## Outline Towards the test beam @ CNAO

- Repeating experiments in the literature: double differential inclusive cross section for the production of neutron in $\mathbf{p + 1 2} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ reactions
$>$ angle
> Incoming particle energy
- Raw estimation of the expected count rate, neutron energy spectrum and background from available simulation ( $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries)

Cristian Massimi $\sim$ MC simulation: expected neutron flux in the 'detector'

Mauro Villa $\sim$ and co are proposing a low energy neutron setup.. $<10 \mathrm{MeV}$ "LE"
michela.marafini $\sim$ and co are proposing a high energy neutron setup.. $>10 \mathrm{MeV}$ " HE "

## $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{xn})$ differential cross section (inclusive)


p @ 113 MeV

p @ 256 MeV

Angles: 7.5, 30, 60, 150 deg


## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


Sofia Colombi ~ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\sim$ michela.marafini ~ Cristian Massimi ~ Mauro Villa $\sim$

## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


## MC simulations - particles from target ( 5 -mm thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries

Taking an angle of $\sim 30$ degrees
Area $=45(25) \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\Delta \theta \sim 2$ deg.
@Distance = 100 cm

| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | TOF |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MeV | 72 ns |
| 10 MeV | 23 ns |
| 50 MeV | 10.6 ns |
| 100 MeV | 7.8 ns |
| 200 MeV | 5.9 ns |

Sofia Colombi ~ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\sim$ michela.marafini $\sim$ Cristian Massimi $\sim \underset{6}{\text { Mauro Villa } \sim ~}$

## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


## $12 C+12 C \rightarrow n+x$ differential cross section (inclusive)



PHYSICAL REVIEW C 64 (2001) 034607 and 054609
Sofia Colombi $\vee$ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\vee$ michela.marafini $\sim$ Cristian Massimi $\sim$ Mauro Villa $\sim$

## MC simulations - particles from target ( 5 -mm thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries

Area $=45(25) \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ $\Delta \theta \sim 2$ deg.


Sofia Colombi $\sim$ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\vee$ michela.marafini $\vee$ Cristian Massimi $\vee$ Mauro Villa $\sim$

## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


## MC simulations - particles from target ( 5 -mm thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 107$ primaries

Taking an angle of $\sim 30$ degrees


Sofia Colombi $\sim$ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\sim$ michela.marafini $\sim$ Cristian Massimi $\sim$ Mauro Villa $\sim$

## MC simulations - particles from target ( $5-\mathrm{mm}$ thick)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ @200MeV/u (newgeom) statistics: $5 \times 10^{7}$ primaries


During the next test beam at CNAO It could be possible to repeat some measurements present in the literature about neutron production in $\mathrm{p}+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ reactions:

- p + ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ @ 30 and 60 (150?) deg. with energy of 113 and 256 MeV
- ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} @ 30$ and 80 deg. with energy of 135 and $290 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$

These tests will provide the information about the feasibility of detecting neutrons with the present setup and with other detectors.

Dedicated simulations are required to be able to better prepare the test and estimate the required beam time.

Dedicated beam time (approximately ... h ) is necessary to perform the test => 5 hours at 1 MHz ? : )

## Possible Setup (HE)



## Sofia Colombi $\vee$ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\vee$ michela.marafini $\sim$ Cristian Massimi $\sim$ Mauro Villa $\sim$

## Possible Setup (HE)



Sofia Colombi~ alice.manna@bo.infn.it ATTESO

## Possible Setup (HE)



## Possible Setup (HE)



Phoswich BGO

## Possible Setup (LE)

## Xylene/Toluene: NE213 / BC501



- Liquid scintillating detector optimized for $\mathrm{n} / \gamma$ discrimination; det size 3 in $\times 3$ in

| Scintillation Properties | BC-501A | BC-501 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Light Output, \%Anthracene | 78 | 80 |
| Wavelength of Maximum Emission, nm | 425 | 425 |
| Decay Time, short component, ns | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Atomic Composition |  |  |
| No. of H Atoms per cc $\left(\times 10^{22}\right)$ | 4.82 | 5.25 |
| No. of C Atoms per cc $\left(\times 10^{22}\right)$ | 3.98 | 4.08 |
| Ratio H:C Atoms | 1.212 | 1.287 |
| No. of Electrons per cc $\left(\times 10^{23}\right)$ | 2.87 | 2.97 |

## Additional Properties of BC-501A

Mean Decay Times of first three components
(Ref. 2)

```
3.16, 32.3 \& 270 ns
```

Sofia Colombi ~ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\vee$ michela.marafini $\sim$ Cristian Massimi ~ Mauro Villa $\sim$

## Possible Setup (LE)

$0.1-14 \mathrm{MeV}$ Neutrons


## In a past experiment with those detectors with Am-Be source



.1

120. Time inns



Sofia Colombi ~ alice.manna@bo.infn.it $\sim$ michela.marafini $\sim$ Cristian Massimi $\sim{ }_{20}^{\text {Mauro Villa } \sim ~}$

## Possible Setup (LE)

## BC-720

$\mathrm{ZnS}(\mathrm{Ag})$ phosphor embedded in a clear hydrogenous plastic and functions by means of the proton recoil interaction in the plastic, the proton being detected by the ZnS .

Detector size:
3" diameter


| Scintillation Properties |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Decay Time, $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | 0.2 |
| Wavelength of Max. Emission, nm | 450 |

## Possible Setup (LE)



## Open Issues:

## DAQ Channels

- $4 \mathrm{BGO}=>$ WDAQ Calorimeter (6 free channels $=>2$ free channels)
- 1 Veto. => WDAQ Margarita ( 8 free channels => 7 free channels)
and/or
- 2 BC-720 + 2 NE213 => WDAQ Calorimeter (6 free channels => 2 free channels)
- 1 Veto. => WDAQ Margarita (8 free channels => 7 free channels)


## Trigger strategy

- Margarita in AND with OR of the neutron detectors => Luca.. is it possible?

The low energy measurement can be in parallel or in a different run => the number of different allowed triggers will define the strategy

- Define a run for efficiency measurements (and Delta calbes)
- Schedule a special run at high rate
- Define the distance from the detectors
- Build the Veto
- Keep testing the BGO at SBAI and low energy detectors at Bologna with cosmic rays and sources


Make a tour on MC simulation (Fluka):

- Can we put a box of the correct size in the FOOT simulation in order to study the expected background?


## Backupslides

## $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{xn})$ differential cross section (inclusive)



113 MeV


Fig. 3. Experimental differential cross sections for carbon compared with HETC calculation


256 MeV

(c) $\mathrm{C}(p, x n)$

597 MeV

## Thin target, heavy-Ion induced reactions

## Handbook on Secondary Particle Production And Transport by High-energy Heavy Ions

by Nakamura and Heilbronn

| Beam ion and energy (MeV/nucleon) | Targets | Measured spectra | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \theta \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Emin } \\ & (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{aligned}$ | Facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| He (135) | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \text { total } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 0,15,30,50, \\ 80,110 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { (all } \\ & \text { angles) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RIKEN |
| He (230) | $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40,60,80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5,5,4,3.5,1 \\ & 3.5,3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| C (135) | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \text { total } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,15,30,50, \\ & 80,110 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { (all } \\ & \text { angles) } \end{aligned}$ | RIKEN |
| C (290) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{~Pb}, \\ & \text { marsbar } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30 \\ & 40,60,80 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 10,3,3,7,4, \\ & 3,3 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { HIMAC } \\ \text { (SB3) } \end{array}$ |
| C (400) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \\ & \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{~Pb} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ddx, } \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40,60,80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5,5,3.5,3, \\ & 3,3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2 and } \\ & \text { SB3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| N (400) | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cu}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40.60 .80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 6,6,5,5.5 \\ 5.5,5 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ne (135) | C, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \text { total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,15,30,50, \\ & 80,110 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 10 \text { (all } \\ \text { angles) } \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | RIKEN |
| Ne (337) | C, Al, Cu, U | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ddx } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | 30, 45, 60, 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { (all } \\ & \text { angles) } \end{aligned}$ | LBL Bevalac |
| $\mathrm{Ne}(400)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{~Pb}, \\ & \text { ISS wall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ddx, } \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40,60,80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,6,3.5,3.5, \\ & 3,3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { HIMAC } \\ \text { (SB3) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Ne (600) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \\ & \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{~Pb}, \\ & \text { marsbar } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40,60,80 \end{aligned}$ | 6, 5.5, 4, 3, 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2 and } \\ & \text { SB3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ar (95) | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 0,30,50,80, \\ 110 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 10 \text { (all } \\ \text { angles) } \end{array}$ | RIKEN |
| Ar (400) | C, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40,60,80 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 10,7,3.5, \\ 3.5,3,3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2 and } \\ & \text { SB3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Ar (560) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{~Pb}, \\ & \text { marsbar } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \text { total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30 \\ & 40,60,80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 10,7,3.5, \\ 3.5,3,3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Fe (500) | $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Al}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ \text { total } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30, \\ & 40,60,80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,11,7,4, \\ & 3,3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\overline{\mathrm{Kr}}$ (400) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \\ & \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{~Pb} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ddx}, \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d} \Omega \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,10,20,30 \\ & 40,60,80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { (all } \\ & \text { angles) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIMAC } \\ & \text { (PH2) } \end{aligned}$ |

## Thin target, heavy-Ion induced reactions

Example: inclusive cross section

TABLE II. Summary of the beams and targets used in the experiment.

| Beam <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | C target | Thickness $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ <br> Cu target | Pb target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C at $E / A=290$ | 1.80 | 4.47 | 2.27 |
| C at $E / A=400$ | 9.00 | 13.4 | 9.08 |
| Ne at $E / A=400$ | 1.80 | 4.47 | 2.27 |
| Ne at $E / A=600$ | 3.60 | 4.47 | 4.54 |
| Ar at $E / A=400$ | 0.720 | 1.34 | 1.70 |
| Ar at $E / A=560$ | 1.08 | 1.79 | 2.27 |




Thin target, heavy-lon induced reactions

## Example: inclusive cross section

periment.

| Beam <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | C target | Thickness $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu target |  |  |$\quad \mathrm{Pb}$ target |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C at $E / A=290$ | 1.80 | 4.47 | 2.27 |
| C at $E / A=400$ | 9.00 | 13.4 | 9.08 |
| Ne at $E / A=400$ | 1.80 | 4.47 | 2.27 |
| Ne at $E / A=600$ | 3.60 | 4.47 | 4.54 |
| Ar at $E / A=400$ | 0.720 | 1.34 | 1.70 |
| Ar at $E / A=560$ | 1.08 | 1.79 | 2.27 |

Angular distribution


Thin target, heavy-lon induced reactions


FIG. 8. Angular distributions of neutron production cross sections integrated above 20 MeV .

