Background rate of X-ray TES micro-calorimeter arrays for elusive particle search experiments

D. Vaccaro¹, L. Gottardi¹, H. Akamatsu¹, K. Nagayoshi¹, E. Taralli¹, J. van der Kuur², M. de Wit¹, K. Ravensberg¹, J.-R. Gao^{1,3}, J.W.A. den Herder^{1,4}

[1] NWO-I/SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands
 [2] NWO-I/SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, 9747 AD Groningen, The Netherlands
 [3] Optics Group, Delft University of Technology, Delft, 2628 CJ, The Netherlands
 [4] Universiteit van Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1090GE, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

- To achieve the extreme sensitivities necessary to perform elusive particle searches like β-decay spectroscopy for neutrino mass measurement or dark matter detection, future experiments are considering employing large arrays of cryogenic detectors, such as metallic-magnetic calorimeters (MMCs) or transition-edge sensors (TES) [1].
- A TES is a thin film of superconducting material weakly coupled to a thermal bath typically at *T* < 100 mK, that can be used as a radiation detector by exploiting its very sharp phase transition. We have been developing X-ray TES micro-calorimeters optimized for X-ray astronomy up to energies of 12 keV, as well as a frequency-domain multiplexing (FDM) [2] technology to perform their readout. Energies up to ~10 keV are compatible with the expected spectrum of axion-like particles arriving on Earth generated in the Sun by electron processes and Primakoff conversion [3], which will be investigated in the future by axion helioscopes such as IAXO [4] and its prototype BabyIAXO. A fundamental instrumental requirement is the background of the X-ray detectors, which should be at a level < 10⁻⁸ keV⁻¹cm⁻²s⁻¹ (10⁻⁷ keV⁻¹cm⁻²s⁻¹ for BabyIAXO) [5]. TES represent a suitable choice for this science case, given their high energy resolution and quantum efficiency, low intrinsic background and scalability to large (~1000s) arrays.
- In this contribution we present a measurement of X-ray background, using a TES array with 240×240 μm2 absorber area and energy resolution at a level of 2 eV at 5.9 keV with an FDM readout [6].
- We show the data analysis method and prospect possible improvements, such as coupling with a cryogenic anti-coincidence and the introduction of a PTFE and Pb shielding around the sensitive area of the setup, to further reduce the background rate from the measured level with our current TES array, non-optimized for such purpose.

SCIENCE CASE: SOLAR AXIONS

- Axion originally introduced to solve the strong CP problem [7].
- Axions and axion-like particles (ALPs) are attractive candidates for dark matter [8] and to explain other astrophysical observations [9].
- Axions are theoretically generated in the Sun via electron processes and Primakoff conversion. The axion flux on Earth is observable via helioscopes, exploiting the axions coupling with a magnetic field *B* of length *L*. For light axions ($m_a < 10$ meV) the conversion probability is [10]:

$$P_{a\to\gamma} = \left(g_{a\gamma}BL/2\right)$$

- The energy spectrum of conversion photons has a range of up to approximately 10 keV, with the Primakoff contribution peaked at 3 keV (for $g_{ay} = 10^{-11}$) GeV⁻¹.
- CAST set the best upper limit yet on $g_{a\gamma}$ to 6.6×10^{-11} GeV⁻¹ [11]. Its successor IAXO will target a sensitivity on $g_{a\gamma}$ of 10^{-12} GeV⁻¹. IAXO's baseline detectors are CAST's

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP: TES array + FDM readout



Micromegas time-projection chambers [12]. For systematics reduction, different detector technologies with similar low background should be employed.



DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS PIPELINE

- TES current from 27 pixels continuosly acquired in 5-minutes chunks (2861 "noise" events, 16384 samples @ 156.25 kHz sampling rate)
- Acquired event processing:
 - TES baseline subtraction and drift correction
 - Pulse shape search
 - Storing of recorded pulse shapes with pixel and timing info for offline analysis
- Photons from ⁵⁵Fe source + Modulated X-ray Source (MXS) for energy calibration:
 - Cr-Ka (5.41 keV), Mn-Ka (5.90 keV), Cu-Ka (8.05 keV)
 - Cr-Kβ (5.95 keV), Mn-Kβ (6.49 keV), Cu-Kβ (8.91 keV)
- Source X-ray spectrum calibrated using optimal filtering in frequency domain [13]
- Optimal filter fit positions estimation via Gaussian fitting of spectral lines (A)
- Energy scale calibration using a 3rd order polynome (B)
- Energy scale cross-calibration between optimal filter and pulse surface
 Event selection:
 - Energy from pulse surface: exclude baseline drifts/spurious signals
 - Temporal coincidence: exclude cosmic muons hits
- Energy scale correction in the 0.1 keV to 12 keV range (nominal range for our TES)
- Correction for quantum efficiency for 2.3 μm Au absorber [14] (C)
- X-ray background rate estimation from histogram:

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE} = \frac{N_{count}}{\Delta E_{bin}E}$$

- Total active area $A = 27 \times (240 \ \mu m)^2 = 0.015552 \ cm^2 (8 \times 0.15 \ cm^2 \ for \ IAXO \ [5])$
- > Total acquisition time $t_{noShield} = 41.6 \ days, t_{withShield} = 50.6 \ days$
- \blacktriangleright Poisson uncertainty per bin σ given by square root of bin counts N_{counts}
- Background estimated via Maximum Likelihood estimators (uniform hypothesis):

$$\sum n N I / -2$$



RESULTS



Fit of histogram with Moyal distribution to check impact from cosmic muons:

s√2π **References**

- L. Gottardi *and* K. Nagayoshi, *Appl. Sci.* 2021, *11*(9), 3793
 H. Akamatsu, D. Vaccaro *et al.*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 119, 182601 (2021)
- 3. J. Redondo, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, JCAP12(2013)008
- 4. E. Armengaud, F.T. Avignone *et al.*, Journal of Instrumentation 9 T05002 (2014)
- 5. C. A.J. O'Hare, A. Caputo *et al.*, Physical Review D 102, 043019 (2020)
- 5. D. Vaccaro, H. Akamatsu *et al.*, contribution #300 at this conference
- 7. R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Physical Review Letters 38 (1977) 1440
- B. L.F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Physics Letters B 120 (1983) 133
- A. Mirizzi, G.G. Raffelt *et al.*, Astrophysics Journal 682 (2008) L109
- 10. J. Jaeckel and L.J. Thormaehlen, Physical Review D 100, 123020 (2019)
- 11. V. Anastassopoulos, S. Aune *et al.*, Nature Physics 13 (2017) 584
- 12. J.G. Garza, S. Aune *et al.*, EPJ Web of Conferences 174, 01008 (2018)
- 13. A.E. Szymkowiak, R.L. Kelley et al., Journal of Low Temperature Physics, volume 93 281-285 (1993)
- 14. https://henke.lbl.gov/optical_constants/filter2.html
- 15. D. Unger, A. Abeln *et al.*, Journal of Instrumentation, 16 P06006 (2021)
- 16. S. Lotti, M. D"Andrea et al., The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 909, Number 2 (2021)

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE OUTLOOK

- Measured X-ray background without dedicated shielding = 1.18(5)×10⁻³ cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹
- Measured X-ray background with Pb+PTFE shielding = $2.4(2) \times 10^{-4}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹:
 - ✓ comparable level to latest status of R&D for MMCs for BabyIAXO: 1.20(8)×10⁻⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ keV⁻¹ [15]
 - ✓ statistics hints that background is still dominated by cosmic rays interactions
- Further improvement on the background level can be achieved by:
 - Integrating an active shielding for cosmic ray rejection, such as Athena X-IFU Cryo-AC (factor 30 improvement expected) [16]
 - Ad-hoc detector array and focal plane design, aided by simulations (xifusim, Geant4)
 - Employing of materials with higher radio-purity
 - Operation in dedicated underground facility

Netherlands Institute for Space Research www.sron.nl