Mo-100 monopole & quadrupole strength with triaxiality: A time-dependent density functional study

16 June 2023

COMEX 7, Catania, IT

Yue Shi (石跃)¹ and Paul Stevenson²

¹Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, CN ²University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

SURREY



Motivation



ISGMR response in 94,96,97,98,100Mo @ RCNP Osaka

Evidence of increasing structure as one moves away from the ⁹⁰Zr doubly-closed shell

Two-Lorentzian fits show increasing second mode contributing as N increases

K. Howard, Ph.D. thesis, Notre Dame University (2020), <u>10.7274/p2676t08h50</u>

Previous studies



Colò et al. peformed (Q)RPA calculations with axial deformation, interpreting lower bump/peak in Mo-100 as due to quadrupole mode



Some evidence / previous calculations suggesting that Mo-100 could be triaxially deformed in its ground state

e.g. Relativistic mean field DD-PC1 interaction (H. Abusara et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 054302 (2017))



Our method: triaxial TDHF+BCS



• New code from Yue Shi: C++ 3D TDHF code, planned publication 2023.

• Benchmarked against Sky3D TDHF code Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 2195 (2015)

Static HF + BCS + shape constraints

• $Q_{20} = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2$ • $Q_{22} = x^2 - y^2$

We map out potential energy surface & then use several points on the surface as starting points for giant monopole excitations

Yue Shi and P. D. Stevenson Chin. Phys. C 47 034105 (2023) – this work

Y. Shi, N. Hinohara, and B. Schuetrumpf, Phys. Rev. C 102, 044325 (2020) for methodological details

Time-dependent calculation: initial boost at t=0

$$\psi_{i,q}(r,\sigma;t=0+) \equiv \exp(-i\,\epsilon\,r^2)\psi_{i,q}(r,\sigma),$$

Followed by time-evolution, then measurement of monopole strength = Fourier Transform of timedependent monopole moment

$$S(E;E0) = -\frac{1}{\pi\hbar\epsilon} \operatorname{Im} \int \delta D(t) \,\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}E - \Gamma/2)t/\hbar} \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

Ground state structure





From a representative selection of Skyrme force parameterisations

- SkM* designed to reproduce large deformation fission isomers
- SkP with m*/m=1 and good single particle spectra
- UNEDF1 a large scale fit with high deformation included
- SLy4 with special attention to neutron rich matter and neutron star properties.

Two minima: spherical and triaxial, though disagreement about which is ground state



Monopole response (SkM*)





Monopole Response (SkP)



Monopole-Octupole Coupling



In TDHF, the vibrating nucleus will vibrate with all available multipoles if they can be coupled to the *kick* excitation through single-body dynamics

If we *kick* with one operator, M, and *measure* with another operator, Q, our Fourier Transform is

 $S(E) = \Sigma_{\nu} \langle 0 | M | \nu \rangle \langle \nu | Q | 0 \rangle \delta(E - E_{\nu}).$

Thus we can see where both monopole (M) and quadrupole (Q) strength overlap.

A spherically-symmetric excitation of a deformed nucleus acts with different strength on different sides of the nucleus, and induces quadrupole modes





Quadrupole response to monopole kick





- Strong quadrupole response at low energy ~12 MeV region
- Second quadrupole mode appears for finite gamma
- Similar response for 20 and 22 modes for "monopole" peak

•
$$Q_{20} = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2$$

• $Q_{21} = xz$
• $Q_{22} = x^2 - y^2$



... and the dipole mode

We only looked at the monopole mode, but since our paper was published (early 2023), a dipole mode calculation of Mo-100 was made (A. Ait Ben Mennana and M. Oulne NPA1034, 122644 (2023)).

They started from axial and triaxial minima and see better agreement in triaxial case

This was a TDHF+BCS calculation with Sky3D code & Skyrme force SLy6

A. Ait Ben Mennana and M. Oulne

tot ¹⁰⁰Mo +v-mode z-mode Exp. Dipoles strength(arb.units) $\beta_2 = 0.219$ v=60.0° (a) x-mode --y-mode ----z-mode - $\beta_2 = 0.242$ γ=23.0° (b) 15 10 20 25 5 E(MeV)

Nuclear Physics A 1034 (2023) 122644





Conclusions

TDHF built on HF+BCS shape-constrained states can demonstrate effect of shape on (monopole) response

Mo-100 monopole response suggests triaxial ground state; dipole calculations reinforce this conclusion

Explicit coupling between monopole and quadrupole modes show mixed nature of lower energy peak, confirming Colò et al.'s inference



Science and Technology Facilities Council

