Ultrafast XUV Sources and Applications

Marc Vrakking

Workshop "Emerging Sources" Lund, June 12th 2007 Overview: Attosecond Science from a "user" perspective

What do we want?

What do we use as our starting point to get this?

What – as a result - can we presently do?

What can we presently <u>not</u> do?

What do we plan to do to get around this?

Acknowledgements

FOM-AMOLF,NL ◀····· Franck Lépine Yongfeng Ni Sergei Aseyev Matthias Kling ····· Jafar Khan Christian Siedschlag Tatiana Martchenko Omair Ghafur Anna Engqvist +Harm-Geert Muller

+technical support Rob Kemper Hinco Schoenmaker Anton Buijserd Ad de Snaijer Lund, SE
Per Johnsson
Thomas Remetter
Anne L'Huillier

Garching, D Matthias Uiberacker Martin Schultze Aart Verhoef Ferenc Krausz

Milano, It Giuseppe Sansone Mauro Nisoli

Funding: NWO-VICI, FOM-PR EU (MC-RTN *"XTRA*")

Femtosecond lasers are appropriate for studying <u>nuclear</u> dynamics





<u>Electron</u> dynamics in the ground or excited states of atoms or molecules requires the use attosecond lasers

N.B. Studying electron dynamics with femtosecond lasers requires slowing down the dynamics by working with Rydberg atoms or molecules

Wals. et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3783 (1994).

What do we want? Fundamental understanding of photo-excitation

" What does simple resonant excitation look like on sub-cycle timescales? "

"Textbook" answer: a two-level system subjected to resonant monochromatic radiation will start to perform Rabi oscillations

$$\Gamma(t) = N(t) \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{E}^2 f^2(t)}{\omega_L^3} \Phi(\gamma(t), \theta(t))\right).$$
(17)

The subcycle dependence in the preexponential factor N(t) can be ignored (up to the electric-field envelope). Indeed, at $\gamma \ll 1$ and $\gamma \approx 1$, ionization is strongly peaked around $\phi(t) = \omega_L t + \varphi_0 = \pi k$ and we only need to know $N(\phi = \pi k)$. At $\gamma \gg 1$, the subcycle dependence disappears, and knowing $N(\phi = \pi k)$ is again sufficient. Hence, it is sufficient to include the time dependence in N(t) via the envelope $\mathcal{E}f(t)$ only. Sub-cycle dependence of ionization rates:

Yudin & Ivanov, Phys. Rev. A 64, 013409 (2001)

Integral over photoelectron spectrum at 90 degrees, E <0.2,0.95 a.u.>



What do we want? Fundamental understanding of electron correlation Studying collective electron excitations in C₆₀ and nano-particles





Surface plasmo (22 eV) Volume plasmon (38 eV)

How is the collectivity established?

How is the collectivity lost?

What do we want? Coupling of electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom

Prediction by F. Remacle and R. Levine (PNAS 103, 6793 (2005)): Ultrafast electron transfer is possible in large bio-molecules.



Neutral TrpLeu₃

Cationic TrpLeu₃

Also: electron dynamics in strong laser fields

- dynamic alignment
- Coulomb explosion
- control of electron localization

Carrier-Envelope Phase Effects in Atomic Ionization



ATI in Xenon



Can carrier envelope phase control electron motion *inside* molecules?

Paulus et al, Nature 414 (2001) 182; PRL 91 (2003) 253004

Attosecond electron dynamics in molecules CEP control of electron localization





Recent experiment: angle-resolved D⁺ ion imaging using CEPlocked few-cycle laser pulses (w. Ferenc Krausz)

Asymmetry $(D^+_{up}-D^+_{down})/(D^+_{up}+D^+_{down})$



M. Kling et al., Science 312, 246 (2006)

Phase Control Mechanism -1



Recollision-induced population of the $2p\sigma_u^+$ state

Phase Control Mechanism -2



Preparation of coherent superposition state by stimulated emission to $1s\sigma_{a}^{+}$ state

Alternative Time-domain Picture

Carrier-envelope phase of a few-cycle laser allows *subcycle (attosecond) control of electron dynamics*









The electron oscillates between the two D+ ions, until this oscillation is impeded by the onset of a barrier between the two ions Overview: Attosecond Science from a "user" perspective

What do we want?

What do we use as our starting point to get this?

What – as a result - can we presently do?

What can we presently <u>not</u> do?

What do we plan to do to get around this?

High Harmonic Generation

Intense near-infrared femtosecond laser

<u>Step 1</u>: ionization and removal of an electron from the positive ion core

Step 2: acceleration of the electron in the oscillatory laser field

<u>Step 3</u>: recombination, accompanied by the emission of an XUV photon

Intense near-infrared femtosecond laser + XUV radiation (repetitive nature gives odd harmonics)

Dependence of few-cycle photoionization on the carrier envelope phase



Temporal emission measured with subcycle resolution

 \Rightarrow emission with sub-cycle time dependence and strongly depending on carrier phase

Guertler et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 063901 (2004)

The role of electron trajectories in photoionization - 1

Experiment: Ionization of Xe metastable atoms with a small excess kinetic energy, with a nanosecond laser



Nicole et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 133001 (2002)

Narrowband excitation near the fieldfree ionisation limit (above the saddle-point in the combined DC field + Coulomb potential) → monoenergetic photoelectrons



High Harmonic Generation

Intense near-infrared femtosecond laser

Step 1: Ionization near maximum of amplitude of laser electric field

<u>Step 2</u>: The electron follows a welldefined trajectory in the field

<u>Step 3</u>: The electron returns and recombines during a very finite part of the IR cycle

Intense near-infrared femtosecond laser + XUV radiation





Raw Photoelectron Images vs Time-delay

Velocity map imaging





Aseyev et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 223902 (2003)

Reconstructed attosecond pulses



Overview: Attosecond Science from a "user" perspective

What do we want?

What do we use as our starting point to get this?

What – as a result - can we presently do?

What can we presently <u>not</u> do?

What do we plan to do to get around this?

Two Families of Attosecond Laser Experiments

 High harmonic generation using a few-cycle (CEP-stabilized) laser pulse or using a pulse with a time-varying polarization → isolated attosecond pulses

Good for pump-probe experiments

2. High harmonic generation using a many-cycle laser pulse → train of attosecond laser pulses

Good for interferometry experiments

Two ways that we can sofar use these attosecond pulses in experiments

1. XUV ionization followed by acceleration of the ionized electron in a strong IR field (continuum)

Used in attosecond pulse characterization

Used in attosecond interferometry experiments

2. XUV excitation of bound states, followed by ionization in a strong IR field

Used to study bound state dynamics and/or timedependent ionization dynamics



Photoabsorption on attosecond timescales

Pump-probe experiment → isolated attosecond pulses

Bound state dynamics → time-dependent strong-field ionization



Time-resolving inner-atomic processes by tunnelling ⁷⁰] Shake-up satellites

Ne double ionization (XUV+IR)





=> shake-up + tunnelling ionization probe < 400 as



Time-resolving inner-atomic processes by tunneling



M Uiberacker et al., Nature 446, 627 (2007)

Changing the way people think about ionization ... is the 'conventional wisdom' correct?

Conventional wisdom: at low intensity ionization follows the envelope of the pulse, at high intensity it follows the field

TDSE simulation of Ne⁺ ionization at variable field strengths
→ the sub-cycle time dependence remains deep into the multi-photon regime!!!







Attosecond electron wavepacket interferometry

Interferometry experiment → train of attosecond pulses

Continuum dynamics → acceleration of electrons by strong laser field

Double-slit interference of photon or electron waves is a phenomenon that "is impossible, <u>absolutely</u> impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. In reality, it contains the only mystery".

(Richard Feynman, "Feynman Lectures on Physics, vol. 3)

nature physics

Glimpsing attosecond physics

OUANTUM DOTS Smooth spatial modulations

NUCLEAR PHYSICS The power of isospin symmetry

PSYCHOPHYSICS Optimal conditions at criticality



From optical interferometry...



 $|E(t) + E(t + \Delta t)e^{i\phi(\Delta t)}|^2 \propto 1 + \cos\phi(\Delta t)$



 $|E(\omega) + E(\omega + \Delta \omega)|^2 \propto 1 + \cos[\phi(\omega) - \phi(\omega + \Delta \omega)]$

... to attosecond electron wavepacket interferometry



Analogy between optical fields and electronic wavefunctions

- Electron wavepackets are prepared with attosecond light pulses (replication)
- Wavepackets acceleration by a strong IR laser field (phase and/or frequency modulation)

A(t) ~ ∫ **E(t)** dt

Simulation results: Two-pulse Electron Wavepacket Interference

 $p_{\rm v}$





 p_x

Can be used to measure properties of the infrared laser pulse



Can be used to measure the phase of the electron momentum wavefunction

Experimental results - 1



 $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (s) \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ p_{x} (10^{-24} \text{ N s}) \end{pmatrix}$

Experiment Calculation



 $= W \frac{\pi}{\hbar \omega} + (-1)^k \frac{2eA_0 p_y}{m \hbar \omega} \cos(\omega \tau)$

Measuring the vector potential of the infrared laser pulse

Experimental results - 2

2



0

 $p_x (10^{-24} \text{ N s})$

-2

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5)$$

$$(1.5$$

 $-\phi[\vec{p} + e\vec{A}(\frac{k\pi}{\omega}, \tau)] + \phi[\vec{p} - e\vec{A}(\frac{k\pi}{\omega}, \tau)]$

Measuring the electronic wave function in momentum space Overview: Attosecond Science from a "user" perspective

What do we want?

What do we use as our starting point to get this?

What – as a result - can we presently do?

What can we presently <u>not</u> do?

What do we plan to do to get around this?



We can't do even the simplest attosecond XUV pump – attosecond XUV probe experiment!!

N.B. Studying electron dynamics with femtosecond lasers requires slowing down the dynamics by working with Rydberg atoms or molecules

Wals. et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3783 (1994).

Two Families of Attosecond Laser Experiments

1. High harmonic generation using a many-cycle laser pulse → train of attosecond laser pulses

State of the art is ~ 10 μ Joule/harmonic (3x10¹² photons/harmonic at 30 eV) \rightarrow non-linear ionization "heroic"

 High harmonic generation using a few-cycle (CEP-stabilized) laser pulse or using a pulse with a time-varying polarization → isolated attosecond pulses

Typically ~ 10^7 photons/pulse or less

One "heroic" experiment

PRL 97, 153904 (2006)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 13 OCTOBER 2006

Interferometric Autocorrelation of an Attosecond Pulse Train in the Single-Cycle Regime

Yasuo Nabekawa,^{1,*} Toshihiko Shimizu,¹ Tomoya Okino,^{2,1} Kentaro Furusawa,¹ Hirokazu Hasegawa,¹ Kaoru Yamanouchi,^{2,1} and Katsumi Midorikawa¹

¹Laser Technology Laboratory, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan ²Department of Chemistry, School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan (Received 19 May 2006; published 13 October 2006)



Overview: Attosecond Science from a "user" perspective

What do we want?

What do we use as our starting point to get this?

What – as a result - can we presently do?

What can we presently <u>not</u> do?

What do we plan to do to get around this?

1) Our choices for going towards XUV-XUV experiments

Amplification of CEPstable 30 fsec pulses to TW-level Specialized detectors: Development of hydrid COLTRIMS/Velocity Map Imaging detector

Successful Attosecond Experiment

Development of (chirped) XUV multilayer optics

Special target injection

Polarization gating for isolated attosecond pulses + few-cycle UV









Streaking of electrons produced by ionization of Neon by a 90 eV isolated attosecond pulse (recently measured in Garching, using velocity map imaging)

1) Exploiting the complementarity between harmonics-based and FEL-based experiments



Experimental results: magnetic bottle



Second harmonic



The ratio between the second harmonic and the fundamental increases towards the end of the bunches

Experimental results: velocity map imaging



inversie



Explore the utility of velocity map imaging at the FEL : O₂



Conclusions and Outlook

Attosecond science is beginning to answer questions!

Isolated attosecond pulses:

- monitoring of electron dynamics in pump-probe experiments (first step: CEP control of electron dynamics in D_2) and
- monitoring of shake-up & Auger processes in Ne and Xe

✤ Attosecond pulse trains:

- used in attosecond electron wave packet interferometry

Eventual goal: insight into the elementory electronic processes that occur during photo-absorption and that accompany chemical rearrangements

Synergie between harmonics-based attosecond sources and XUV FELs will aid both fields