

FramentatiOn Of Target

Z identification with TW

Federica Murtas, Alessio Sarti, Marco Toppi







What we want to do



The energy loss in the TW as a function of the time of flight information, can be used to assign the Z to each track (TW hit) in order to identify the fragment produced by the beam on the target.

The idea (FIRST) is to parametrize Bethe-Bloch curves as a function of ToF and assign to each TW hit (ToF,Eloss) the Z corresponding to the closest BB curve

Bethe-Bloch parametrization

Bethe-Bloch parametrization from Monte Carlo truth, asking for primary fragmentation and Z_MC



Bethe-Bloch parametrization

➢ Bethe-Bloch parametrization from Monte Carlo truth, asking for primary fragmentation and Z_MC → next: parameters from FLUKA to have a better descriptin at low energy (for more abundant isotopes)



In MC reco...



- The Bethe Bloch, curves obtained are superimposed on the **reconstructed MC**.
- Resolutions implemented in shoe are not tuned on data yet:
- Energy resolution 10% (reasonable),
- ToF 0.5-9% according to some exponential dependence with Eloss: A*exp(-B*Eloss)+C from TW paper

In MC reco...

dist_Z2





- The Bethe Bloch, curves obtained are superimposed on the **reconstructed MC**.
- Resolutions implemented in shoe are not tuned on data yet:
- Energy resolution 10% (reasonable),
- ToF 0.5-9% according to some exponential dependence with Eloss: A*exp(-B*Eloss)+C from TW paper

Critical Aspects





 This approach suffers more once energy and tof resolution are taken into accout.

Critical Aspects



- This approach suffers more once energy and tof resolution are taken into accout.
- Contamination from neutrons and secondary fragmentation (expecially of the protons at very low Eloss)



Algorithm performances: MC true

twZID_MCtrue





Algorithm performances: MC true

twZID

Z_MC



Algorithm implemented in shoe master branch (TATWparCal)...

Tested in true MC: wrong ZID~1.2% N(ZID!=Zrec>0)/N(Z rec>0)

10

Algorithm performances: MC true





Algorithm performances: MC rec





Algorithm performances dE_vs_Tof_1 dE_vs_Tof_1 120 Entries 13-0 Mean x 23 Il statistics: dE_vs_Tof_2 100 dE_vs_Tof 2 160 @200 MeV/n 120 Entries 80 Mean x Mean y 100 RMS x RMS y 60 80 dE_vs_Tof_2 10 Entries 40 89 10 Mean x 60 Mean y 45 RMS x 47 20 18-1 RMS y 57 10=1 40 1 10-1 10 0 2 20 18⁻² 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 10⁻¹ 10 12 14 16 18 20 Fron 2 6 8 í٥ 4 Z=2 20 10⁻² 10⁻² 0 L 0 25/03/20 12 18 13 20 2 6 8 10 14 16 4

ZID in shoe



Everything implemented in TATWparCal.* Methods to retrieve the reconstructed Charge therein: GetCharzeZ()

ZID optimized for different energy/ion/geometry set-up. Needed config file for BB. Need to set-up the right beam variables in geomaps(/GSI/)TAGdetector.map Message in the output to remind to change beam parameters accordingly with input

!!!! ATTENTION ATTENTION ATTENTION !!!

In file ./geomaps/GSI/TAGdetector.map the following beam parameters for a 160 beam have been set: BeamEnergy: 0.200 GeV/u BeamAtomicMass: 16 BeamAtomicNumber: 8 BeamMaterial: "O" Change such parameters in ./geomaps/GSI/TAGdetector.map accordingly to the input file

Conclusions

- Implemented in shoe a new algorithm for Z identification.
- Algorithm performances: wrong assigment ~ 1.2% (overall)
- On MC reco~ 18% (overall)

Next steps:

- Implement in shoe Pisa group TW calibration to be applied to GSI data
- Tuning of MC resolution and threshold on calibrated data
- Trying different BB parametrization to improve Zid (from MC)

