# Constraining positron emission from pulsar populations with AMS-02 data

Based on L. Orusa, S. Manconi, M. Di Mauro, FD 2107.06300, to appear in JCAP

### Fiorenza Donalo

Torino University and INFN

Trieste, Solar Modulation and Dark Matter Workshop 18 Nov 2021

### Introduction to the talk

• The positron flux shows (Pamela, AMS data) the need of primary source at high energies. Pulsars could do the job.





•HAWC has detected a TeV gamma-ray halo around Geminga and Monogem pulsars. Interpreted as e+e- accelerated by the pulsar, then released in the ISM



HAWC Coll. Science 2017

# Sources of et in the Milky Way

Inelastic hadronic collisions (asymm.)
Pulsar wind nebulae (PWN) (symm.)
Supernova remnants (SNR) (only e+)
Particle Dark Matter annihilation (e+,e-)?

# Detected et and et are local

$$\lambda^{2}(E, E_{S}) = 4 \int_{E}^{E_{S}} dE' \frac{D(E')}{b_{loss}(E')}$$
 Typical propagation length in the Galaxy

#### Manconi, Di Mauro, FD JCAP 2017



Most powerful sources within 3 kpc from the Sun. SNRs (e-) and PWN (e+e-)

e-, e+ have strong radiative cooling and arrive at Earth if produced within few kpc around it

# Pulsars (PWN) as CR ete- sources

Pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe) as engines of et

- High magnetic fields (10<sup>9</sup>-10<sup>12</sup> G) extract wind of efrom the pulsar surface, e<sup>±</sup> pairs produced in EM cascades
- Pulsar spin-down energy (Wo) is transferred to et pairs, accelerated to very high energy with Q ~ E-Y.



After several kyrs et can be released in the ISM

 These et pairs radiate by IC and synch., and shine at many frequencies

$$E_{\rm tot} = \eta W_0 = \int_0^T dt \int_{E_1}^\infty dE E Q(E, t)$$

The total energy  $E_{tot}$  emitted in  $e\pm$  by a PWN is a fraction  $\eta$  (efficiency conversion) of the spin-down energy Wo. Relevant parameters:  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$ 

### Multi-wavelength emission from Pulsars

 e<sup>±</sup> pairs accelerated by Pulsar Wind Nebulae (PWN) loose energy by inverse Compton scattering (ICS) on background photons (CMB, IR, VIS) and by synchrotron emission -> photon PRODUCTION

> A cascade of photons, in a broad E range. Now also in γ rays



•e<sup>±</sup> suffer strong radiative cooling -> they probe LOCAL Galaxy, typically < 5 kpc for E > 10 GeV

# Discovers of y-ray halos in Fermi-LAT data

M. Di Mauro, S. Manconi, FD, PRD 2019;

M. Di Mauro, S. Manconi, M. Negro, FD, PRD 2021



Y-rays from Inverse Compton scattering





eHWC J1825-134



### Simulating Galactic pulsar populations

Manconi, Di Mauro, FD PRD 2020

We predict e+ from pulsar ATNF catalog & from synthetic populations Within a 2-zones diffusion around pulsars compatible with HAWC data interpretation





From ATNF catalog

From simulations

There is a lower limit on PWN contribution.

# Pair emission from pulsars

We assume continuous injection :

$$Q(E,t) = L(t) \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-\gamma_e} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{E_c}\right) \qquad \qquad L(t) = \frac{L_0}{\left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau_0}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}}$$

Normalized to:

$$E_{tot} = \eta W_0 = \int_0^T dt \int_{E_1}^\infty dE E Q(E, t)$$

Having:

$$\dot{E} = \frac{dE_{\rm rot}}{dt} = I\Omega\dot{\Omega} = -4\pi^2 I \frac{\dot{P}}{P^3} \,.$$

We can derive a relation for:

$$\tau_0 = \frac{P_0}{(n-1)\dot{P}_0}.$$

# Constraining positron emission from pulsar populations with AMS-02 data

L. Orusa, S. Manconi, M. Di Mauro, FD 2107.06300, to appear in JCAP

### We simulate Galactic pulsar populations

#### 1000 simulations for 4 setups



Pulsar	Simulated	Benchmark	Variations
property	quantity		
Age	T	Uniform $[0, t_{max}]$	-
		CB20[39]	FK06[54]
	$P_0$	Gaussian [0.3s; 0.15s]	-
Spin-down	$\log_{10}(B)$	Gaussian [12.85G; 0.55G]	Gaussian [12.65G; 0.55G]
	n	Uniform [2.5-3]	Constant [3]
	$\cos \alpha$	Uniform [0-1]	Constant [0]
$e^{\pm}$ injection	$\gamma_e$	Uniform [1.4-2.2]	-
	$\eta$	Uniform [0.01-0.1]	-
Radial	r	$ ho_L(r)$ [38]	$ ho_F(r)$ [54]
distribution			
Kick velocity	$v_k$	-	FK06VB [54]

+ diffusion schemes

# Fil of Galactic pulsar populations to AMS-02 et data



The contribution of pulsars to e+ is dominant above 100 GeV and may have different features. For E>1 TeV: unconstrained by data. Secondaries forbid evidence of sharp cut-off



# Effect of age and distance

on mock galaxies as selected by e+ AMS-02 data



1-3 kpc ring is the most fruitful in terms of e+ Interplay between spiral arms and propagation length

# Few pulsars suffice

#### Very few ones, indeed



N(E) is the mean number of PWNe that produce a flux higher than the experimental flux error in at least one energy between above 10 GeV.

Typically 2-3 sources explain most of the measured flux (+ secs)

# Characteristics of the (few) pulsars dominanti the AMS-02 flux



A Galaxy with 1-2 very powerful sources, with ages between 400 and 2000 kyr, located within 3 kpc from the Earth



We use AMS-02 data from charged CRs to put constraints on the properties of a Galactic population of pulsars

simulations are based on catalog data

Few, bright middle-aged pulsars can explain all the positrons we Observe above 100 GeV