MULTIPLE COULOMB SCATTERING

MCS MODEL

"An improved electron multiple-scattering distribution for Monte Carlo transport simulation" - Al.Beteri and D.E. Raeside

Multiple Coulomb scattering distribution is a composite function:

- scattering

1. modified Molière Gaussian term for small angle scattering

2. exponential term for the intermediate angle scattering region

3. modified relativistic Mott single-scattering term for large angle



METHODS

mathematical forms:

FIG. 1. A comparison of the three components of the composite distribution to the Hanson et al. data (Ref. 5) for 15.7-MeV electrons scattered by a 18.66-mg/cm² gold foil. The Gaussian component is represented by a dotted line, the exponential component by a solid line, and the single-scattering component by a dashed line. Also shown are the location of the cross over angles at $\theta = 1.5 W_c$ and $\theta = 3.0 W_c$.

 $2\pi\theta f(\theta)d\theta$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{2\theta}{WW_c} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{W_c^2}\right) d\theta, & \text{for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1.5W_c, \\ \frac{2\theta P_1}{WW_c} \exp\left(-\frac{P_2\theta}{W_c}\right) d\theta, & \text{for } 1.5W_c < \theta \leq 3.0W_c, \\ \frac{2W^2}{B\theta^3} \left(1 + \frac{\pi W}{\theta}\right) d\theta, & \text{for } 3.0W_c < \theta \leq \pi, \end{cases}$$

The proposed distribution components have the following





SAMPLING

The random sampling is a two step procedure:

- generation of random number r1 for the distribution through three integrals:
 - A_G for Gaussian component
 - A_E for exponential component
 - A_S for single-scattering component
- 2. generation of a second random r TRANSFORM METHOD

1. generation of random number r1 for the selection of the component of the composite

2. generation of a second random number r2 for the application of INVERSE



INVERSE TRANSFORM METHOD

Each cumulative probability of the three components is set equal to the random number r2:

Gaussian component

$$r_{2} = \int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{2\theta}{WW_{c}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^{2}}{W_{c}^{2}}\right) d\theta \quad \left[\int_{0}^{1.5W_{c}} \frac{2\theta}{WW_{c}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^{2}}{W_{c}^{2}}\right) d\theta\right] = \frac{W_{c}}{W} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^{2}}{W_{c}^{2}}\right)\right] / A_{G}$$

and solving for θ ,
$$\theta = W_{c} \left[-\ln(1 - 0.8946 r_{2})\right]^{1/2}.$$

Single-Scattering $r_{2} = \int_{3.0W_{c}}^{\theta} \frac{2W^{2}}{B\theta^{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\pi W}{\theta}\right) d\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2} &= \int_{1.5W_{c}}^{\theta} \frac{2\theta P_{1}}{WW_{c}} \exp\left(-\frac{P_{2}\theta}{W_{c}}\right) d\theta / \left[\int_{1.5W_{c}}^{3.0W_{c}} \frac{2\theta P_{1}}{WW_{c}} \exp\left(-\frac{P_{2}\theta}{W_{c}}\right) d\theta\right] \\ &= (C\{(3P_{2}+2)\exp(-1.5P_{2}) - [(2P_{2}\theta/W_{c}) + 2]\exp[-(P_{2}\theta)/W_{c}]\})/A_{E} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} r_{2} &= \int_{3.0W_{c}}^{\theta} \frac{2W^{2}}{B\theta^{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\pi W}{\theta}\right) d\theta \\ &\times \left[\int_{3.0W_{c}}^{\pi} \frac{2W^{2}}{B\theta^{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\pi W}{\theta}\right) d\theta\right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[\frac{W^{2}}{9BW_{c}^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi W}{9W_{c}}\right) - \frac{W^{2}}{B\theta^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi W}{3\theta}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Newton-Raphson Method was used for solving equations relative to exponential and singlescattering components

component





VALIDATION

Comparison with experimental results

PRELIMINARY



FIG. 4. The calculated composite probability distributions $2\pi\theta f(\theta)$ for 15.7-MeV electrons scattered by a 257.0-mg/cm² beryllium foil, represented by a solid line, and a 491.3-mg/cm² beryllium foil, represented by a dotted line, are compared to the distribution of 0.5×10^6 angles randomly sampled from the composite distribution corresponding to each thickness, shown as histograms. The excellent agreement verifies the correctness of the sampling algorithm.



- 15.7 MeV electrons on Be
- t_material_1 = 0.257 g/cm^2
- t_material_2 = 0.4913 g/cm^2





VALIDATION

• Comparison with experimental results





7

PROBLEMS

 W_c parameter is not well def of the article)

• W_c parameter is not well defined at low energies (by the authors

