the residual (Reconstructed vertex coordinates – MC vertex coordinates) distributions. For N_{tracks}>2 • σ_x =15 µm • σ_y =2.4µm

• σ_z=1.8μm

Qualitatively the resolution with PixelChamber is a factor 10 better than LHCb

LHCb note Primary Vertex Reconstruction at LHCb, LHCb-PUB-2014-044 10

$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Example of} \\ \mbox{reconstructed} \\ \mbox{D}^0 \mbox{ secondary vertex} \end{array}$

Preliminary studies on secondary vertices for $D^0 \rightarrow K \pi$:

- vertex searched testing two tracks at a time usining the same algorithm described for primary vertex
- Monte Carlo truth for D⁰ vertex:
 - X_v = -4.160679 mm
 - Y_v = 0.202199 mm
 - Z_v = -0.514571 mm
- Reconstructed secondary vertex:
 - X_v = -4.170620 ± 0.002387 mm
 - $Y_v = 0.204738 \pm 0.000817 \text{ mm}$
 - $Z_v = -0.513834 \pm 0.000612 \text{ mm}$
- Residuals:
 - ΔX= -0.009941 mm
 - ΔY= 0.002539 mm
 - ΔZ= 0.000737 mm



Outlook

✓ Improvement of the track finding algorithm:

- Kalman filter to take into account multiple scattering
- Machine learning (neural network) for 3D imaging (in collaboration with M. Marchesi and R. Tonelli from Dipartimento di informatica)
- Finalize development of secondary vertex reconstruction algorithm (reconstruction of other charm and beauty states)
- Full reconstruction of charmed particles:
 - > Momentum measurements of decay products with a silicon telescope
 - > Detailed performance study of charm production at CERN SPS
- Construction of a detector prototype