



# Development of AC-LGADs for large-scale high-precision timing and position measurements

Jennifer Ott

jeott@ucsc.edu



15<sup>th</sup> Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors May 22-28, 2022 La Biodola, Isola d'Elba



**UCSC**: J. Ott, S. M. Mazza, J.-L. Cazalis, S. Letts, G. Lozano, A. Molnar, M. Nizam, E. Ryan, T. Shin, M. Wong, N. Yoho, Y. Zhao, H.F.-W. Sadrozinski, B. Schumm, A. Seiden

Brookhaven: G. D'Amen, G. Giacomini, W. Chen, A. Tricoli

Fermilab: C. Madrid, R. Heller, C. Peña, S. Xie, A. Apresyan

University of Tsukuba & KEK: I. Goya, K. Hara, S. Kita, K. Nakamura, T. Ueda

Rice University: W. Li

UIC: Z. Ye

**INFN Torino & FBK**: N. Cartiglia, V. Sola, R. Arcidiacono, F. Siviero, M. Tornago, M. Mandurrino, M. Ferrero, M. Boscardin, G. Borghi, G. Paternoster, F. Ficorella, M. Centis Vignali, G.F. Dalla Betta, L. Pancheri



- LHC and HL-LHC: high energies, luminosities in p-p collisions pileup and radiation damage
- Phase-2 upgrades for ATLAS and CMS: improvement of tracking detectors (silicon pixels and strips) + installation of dedicated timing detectors to reduce effect of pileup at extreme luminosities

LHC nominal: 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>



HL-LHC: 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>



 4D tracking is going to be essential in future high-energy physics experiments to mitigate effects of higher luminosity and pile-up and to improve tracking, vertexing and timing precision

CMS Collaboration, A MIP Timing Detector for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade, CERN-LHCC-2019-003, 2019 ATLAS Collaboration, A High-Granularity Timing Detector for the ATLAS Phase-II Upgrade, CERN-LHCC-2018-023, 2018 H. F.-W. Sadrozinski et al, 4D tracking with ultra-fast silicon detectors, Reports on Progress in Physics 2018, 81, 026101 D. Berry et al, Snowmass White Paper: 4-Dimensional Trackers, https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.13900, 2022



AC-LGADs for high-precision timing and tracking, PM2021

Ott et al,

- Silicon low-gain avalanche diodes (LGADs) are studied by the CMS and ATLAS experiments for their endcap timing detector upgrades
  - Thin sensors, typical thickness 50 μm
  - Low to moderate gain (5-50) provided by p<sup>+</sup> multiplication layer
  - Timing resolution down to ca. 20 ps
  - Good radiation hardness up to 10<sup>15</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>

#### • A more recent development: AC-coupled LGAD



H. F.-W. Sadrozinski et al, *4D tracking with ultra-fast silicon detectors*, Reports on Progress in Physics 2018, 81, 026101 CMS Collaboration, *A MIP Timing Detector for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade*, CERN-LHCC-2019-003, 2019 ATLAS Collaboration, *A High-Granularity Timing Detector for the ATLAS Phase-II Upgrade*, CERN-LHCC-2018-023, 2018



- In AC-coupled LGADs, also referred to as Resistive Silicon Detectors (RSD), the multiplication layer and n<sup>+</sup> contact are continuous, only the metal is patterned:
  - > The signal is read out from metal pads on top of a continuous layer of dielectric
  - The underlying resistive n<sup>+</sup> implant is contacted only by a separate grounding contact
  - No junction termination extension: fill factor ~100
- The continuous n<sup>+</sup> layer is resistive, i.e. extraction of charges is not direct
  - Mirroring of charge at the n<sup>+</sup> layer on the metal pads: AC-coupling
  - Strong sharing of charge between metal pads
  - Extrapolation of position based on signal sharing finer position resolution for larger pitch, also allowing for more sparse readout channels



G. Giacomini et al., Fabrication and performance of AC-coupled LGADs, JINST 2019, 14, P09004

- A. Apresyan et al., Measurements of an AC-LGAD strip sensor with a 120 GeV proton beam, JINST 2020, 15, P09038
- S. M. Mazza, An LGAD-Based Full Active Target for the PIONEER Experiment, Instruments 2021, 5(4), 40



- Gain layer doping
  - Suitable gain, breakdown voltage, radiation hardness...
- Thinner sensors: from 50 to below 30 μm
  - Faster signal rise time and charge collection time
  - Reducing Landau component of the timing resolution
    > Towards 10 ps timing resolution
- n<sup>+</sup> layer resistivity
- Dielectric
- Segmentation
  - Type: pad/pixel, strip
  - Geometry: rectangular, cross-shaped, ...
  - Metal size
  - Pitch



Ott et al, AC-LGADs for high-precision timing and tracking, PM2021

#### **Brookhaven National Laboratory**

120 GeV proton beam at the Fermilab test beam facility

BNL 2021 Strip sensor Metal width 80 μm, three different pitches:

> Narrow, 100 μm Medium, 150 μm Wide, 200 μm

IR Laser TCT

BNL 2021, new production Variations in both pitch and metal width

- 100/200/300 μm pitch with 50 % metal
- Uniform strips: 500 μm pitch 200 μm metal

Including long(er) strips of 1 cm and 2.5 cm







Strip length ca. 2.5 cm

C. Madrid, 39<sup>th</sup> RD50 Workshop, November 2021 (https://indico.cern.ch/event/1074989/contributions/4602013/)

#### Position resolution by signal sharing

#### Case of two adjacent strips

 Averaged maximum pulse height (*pmax*): The pmax sum ist not constant under the strip metal, but fairly constant between strip centers



• The pmax fraction of an individual strip is defined as:

 $pmax \ fraction \ (channel) = \frac{pmax \ (channel)}{\sum pmax}$ 

• The position resolution can be calculated from the fraction of pmax at a given position (fitted with an error function):

position resolution  $\sigma_{pos} = \sqrt{2} \frac{d(position)}{d(fraction)}$ 



and tracking, PM2021 Ott et al, AC-LGADs for high-precision timing



### Position resolution in BNL 2021 strips

- Strip pitch is expected to and appears to have a large impact on charge sharing as seen in the pmax fraction profile ...
- ... position resolution of ca. 15 μm at the respective strip metal centers (end of the data points in the plot): in fact very similar for all three pitches
- Between strips, a position resolution of  $\sim 6 \, \mu m$  or less is reached; slightly better for smaller pitch
  - At best, < 1/20 of the pitch





$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_{Landau}^2 + \sigma_{Jitter}^2 + \sigma_{TimeWalk}^2 + \sigma_{TDC}^2 + \sigma_{Distortion}^2$$

- AC-LGADs provide comparable performance to conventional LGADs, determined by largely by the gain layer: < 40 ps established, 20 ps reachable</li>
- Impact of signal sharing on timing resolution:
  - Weighted reconstruction of several contributions can improve timing resolution





- Closer examination of the individual strips' pmax profiles reveals contribution from next and even second neighboring strip
- Actual sharing extends from the central strip almost to the far edge of the next neighbor
  - Localization indicates induced charge on the neighboring strips, not purely conduction through the resistive n<sup>+</sup> layer





#### Charge on neighboring strips





- Selection: proton track on strip #6
- "in-time" data within 1 ns time window of the main signal
- Constant, position-independent pmax (above noise) at longer distance from hit – not predicted by simulations
  - Sharing or pick-up from the n<sup>+</sup> layer?





#### Laser study of charge sharing

- 500µm-pitch/200µm-metal sensor differs from others in terms of charge sharing, but still provides < 20µm position resolution between metal strips
- Strip *length* also increases charge sharing





#### Laser study of charge sharing





Ott et al, AC-LGADs for high-precision timing and tracking, PM2021

- Emphasis on electrode shape and geometry in FBK RSD2\*
  - Various shapes: strips, regular rectangles, circles, crosses, stars...
  - Geometry: electrodes arranged on a square grid or on triangles
  - Metallization: e.g. cutting out the metal on strips, leaving a "frame" instead of a fully metallized strip

Direct impact on electrode capacitance



### Impact of n<sup>+</sup> implant dose on position resolution

- Charge sharing in terms of pmax fraction, and subsequently position resolution can be determined in the same way for pad sensors
- B2 and C2 refer here to different n<sup>+</sup> implant doses\*
  - Effect of n<sup>+</sup> resistivity on is significant
  - n<sup>+</sup> resistivity is another parameter to tune charge sharing (to the requirements of specific applications)



\* K. Nakamura et al, First Prototype of Finely Segmented HPK AC-LGAD Detectors, JPS Conf. Proc. 34, 010016 (2021)

# SCIPP

### Example of future experiments: PIONEER

- New pion decay experiment approved at PSI, data taking to be started in 2028 - first beam time assigned for May 2022
- Design baseline for the Active TARget: 2x2 cm<sup>2</sup> area with 48 planes of 120 μm thick AC-LGAD strips, pitch ca. 200 μm
  - Large energy deposition by stopping particles: need sufficient charge sharing to provide good spatial resolution, but not enough to occupy large areas of the sensor from one hit





Poster

PIONEER: Studies of Rare Pion Decays, https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.01981 (2022) S. M. Mazza, An LGAD-Based Full Active Target for the PIONEER Experiment, *Instruments* **2021**, *5*(4), 40



- Recently issued recommendation for Detector 1: largely based on the ECCE design, also influence from ATHENA
- Both designs include a time-of-flight particle ID detector layer with AC-LGADs as baseline technology



https://www.ecce-eic.org

T. Horn et al, https://indico.bnl.gov/event/14994/contributions/60656/attachments/40379/67415/ECCE-Bi-Weekly-Meeting-03282022.pdf https://indico.bnl.gov/event/15371/contributions/62712/attachments/40742/68079/Detector%201%20Introduction%20and%20Overview %20Rev%205.pdf



- Recently issued recommendation for Detector 1: largely based on the ECCE design, also influence from ATHENA
- Both designs include a time-of-flight particle ID detector layer, with AC-LGADs as baseline technology
- *R&D efforts ongoing and ramping up!*
- Radiation hardness of timing detectors less challenging more important:
  - Combination of precise temporal and spatial resolution: 25 ps and 30  $\mu m$  / hit
  - Low material budget
- Decisions on sensor geometry and fabrication, and readout electronics to be made soon

https://www.ecce-eic.org

T. Horn et al, https://indico.bnl.gov/event/14994/contributions/60656/attachments/40379/67415/ECCE-Bi-Weekly-Meeting-03282022.pdf https://indico.bnl.gov/event/15371/contributions/62712/attachments/40742/68079/Detector%201%20Introduction%20and%20Overview %20Rev%205.pdf



- Thanks to signal sharing, AC-LGADs can achieve remarkable position resolution even with large and widely spaced electrodes
  - Less than 1/20 of the pitch
- Charge sharing in AC-LGADs is a complex phenomenon, and is influenced by the pattern of the metal electrode (width, pitch, geometry), as well as n<sup>+</sup> layer resistivity
  - Induction of signal on neighboring electrodes is observed
  - Examination of the noise distributions in terms of pulse height *and* time improves the separation of real signals from noise

#### Extensive ongoing research on AC-LGADs towards precision timing and 4-dimensional tracking in future colliders and experiments

- Efforts will provide valuable information for adjusting the properties of future AC-LGAD sensors to their targeted applications
- Including development of readout electronics!
- Precise timing and position resolution and fast charge collection time is also attractive to other fields, such as synchrotron beam monitoring, photon counting, etc



## Thank you!









US-Japan Collaborative Consortium (Development of AC-LGADs for 4D trackers)

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy, grant DE-SC0010107-005











Finnish Cultural Foundation













## Thank you!



### BACKUP

J. Ott et al, AC-LGADs for high-precision timing and tracking, PM2021



Ch2

Ch3

Ch4 Ch5

Ch6

140

- Signal in second neighbors is observed, but with lower amplitude, wider spread in pmax and peak time *tmax*
- Pulse shape (when amplitude is normalized) is in fact not distinctly different







- Test beam: time stamp relative to trigger
- Especially with fast sensors like (AC-)LGADs, precise timing of the signal is interesting for the understanding charge sharing and the role of noise
- in-time events: within certain tmax bin of the trigger - here: within 1 ns of the channel under investigation
- out-of-time events: events outside of the decided timeframe
  - Out-of-time bin after signal has higher noise: analysis focuses on bins before signal



#### Separation of real signals: In-time vs out-of-time

• Noise and signal pmax distributions can be distinct – or very close together, almost indistinguishable

Visible by in-time/out-of-time separation



#### Separation of real signals: In-time vs out-of-time

- Smaller time window reduces noise contribution to signal
- The choice of model used to describe the signal (mean, Landau, Gaussian) does not have a strong impact on signal/noise separation
- Even at large distances from the triggered channel, in-time signal pulse heights are above the noise floor

