





The University of Manchester

# Microchannel CO<sub>2</sub> cooling for silicon detectors

Oscar Augusto de Aguiar Francisco on behalf of the VELO and CERN EP-DT groups Pisa Meeting May 22-28 2022

# LHCb VELO Cooling Upgrade

Module key features:

- The closest distance to LHC beam will be 5.1 mm
- (down from 8.2 mm)
- Very high (8  $\times$  10<sup>15</sup> neq/cm<sup>2</sup>) and non-uniform

radiation ( $\sim r^{-2}$ )

Detector active area 0.12 m<sup>2</sup>

More information in VELO U1 poster, Gianluca





- Maximum detector temperature —20°C to mitigate radiation damage
- Operates under vacuum
- Cooling retracted by 5 mm in the inner region to further reduce the material budget
- $\sim 30W$  power dissipation per module
  - Sensor area  $\sim 1 W/cm^2$



## Microchannels evaporative cooling



- Coolant in bi-phase regime (liquid/gas)
  - Heat produced by the electronics is absorbed by the liquid which turns into gas
  - Coolant decreases temperature from input to output
- CO<sub>2</sub> coolant:
  - High Latent heat, low viscosity and radiation hard
  - Lowest operational temperature defined by solidification
    - Stable operation at -47°C at cooling plant (*Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., A* 936 (2019) 648-649)
    - Solidification at  $-56.6^{\circ}$ C
  - High pressure
    - Evaporator highest pressure during normal operation at ~20 bar ( $-30^{\circ}$ C, LHCb VELO module)
    - At room temperature, the pressure is around 65 bar
    - Safety validation of evaporators up to 186 bar (safety factor on top of burst disks pressure)

## Microchannels embedded in Silicon

- Main advantages:
  - No CTE mismatch with respect to the silicon detector
  - Low and homogeneous material budget
  - Radiation hardness
  - Excellent cooling performance
- Thermal performance usually represented by the thermal figure of merit:

 $TFM = \frac{\Delta T(Sensor, coolant)}{Power/Area}$ 

- Main challenges:
  - Production and cost ([1][2] and reusability [3])
  - Cooling integration
  - More fragile than conservative alternatives



 $\Delta T$ 

## Microchannels LHCb VELO

- Race-track like layout
  - 500  $\mu m$  thick silicon cooler
- Restrictions to ensure even flow distribution and prevent instabilities (about 40 mm long)
- Power dissipation in the main channels which are around 260 mm long
- 19 channels with approximately the same length (~30 cm)
- Every channel has its own input/output



Main channel: 120 x 200 µm<sup>2</sup>

## Production process @ CEA LETI

Courtesy of CERN EP-DT group



Etching 550  $\mu$ m, double side polished Si (60  $\mu$ m ± 3  $\mu$ m, 115  $\mu$ m ± 6  $\mu$ m)

bonding of cap wafer with 400 nm at the bonding interface



thinning of cap wafer to 240 μm (790 μm total thickness)



thinning of channels wafer to 260 μm (500 μm total thickness)

Metalization: Ti(200nm), Ni(350nm), Au(500nm)



## High pressure resistance



## Bonding Q&A [1]

Scanning Acoustic Microscope Images features enhanced





## Connectorization

More information [1][2]

Fluxless process to avoid long term corrosive effects in the cooling system





## Substrates Q&A



-----

Helium leak test (vacuum inside)

#### 3D X-ray tomography





Adixen ASM 142



Oscar Augusto

## Module assembly

## Transport by personnel through plane or car No transport casualties Transport by plane or consolidated truck Front vie Microchannel >More information in VELO Carbon-fibe U1 poster, Gianluca Cooling p Back view 8 8 8 A

81/52 Grade A/B substrates produced with 87% yield and additional lower grade substrates

Oscar Augusto

Final module built in the assembly sites

## LHCb VELO Upgrade installation



### Both detectors halves installed and being commissioned

## Additional techniques

Alternative bonding techniques (anodic bonding, ...) Smaller cooling substrates More info in VELO U2 talk,

R&D on new connectorization techniques



CMOS compatible process potential post processing step holds 110 bars



Build the connector with a mold already attached to the cooling substrate

sensors

Efren Rodriguez

Most ambitious approach:

bring the cooling to the

AIDA-2020-NOTE

Marcel Vos, Forum on tracking detector mechanics, 2019

R&D @ CPPM Laser etching and anodic bonding 5 x 10 cm channels per wafer 200 um x 70 um x 4.5 cm per channel Next step: connector with anodic bonding







Short-pulse Laser-Assisted Fabrication of a Si-SiO2 Microcooling Device, A. Mouskeftaras et al

Oscar Augusto

# 3D printing

• Several options of materials: Ti, SiC, Alumina,...

- Ti key features:
  - Low material budget overall
  - Easier to handle (compared to Si)
  - Restrictions can be integrated in the inlet
  - (0.35 mm x 0.35 mm x 40 mm)
  - Easier to integrate with the cooling plant
  - High pressure test (up to 250 bar)
  - Leak tight (at least 250 µm wall)
  - Deflection due to temperature variations (< 100  $\mu$ m)



- Production:
  - Relatively cheap (<500 EUR/module, including welding capillaries)</li>
  - Fast turnaround for design changes (weeks)
  - Fast production: 25/batch, 1 batch/ few days
- Main challenges:
  - Glue layer, thermal performance, production irregularities and flatness





successful cooling test ( $\Delta T \sim 13^{\circ}C$ )

X-rav



Aluminum Nitride (Shapal):

- Machinable ceramic
- Thermal expansion coefficient: 4.8 x 10<sup>-6</sup>/K
- Thermal conductivity: 92 W/m°C
- Tubes glued to the trenches
  Creative Materials <u>122-39 (SD)</u>
- Thermal deflections < 100 um with constraint system</li>





Orifices (125 +/- 5  $\mu$ m) in the input trigger the boiling of the CO<sub>2</sub> and prevent instabilities among the channels

## Conclusion

- The evaporative cooling through microchannels embedded in silicon has several advantages such as minimal material budget, no CTE mismatch with respect to the silicon sensor and great cooling performance
- The main challenge for the LHCb VELO U1 was the development of the fluidic connector attachment technique
- Alternative techniques are being developed to reduce the cost of microchannels in silicon and look for alternative connectorizations procedures
- In addition, other techniques as additive manufacturing are being considered for the VELO Upgrade 2 (2030) due to its robustness and more flexible 3D design Thank you very much for your attention!
- Very exciting R&D ahead of us for the VELO Upgrade 2

## Backup slides

## High pressure resistance



## Silicon cover thickness



## Material budget



## LHCb Dectector Upgrade



Oscar Augusto