Deblurring for Nuclei: 3D Characteristics of Heavy-Ion Collisions

Pawel Danielewicz

Facility for Rare Isotope Beams Michigan State University

X International Symposium on Nuclear Symmetry Energy

26-30 September, 2022



Start at NuSYM2018

Busan, S Korea, Sep 10-13, 2018



Talk on flow by Mizuki Nishimura Should flow be studied as before?? Teaching optics... Possible nuclear & high-energy applications beyond heavy ions



Paradigm: Triple-Differential Yields from Data

Distributions for *Fixed Direction of Reaction Plane* from Theory and Experiment

Claim: You can go from center to right panel through deblurring for data



no control over plane



some control, v_n



full control, $\frac{d^3N}{dp^3}$



Danielewicz

Conclusions

Deblurring by Example

Budd, Crime Fighting Math, plus.maths.org magazine

Blurred Photo of Moving Car

Deblurred





Photo of Parked Car



Fast Moving





Lu *et al.*, IEEE Trans Image Processing 25, 2311 (2016)

Deblurring in Optical Microscopy

Before and After Nearest Neighbor Deconvolution Analysis





(a) Figure 1 (b) https://micro.magnet.fsu.edu/primer/ digitalimaging/deconvolution



Detector efficiency ϵ , *n* measured ptcle number, *N* actual number

 $N\simeq \frac{1}{\epsilon}n$

Typical energy loss in thick target $\overline{\Delta E}$ for detected particle

 $E_{\rm prod} \simeq E_{\rm det} + \overline{\Delta E}$

General problem stated probabilistically, with $P(\zeta|\xi)$ - probability to measure ptcle characteristic to be ζ when it is actually ξ

$$n(\zeta) = \int \mathrm{d}\xi \, P(\zeta|\xi) \, N(\xi)$$

For small distortions, *P* finite only when ζ little different from ξ . Optical terminology: *P* - blurring or transfer function.



Conclusions

Bayesian Deblurring

Distorted $n(\zeta)$ measured, while pristine $N(\xi)$ sought:

 $n(\zeta) = \int \mathrm{d}\xi \, P(\zeta|\xi) \, N(\xi)$

 $P(\zeta|\xi)$ - probability that ptcle with ζ detected while it really has characteristic ξ , understood given the method/apparatus, can be simulated (Geant4) & can depend on *N*

 $Q(\xi|\zeta)$ - complementary probability that ptcle has characteristic ξ while measured at ζ - unknown.

Bayesian relation: number of times ptcle has characteristic in d ξ while measured in d ζ is

 $P(\zeta|\xi) N(\xi) d\xi d\zeta = Q(\xi|\zeta) n(\zeta) d\xi d\zeta$ Hence $N(\xi) = \frac{\int d\zeta Q(\xi|\zeta) n(\zeta)}{\int d\zeta' P(\zeta'|\xi)}, \quad Q(\xi|\zeta) = \frac{P(\zeta|\xi) N(\xi)}{\int d\xi' P(\zeta|\xi') N(\xi')}$

Richardson-Lucy method solves eqs iteratively till stabilization

Richardson-Lucy (RL) Method from Astronomy

Iterative method, *r* - iteration index

$n^{(r)}(\zeta)$	=	$\int d\xi \boldsymbol{P}^{(r)}(\zeta \xi) \boldsymbol{N}^{(r)}(\xi)$
$A^{(r)}(\xi)$	=	$\frac{\int d\zeta \frac{n(\zeta)}{n^{(r)}(\zeta)} P^{(r)}(\zeta \xi)}{\int d\zeta' P^{(r)}(\zeta' \xi)}$
$V^{(r+1)}(\xi)$	=	$A^{(r)}(\xi) N^{(r)}(\xi)$

 $\xi \& \zeta$ are binned (pixelated), n & N are arrays and P transformation (transfer) matrix from the method/apparatus. Deblurring amounts to iterative multiplication of arrays by matrices + matrix reconstruction. Typical start: $N^{(1)}(\xi) = n(\xi)$ Richardson JOSA 62(1972)55 ; Lucy AJ 79(1974)745 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richardson-Lucy_deconvolution PD&Kurata-Nishimura PRC105(2022)034608 Other methods include Fourier transformation



3D Nature of Collisions of Heavy Nuclei Transport simulation of 2 GeV/nucl Au + Au at b = 6 fm PD et al. Science298(2002)1592 z - beam, x - reaction plane x (fm)10 - 10 010 - 10 010 - 10 0-10010 - 10 010 30 (fin) -10010 - 10 0 $10 - 10 \ 0 \ 10 - 10 \ 0 \ 10 - 10 \ 0$ 10 x (fm)figure by Bill Lynch Rich 3D structure, but no control over the reaction-plane direction in experiment



Deblurring

Danielewicz

Estimating Reaction-Plane Direction



Any direct record of 3D characteristics will be blurred!

Plane direction estimated with

$$\mathbf{q}_{\mu} = rac{1}{N}\sum_{
u
eq\mu}\omega_{
u}\,\mathbf{p}_{
u}^{\perp} ~~\omega_{
u} = egin{cases} +1, & ext{if}\, oldsymbol{p}_{
u}^{z} > 0 \ -1, & ext{if}\, oldsymbol{p}_{
u}^{z} < 0 \end{cases}$$

N - measured particle multiplicity; other ptcles in the event used as reference for μ

PD&Odyniec PLB157(85)146 Problem: Reference vector \mathbf{q}_{μ} Gaussian fluctuates around true plane direction



Current Solution: Angular Moments of Distributions

Solution: average angular moments (azimuthal Fourier coefficients)

 $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}} = \langle \cos \mathbf{n} \phi \rangle$

ϕ - angle relative to true reaction plane

Voloshin&Zhang ZfPhC70(1996)665

v_n derived from average scalar products/contractions, e.g.,

 $\left< \mathbf{p}_{\mu}^{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\mu} \right> \simeq \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\perp} \left< \boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{x}} \right> \left< \cos \phi \right>$

for different p^{\perp} , y and ptcle ID

Problem: unclear physics in v_n especially for higher n

1.23 GeV/nucl Au + Au $b \simeq 6$ fm HADES PRL125(2020)262301



Schematic 1D Model

Proposition: Carry out as good determination of 3D info as you can

& refine with deblurring. \mathcal{W} ? First 1D deblurring test. Projectile at unknown velocity V deexcites emitting N = 10ptcles distributed with box-like dN/dv in projectile cm. Task: Measuring ptcles in lab, determine dN/dv. Cm velocity V' estimated from remaining ptcles, so V' & dN/dv'smeared:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}v'} = \int \mathrm{d}V' \,\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}V'} \,\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}v}$$

PD&Kurata-Nishimura PRC105(2022)034608



Deblurring



Customary thermal model with flow, N, d, t, ³He, ⁴He. $\langle Z_{Tot} \rangle = 50$ Rapidity dstr, temperature & flow typical for semicentral collisions at 300 MeV/nucl



RL deblur + central-limit Strong anisotropies restored!





Triple differential spectrum in reaction plane:



Deblurring

Conclusions

Why 3D Characteristics?

Transport-model simulation of 270 MeV/nucl ¹³²Sn + ¹²⁴Sn collision at b = 3.3 fm 3-differential spectrum for the same conditions as in thermal model, but looks very different. Not parabolic, i.e., Gaussian, cusps, different left-right slopes, knees. Steeper slope on spectator side, softer on participant. Physics??

Averaged over ϕ , the spectrum would look thermal and no obvious sign in v_n ...



Symmetry energy at $\rho > \rho_0$?

Deblurring allows to effectively look into the heart of matter, unobscured high-density central region in the collisions Transport-model simulations of 250 MeV/nucl ²⁰⁸Pb + ²⁰⁸Pb collisions w/medium-soft & stiff symmetry energy. n/p yield ratio at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$, perp to reaction plane, and $\gamma_{cm} = 0$.

So (MeV) L (MeV) 38.7 30.2 8 37.4 105.5 2 dN_n/dN_p 8 0 8 8 $y_{R}=0$ 8 $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ $b=4 \text{ fm } 250 \text{ MeV}/u^{208} \text{Pb} + ^{208} \text{Pb}$ δO 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.7 p_{v} (GeV/c) RIR

Conclusions

- Deblurring: strong record in optics & fields that heavily rely on optics: forensic science, astronomy & microscopy
- Deblurring can expand the reach of measurement ahead of any comparison to theory
- No reason for deblurring to confine to photons and not extend to other particles - its domain are probabilities
- Nuclear applications likely to involve significant inefficiencies and possible nonlinearities, but deblurring can still work
- Deblurring should effectively allow to control reaction plane in energetic heavy-ion collisions, hopefully expand horizons

PD&Kurata-Nishimura PRC105(2022)034608

Supported by US Department of Energy under Grant US DE-SC0019209



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト