



Roberto Dinapoli :: PSD Detector Group :: Paul Scherrer Institut

# MYTHEN-III, a high performance, single photon counting strip detector

15<sup>th</sup> Pisa meeting on advanced detectors 22-28.05.2022



- Several applications in Photon Science (PS) are 1D do not require pixels
- 1D ASIC design less challenging
- Smaller ASIC required
- Simpler control/readout logic
- Less channels per area
  - Faster frame rate
  - Smaller data throughput
  - Resources much less critical
- Wire- instead of bump- bonding
  - Cheaper
  - Smaller pitches possible
  - Simpler
- Playground for new ideas
- Up-to-date ASICs required



# – Easier, cheaper, faster





## MYTHEN II (2007-present)



Schmitt,et al, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A 501 (2003), 267-272

Roberto Dinapoli



Mythen III for powder diffraction

- Same sensors as Mythen II: 1280 strips/module, 50 µm pitch, 8 mm length
- 120 degrees on two rows without gaps (24 x 2 modules)
  - -76 cm distance from diffractometer center
  - -4 mdeg intrinsic angular resolution
- Sensor material and characteristics can be changed (e.g.LGADs, HighZ)





## MY<sub>3</sub> design specifications







Modes of operation

The chip can work in different modes of operation:

- 1. Normal counting mode
  - a) My2 equivalent
  - b) With energy windowing
  - c) With multi-threshold rate correction
- 2. Pump-probe mode
- 3. Time over threshold
- 4. Interpolation mode
- 5. Improved performance in pulsed mode
- 6. Analog pulsing mode
- 7. Digital pulsing mode
- 8. Trim bits load mode
- 9. Chip status load



Modes of operation

The chip can work in different modes of operation:

- 1. Normal counting mode
  - a) My2 equivalent
  - b) With energy windowing
  - c) With multi-threshold rate correction
- 2. Pump-probe mode
- 3. Time over threshold
- 4. Interpolation mode
- 5. Improved performance in pulsed mode
- 6. Analog pulsing mode
- 7. Digital pulsing mode
- 8. Trim bits load mode
- 9. Chip status load

Trivial

15th Pisa Meeting

Not tested





TRIMMING Reduce threshold dispersion from 123 e- untrimmed to < **6e**trimmed





## TRIMMING Reduce threshold dispersion from 123 e- untrimmed to < 6etrimmed

TEMPERATURE STABILITY More pronounced in high-gain (slow) settings

Change in gain: ~0.3% /°C



Maximum readout speed: 390 kHz in 8bit and dead-time free mode
 Time resolved experiments e.g. : In situ multilayer reacting foils



Maximum readout speed: 390 kHz in 8bit and dead-time free mode
 Time resolved experiments e.g. : In situ multilayer reacting foils



> Selection of the characteristic line, synchrotron higher harmonic suppression



> Selection of the characteristic line, synchrotron higher harmonic suppression





Pump-Probe configuration

- Stroboscopic measurements with up to 3 temporal counting slots
  - Pumped-unpumped measurements with isolated/sliced bunch





Pump-Probe configuration





# Time-over-threshold operation with int. oscillator



• Two comparators, one generates clock. One counter: internally clocked if signal >Vth.



# Time-over-threshold operation with int. oscillator



• Two comparators, one generates clock. One counter: internally clocked if signal >Vth.





same as energy windowing







- Rate scan with 3 thresholds to track pile-up
- Pile-up model: (paralyzable)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(\phi_0) &= e^{-\phi_0 \tau_d} \\ \epsilon_2(\phi_0) &= e^{-\phi_0 \tau_d} \cdot (1 - e^{-\phi_0 \tau_d}) \\ \epsilon_3(\phi_0) &= e^{-\phi_0 \tau_d} \cdot (1 - e^{-\phi_0 \tau_d}) \cdot (1 - e^{-\phi_0 \tau_d}) \\ \epsilon_{sum} &= \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 > \epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

- Model total efficiency as sum of 3 counters
- Fit with paralyzable model  $\rightarrow$  dead time  $\tau_d$  (1 to 3 counters)

15th Pisa Meeting

Roberto Dinapoli





- Rate scan with 3 thresholds to track pile-up
- Pile-up model: (paralyzable)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(\phi_0) &= e^{-\phi_0\tau_d} \\ \epsilon_2(\phi_0) &= e^{-\phi_0\tau_d} \cdot (1 - e^{-\phi_0\tau_d}) \\ \epsilon_3(\phi_0) &= e^{-\phi_0\tau_d} \cdot (1 - e^{-\phi_0\tau_d}) \cdot (1 - e^{-\phi_0\tau_d}) \\ \epsilon_{sum} &= \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 > \epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

- Model total efficiency as sum of 3 counters
- Fit with paralyzable model  $\rightarrow$  dead time  $\tau_d$  (1 to 3 counters)





- Determine dead time and noise

   If gain ↑ : noise ↓ and dead time ↑
- Calculate rate per strip at 90% efficiency:  $-\varepsilon_{sum} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$

settings	1 counter	3 counters	
Slow	1.3 MHz	7.4 MHz	
Medium	1.4 MHz	8.2 MHz	
Fast	3.5 MHz	20.9 MHz	

Minimum achievable noise: 110 e- rms (not shown)





- Determine dead time and noise
   If gain ↑ : noise ↓ and dead time ↑
- Calculate rate per strip at 90% efficiency:  $-\varepsilon_{sum} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$

settings	1 counter	3 counters
Slow	1.3 MHz	7.4 MHz
Medium	1.4 MHz	8.2 MHz
Fast	3.5 MHz	20.9 MHz

 $\rightarrow$  Meets requirements for SLS 2

Minimum achievable noise: 110 e- rms (not shown)



- Inter-strip communication between neighbors
- Redistribute counts: left, central, right counter
- $\rightarrow$  Virtually split strips  $\rightarrow$  better resolution

15th Pisa Meeting

Roberto Dinapoli



#### Interpolation: Siemens star



- Imaging with 1D detectors: thin slit in front of detector, scan sample, 25 μm steps in vertical
- External pitch of star: 60  $\mu$ m, 2 mm diameter



12.6 keV beam



- Imaging with 1D detectors: thin slit in front of detector, scan sample, 25 μm steps in vertical
- External pitch of star: 60  $\mu$ m, 2 mm diameter

 $\rightarrow$ Impossible to resolve spikes (in horizontal) in normal mode



Interpolation: Siemens star  $\checkmark$ 

12.6 keV beam



- Imaging with 1D detectors: thin slit in front of detector, scan sample, 25 µm steps in vertical
- External pitch of star: 60  $\mu$ m, 2 mm diameter

 $\rightarrow$ Impossible to resolve spikes (in horizontal) in normal mode

- $\rightarrow$ Distinguishable in interpolation mode!
- $\rightarrow$ More quantitative tests are ongoing





- Increase SNR
  - Low Gain Avalanche Detectors (LGADs)
  - Segmented avalanche photodiodes with limited gain, no dark counts
  - -Timing not important for PS
  - Already proven with other detectors SNRx5
  - Ideal for soft X-ray single photon







- Increase SNR
  - Low Gain Avalanche Detectors (LGADs)
  - Segmented avalanche photodiodes with limited gain, no dark counts
  - -Timing not important for PS
  - Already proven with other detectors SNRx5
  - Ideal for soft X-ray single photon





#### Inverse LGADs with MYTHEN





#### Inverse LGADs with MYTHEN





- Full detector (120 degrees) currently installed at Material Science (SLS)
  - The detector runs flawless
  - -First experiments ongoing
- Several modules installed as beam monitors (polarization, I0 monitor, position(?))





15th Pisa Meeting



#### E Summary

MYTHEN II (250nm)	MYTHEN III specs	MYTHEN III (110nm) meas	Lower er detectab
200-250e- ~4.5keV	150-200e- ~3.5keV	110-250e- ~3keV	fluoresce
ca. 25 e-	20e-	6e- 🗸	Better fla
0.1-1 MHz	>2MHz	1 counter: 1.3-3.5 MHz 3 counters: 7.4–20.9 MHz	Higher flu
0.1-1 kHz No	>10 kHz Yes	40-400 kHz Yes	Time resc experime
???	"Good enough"	ca. 0.3%/°C 🗸	Reliable ca
h+	h+/e-	$\checkmark$	Use with Hig materials or LGADS
1, no logic	3, with counting logic	$\checkmark$	
	MYTHEN II         (250nm)         200-250e-         ~4.5keV         ca. 25 e-         0.1-1 MHz         0.1-1 kHz         No         ???         h+         1, no logic	MYTHEN III (250nm)MYTHEN III specs200-250e- ~4.5keV150-200e- ~3.5keVca. 25 e-20e-0.1-1 MHz>2MHz0.1-1 kHz No>10 kHz Yes???"Good enough"h+h+/e-1, no logic3, with counting logic	MYTHEN II         MYTHEN III         (110nm) meas         200-250e- ~3.5keV         110-250e- ~3keV         3keV         Additional and

15th Pisa Meeting

Roberto Dinapoli



#### E Summary

	MYTHEN II (250nm)	MYTHEN III specs	MYTHEN III (110nm) meas	Lower energies detectable Better	
Noise Min. Thresh.	200-250e- ~4.5keV	150-200e- ~3.5keV	110-250e- ~3keV	fluorescence suppression Better flat field	
Threshold dispersion	ca. 25 e-	20e-	6e-		
Count rate capability @90%	0.1-1 MHz	>2MHz	<i>1 counter:</i> 1.3-3.5 MHz <i>3 counters:</i> 7.4–20.9 MHz	Higher fluxes	
Frame rate	0.1-1 kHz	>10 kHz	40-400 kHz	Time resolved Standard mode Pump-probe Energy windowing Rate correction Interpolation Time over threshold Pulsed mode	
Dead time free	INO	res	res V		
Temperature stability	???	"Good enough"	ca. 0.3%/°C 🗸		
Polarity	h+	h+/e-	$\checkmark$		
Counters	1, no logic	3, with counting logic	$\checkmark$		
15th Pisa Meeting					



## Acknowledgements



#### MS beamline

Nicola Casati



Antonio Cervellino



## Photon Science Detector Group Paul Scherrer institut



## Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

#### My thanks go to PSD detector group

- Bernd Schmitt
- Rebecca Barten
- Filippo Baruffaldi
- Anna Bergamaschi
- Martin Brueckner
- Maria Carulla
- Roberto Dinapoli
- Erik Froejdh
- Dominic Greiffenberg
- Shqipe Hasanaj
- Julian Heymes
- Viktoria Hinger
- Thomas King
- Pawel Koslowski
- Carlos Lopez Cuenca
- Davide Mezza
- Aldo Mozzanica
- Christian Ruder
- Dhanya Thattil
- Jiaguo Zhang

#### **MS** beamline

- Nicola Casati
- Antonio Cervellino



...and many collaborators at the beamlines