## CYGNO PubCom meeting

E. Di Marco CYGNO PubCom meeting, 15 June 2020

## some introduction



- The number of papers is increasing, and public talks based on it are also many
- we have in place a review strategy since few months
  - >=2 internal reviewers, at least 1 of the PubCom members
- need of an organized procedure of review and bookkeeping of the papers, at least internally
  - Occam razor: no need of duplications, has to be simple for the majority of the people doing the work (paper development / review)
- after the publication, the list of final CYGNO papers can be linked to the CYGNO web page (together with the master, Ph.D. thesis, also?)

## GIT for internal bookkeeping INFN



- During the development of the paper, I propose that all the papers have the latex code and figures committed in github
- Tags enforced for revisions: not a version sent by mail, or a dropbox link that can be updated while doing the review, but a **frozen version** 
  - at least 3 steps: PubCom review, version sent to CYGNO, final version sent to the journal
- We can make a static web page (can be wiki) with links to each paper in git, including the PDF for easy access
- 1 GIT repository for each paper
  - a tag is a snapshot of the entire repository => for free the "final version" tag has also all the figures in the "final version"
  - for free, one has the <u>internal</u> repository of figures, w/o need of work duplication
- E.g.: V1 of paper of nuclear recoils paper at this link
  - CYGNUS-RD organization exists with most of the code (reconstruction, simulation, DAQ...)
  - need a github account / collaborator of we want to make them only privately accessible

## after the publication



- There is the publication on the journal web site
- we already require the submission on arXiv (open access), which is the widest "market" used in the field worldwide
- connected to <u>inspirehep.net</u> that helps finding papers in the arXiv database and more
  - theorists / experimentalists look typically here, for my experience
- I don't see a need to duplicate this with less internationally known tools
  - but I have only "user" limited view on this, if there are real needs with expertise, can implement other DB, repositories, etc